

## ON $p$ -NILPOTENCY OF FINITE GROUPS WITH $SS$ -NORMAL SUBGROUPS

G. R. REZAEZADEH\* AND Z. AGHAJARI

ABSTRACT. A subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  is said to be  $SS$ -embedded in  $G$  if there exists a normal subgroup  $T$  of  $G$  such that  $HT$  is subnormal in  $G$  and  $H \cap T \leq H_{sG}$ , where  $H_{sG}$  is the maximal  $s$ -permutable subgroup of  $G$  contained in  $H$ . We say that a subgroup  $H$  is an  $SS$ -normal subgroup in  $G$  if there exists a normal subgroup  $T$  of  $G$  such that  $G = HT$  and  $H \cap T \leq H_{SS}$ , where  $H_{SS}$  is an  $SS$ -embedded subgroup of  $G$  contained in  $H$ . In this paper, we study the influence of some  $SS$ -normal subgroups on the structure of a finite group  $G$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

All groups considered in this paper are finite. Recall that for a group  $G$ ,  $n$ -maximal subgroup is defined recursively: if  $U$  is a maximal subgroup of  $G$ ,  $U$  is said to be 1-maximal in  $G$ ; for  $n > 1$ , a subgroup  $U$  is said to be  $n$ -maximal in  $G$  if  $U$  is  $(n - 1)$ -maximal in a maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $G$  (see [2]). Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a class of groups. We call  $\mathcal{F}$  a formation, provided that (i) if  $G \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $N \trianglelefteq G$ , then  $G/N \in \mathcal{F}$ , and (ii) if  $N_1, N_2 \trianglelefteq G$  such that  $G/N_1, G/N_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $G/N_1 \cap N_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ . A formation  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to be saturated if  $G/\Phi(G) \in \mathcal{F}$  implies that  $G \in \mathcal{F}$  (see [7]).

Recently, the relationship between the subgroups of a finite group  $G$  and the structure of the group  $G$  has been extensively studied in the literature. For instance, Wang [11] introduced the concept of  $c$ -normal

---

MSC(2010): Primary: 20D10; Secondary: 20D20, 20D35.

Keywords:  $SS$ -normal subgroup,  $SS$ -embedded subgroup,  $p$ -nilpotent group.

Received: 09 January 2017, Accepted: 06 October 2017.

\*Corresponding author.

subgroup and used the  $c$ -normality of maximal subgroups to determine the structure of some groups. A subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  is called  $c$ -normal in  $G$  if there is a normal subgroup  $T$  of  $G$  such that  $G = HT$  and  $H \cap T \leq H_G$ , where  $H_G$  is the normal core of  $H$  in  $G$ .

Following Kegel [8], a subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  is said to be  $S$ -permutable in  $G$  if  $H$  permutes with every Sylow subgroup  $P$  of  $G$ . Guo et al. [4] introduced the concept of  $S$ -embedded subgroup. A subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  is said to be  $S$ -embedded in  $G$  if there exists a normal subgroup  $N$  such that  $HN$  is  $S$ -permutable in  $G$  and  $H \cap N \leq H_{sG}$ , where  $H_{sG}$  is the largest  $S$ -permutable subgroup of  $G$  contained in  $H$ .

Also, there exist other fruitful related concepts which have been introduced by many scholars and a lot of meaningful results have been obtained by them, such as  $S$ -permutably embedded subgroup [1], nearly  $S$ -normal [6], weakly  $S$ -permutable subgroup [10],  $\dots$ .

More recently, Zhao [12] introduced the concept of  $SS$ -embedded subgroup, which covers  $S$ -permutability,  $c$ -normality and  $S$ -embedded subgroups. Recall that a subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  is said to be  $SS$ -embedded in  $G$  if there exists a normal subgroup  $T$  of  $G$  such that  $HT$  is subnormal in  $G$  and  $H \cap T \leq H_{sG}$ . Zhao obtained many interesting results, by assuming that some subgroups of  $G$  satisfy the  $SS$ -embedded property. We now introduce the following concept:

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $H$  be a subgroup of a group  $G$ .  $H$  is called  $SS$ -normal in  $G$  if there exists a normal subgroup  $T$  of  $G$  such that  $G = HT$  and  $H \cap T \leq H_{SS}$ , where  $H_{SS}$  is an  $SS$ -embedded subgroup of  $G$  contained in  $H$ .

In this paper, we study the influence of some  $SS$ -normal subgroups on the structure of a finite group  $G$  and we achieve some new results.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Here, we collect some basic results which are useful in the sequel.

**Lemma 2.1.** ([8]) *Suppose that  $H$  is an  $S$ -permutable subgroup of a group  $G$  and  $N \trianglelefteq G$ . Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) *If  $H \leq K \leq G$ , then  $H$  is  $S$ -permutable in  $K$ .*
- (2)  *$HN$  and  $H \cap N$  are  $S$ -permutable in  $G$ .*
- (3)  *$HN/N$  is  $S$ -permutable in  $G/N$ .*
- (4)  *$H$  is subnormal in  $G$ .*

**Lemma 2.2.** ([12], Lemma 2.2) *Suppose that  $H$  is an  $SS$ -embedded subgroup of a group  $G$  and  $N \trianglelefteq G$ . Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) If  $H \leq K \leq G$ , then  $H$  is  $SS$ -embedded in  $K$ .
- (2) If  $N \leq H$ , then  $H/N$  is  $SS$ -embedded in  $G/N$ .
- (3) Let  $H$  be a  $\pi$ -subgroup and  $N$  be a normal  $\pi'$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $HN/N$  is  $SS$ -embedded in  $G/N$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** Suppose that  $H$  is an  $SS$ -normal subgroup of a group  $G$  and  $N \trianglelefteq G$ . Then the following statements hold:

- (1) If  $H \leq K \leq G$ , then  $H$  is  $SS$ -normal in  $K$ .
- (2) If  $N \leq H_{SS}$ , then  $H/N$  is  $SS$ -normal in  $G/N$ .
- (3) If  $N \leq H$  such that  $H_{SS}$  is a  $\pi$ -subgroup and  $N$  is a  $\pi'$ -subgroup, then  $H/N$  is  $SS$ -normal in  $G/N$ .
- (4) Let  $H$  be a  $\pi$ -subgroup and  $N$  be a normal  $\pi'$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $HN/N$  is  $SS$ -normal in  $G/N$ .

*Proof.* By hypothesis, there exists a normal subgroup  $T$  of  $G$  such that  $G = HT$  and  $H \cap T \leq H_{SS}$ , where  $H_{SS}$  is an  $SS$ -embedded subgroup of  $G$  contained in  $H$ .

- (1) It is clear that  $K \cap T$  is a normal subgroup of  $K$ . We have  $H(K \cap T) = K \cap G = K$  and  $H \cap (K \cap T) = H \cap T \leq H_{SS}$ . It is easy to see that  $H_{SS}$  is  $SS$ -embedded in  $K$ . Hence  $H$  is  $SS$ -normal in  $K$ .
- (2) Clearly,  $TN/N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G/N$ . Since  $N \leq H_{SS}$ , it follows that  $(H/N)(TN/N) = G/N$  and
 
$$(H/N) \cap (TN/N) = (H \cap TN)/N = (H \cap T)N/N \leq H_{SS}/N.$$

By Lemma (2.2),  $H_{SS}/N$  is  $SS$ -embedded in  $G/N$ . Therefore  $H/N$  is  $SS$ -normal in  $G/N$ , as required.

- (3) We know that  $TN/N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G/N$ . Since  $N \leq H$ , it follows that  $(H/N)(TN/N) = G/N$  and
 
$$(H/N) \cap (TN/N) = (H \cap TN)/N = (H \cap T)N/N \leq H_{SS}N/N.$$

Now, if  $H_{SS}$  be a  $\pi$ -subgroup and  $N$  be a  $\pi'$ -subgroup, then  $H_{SS}N/N$  is  $SS$ -embedded in  $G/N$  by Lemma (2.2). Therefore  $HN/N$  is  $SS$ -normal in  $G/N$ .

- (4) We know that  $TN/N \trianglelefteq G/N$  and we have

$$(HN/N)(TN/N) = HTN/N = G/N.$$

Since  $(|H|, |N|) = 1$ , it follows that

$$|H \cap TN| = \frac{|H||TN|_{\pi}}{|HTN|_{\pi}} = \frac{|H||T|_{\pi}}{|HT|_{\pi}} = |H \cap T|.$$

Hence  $H \cap TN = H \cap T$ , so

$$(HN/N) \cap (TN/N) = (HN \cap TN)/N =$$

$$(H \cap TN)N/N = (H \cap T)N/N \leq H_{SS}N/N.$$

By Lemma (2.2),  $H_{SS}N/N$  is  $SS$ -embedded in  $G/N$ . Therefore  $HN/N$  is  $SS$ -normal in  $G/N$ .

□

**Lemma 2.4.** ([7], IV, Theorem 5.4) *Suppose that  $G$  is a group which is not  $p$ -nilpotent but whose all proper subgroups are  $p$ -nilpotent. Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) *Every proper subgroup of  $G$  is nilpotent.*
- (2)  *$|G| = p^a q^b$ , where  $p \neq q$ .*
- (3)  *$G$  has a normal Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  for some prime  $p$  and  $G/P \cong Q$ , where  $Q$  is a non-normal cyclic  $q$ -subgroup for some prime  $q \neq p$ .*
- (4)  *$P/\Phi(P)$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $G/\Phi(P)$ .*

**Theorem 2.5.** ([7], IV, Theorem 2.8) *Let  $p$  be the smallest prime divisor of the order of  $|G|$ . If  $G$  has a cyclic Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$ , then there is a normal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$  such that  $G/N \cong P$ . (In particular, the Sylow 2-subgroup of a simple non-abelian group can never be cyclic.)*

**Lemma 2.6.** ([5], lemma 2.5) *Let  $G$  be a group and  $p$  a prime such that  $p^{n+1} \nmid |G|$  for some integer  $n \geq 1$ . If  $(|G|, (p-1)(p^2-1)\dots(p^n-1)) = 1$ , then  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent.*

**Theorem 2.7.** ([9], Theorem 10.1.9) *Let  $p$  be the smallest prime dividing the order of the finite group  $G$  and assume that  $G$  is not  $p$ -nilpotent. Then the Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$  are not cyclic. Moreover  $|G|$  is divisible by  $p^3$  or by 12.*

Let  $\pi$  is a set of primes. We shall say that  $G$  is  $\pi$ -separable if every composition factor of  $G$  is either a  $\pi'$ -group or a  $\pi$ -group; and we shall say that  $G$  is  $\pi$ -solvable if every composition factor of  $G$  is either a  $\pi'$ -group or a  $p$ -group for some prime  $p$  in  $\pi$ . For a single prime  $p$ , the notions of  $p$ -separable and  $p$ -solvable are obviously equivalent (see [3]).

**Theorem 2.8.** ([3], VI, Theorem 3.2) *If  $G$  is  $\pi$ -separable and  $\bar{G} = G/O_{\pi'}(G)$ , then*

$$C_{\bar{G}}(O_{\pi}(\bar{G})) \subseteq O_{\pi}(\bar{G})$$

*In particular, if  $O_{\pi'}(G) = 1$ , then  $C_G(O_{\pi}(G)) \subseteq O_{\pi}(G)$ .*

If  $\pi$  is a set of primes, a subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  will be called an  $S_{\pi}$ -subgroup of  $G$  provided  $H$  is a  $\pi$ -group and  $|G : H|$  is divisible by no primes in  $\pi$ . Such a subgroup is also called a Hall subgroup of  $G$ .

**Theorem 2.9.** ([3], VI, Theorem 3.5) *If  $G$  is  $\pi$ -separable group and  $p, q$  are primes in  $\pi, \pi'$ , respectively, then  $G$  possesses an  $S_\sigma$ -subgroup for  $\sigma = \pi, \sigma = \{\pi, q\}$  and  $\sigma = \{p, q\}$ .*

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

We start our main results with the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $P$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of a solvable group  $G$ , where  $p$  is a prime divisor of  $|G|$ . If the following conditions hold, then  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent:*

- (1)  $(|G|, (p - 1)(p^2 - 1) \dots (p^n - 1)) = 1$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,
- (2) every  $n$ -maximal subgroup of  $P$  (if exists), which does not have a  $p$ -nilpotent supplement in  $G$ , is  $SS$ -normal in  $G$ , and
- (3) every  $SS$ -embedded subgroup of  $G$  contained in  $P$  contains  $O_p(G)$ .

*Proof.* Assume that the result is false and let  $G$  be a counterexample of minimal order. We break the proof into several steps:

**Step(1)**  $|P| \geq p^{n+1}$  and every  $n$ -maximal subgroup of  $P$  is  $SS$ -normal in  $G$ .

By Lemma (2.6), we have  $|P| \geq p^{n+1}$ .

Assume that there exists an  $n$ -maximal subgroup  $P_1$  of  $P$  which has a  $p$ -nilpotent supplement  $T$  in  $G$ . We claim that  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. Otherwise we would find a non- $p$ -nilpotent subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  which contains  $P$  and all its proper subgroups are  $p$ -nilpotent. Then by Theorem (2.4),  $H$  is a minimal non-nilpotent group. We have  $G = P_1T$ , so

$$H = H \cap P_1T = P_1(H \cap T) \quad (1).$$

Since  $H \cap T \leq T$  is  $p$ -nilpotent and  $H$  is not  $p$ -nilpotent, it follows that  $L = H \cap T$  is a proper subgroup of  $H$ . Hence  $L$  is nilpotent and so  $L = L_pL_q$ . We have  $P = P_1L_p$ , so  $L_p$  is not contained in  $\Phi = \Phi(P)$ . Now, we consider the factor group  $H/\Phi$ . The fact  $L_q \leq N_H(L_p)$  implies that

$$L_q\Phi/\Phi \leq N_{H/\Phi}(L_p\Phi/\Phi) \quad (2).$$

On the other hand, since  $P/\Phi$  is an elementary abelian group, we have

$$L_p\Phi/\Phi \leq P/\Phi \quad (3).$$

Obviously,  $L_q$  is also a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $H$ . Thus  $L_p\Phi/\Phi \leq H/\Phi$  by (2) and (3). Moreover  $L_p\Phi/\Phi \neq 1$ . By Theorem (2.4),  $P/\Phi$  is a chief factor of  $H$ , whence  $L_p\Phi/\Phi = P/\Phi$ . Hence  $L_p = P$ , so  $L = H$ . This contradiction completes the proof of Step 1.

**Step(2)**  $O_{p'}(G) = 1$  and  $O_p(G) \neq 1$ .

If  $O_{p'}(G) \neq 1$ , then  $\bar{P} = PO_{p'}(G)/O_{p'}(G)$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\bar{G} = G/O_{p'}(G)$ . We have

$$(|\bar{G}|, (p-1)(p^2-1)\dots(p^n-1)) = 1.$$

By Step 1,  $|\bar{P}| \geq p^{n+1}$ . Let  $\bar{P}_1 = P_1O_{p'}(G)/O_{p'}(G)$  be an  $n$ -maximal subgroup of  $\bar{P}$ . Then  $P_1$  is an  $n$ -maximal subgroup of  $P$ . By Step 1,  $P_1$  is  $SS$ -normal in  $G$  hence  $\bar{P}_1$  is  $SS$ -normal in  $\bar{G}$  by Lemma (2.3)(3). Therefore  $\bar{G}$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by induction. It follows that  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. By this contradiction  $O_{p'}(G) = 1$ . Since  $G$  is soluble, we have  $O_p(G) \neq 1$ .

**Step(3)**  $O_p(G)$  is unique minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ ,  $\Phi(G) = 1$  and  $G/O_p(G)$  is  $p$ -nilpotent.

Let  $N$  be a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ . Since  $G$  is solvable and Step 2, it follows that  $N$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group and  $N \leq O_p(G)$ . Now, we consider  $P/N$  so the following two cases arise:

*Case i)* If  $|P/N| \leq p^n$ , then  $G/N$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by Lemma (2.6).

*Case ii)* If  $|P/N| \geq p^{n+1}$ , then  $G/N$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by Lemma (2.3)(2), hypothesis of the theorem and the minimality of  $G$ .

Since the class of all  $p$ -nilpotent groups forms a saturated formation, it follows that  $N$  is a unique minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $\Phi(G) = 1$ . Thus there is a maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $G$  such that  $G = NM$  and  $N \cap M = 1$ . We have

$$O_p(G) \leq F(G) \leq C_G(N)$$

and

$$C_G(N) \cap M \trianglelefteq G.$$

The uniqueness of  $N$  yields that  $N = O_p(G) = F(G) = C_G(N)$ .

**Step(4)**  $|O_p(G)| \geq p^{n+1}$ .

We know  $G/O_p(G)$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. Let  $K/O_p(G)$  be the normal  $p$ -complement of  $G/O_p(G)$ . If  $|O_p(G)| \leq p^n$ , then  $|K|_p \leq p^n$ . Lemma (2.6) implies that  $K$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. The normal  $p$ -complement of  $K$  is also a normal  $p$ -complement of  $G$ , that is,  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent, this contradiction shows that  $|O_p(G)| \geq p^{n+1}$ .

**Step(5)** The final contradiction.

Since  $\Phi(G) = 1$ , there exists a maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $G$  such that  $G = O_p(G)M$  and  $O_p(G) \cap M = 1$ . Let  $P = O_p(G)M_p$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , where  $M_p$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $M$ . Since  $|O_p(G)| \geq p^{n+1}$ , we can pick an  $n$ -maximal subgroup

$P_1$  of  $P$  containing  $M_p$ . Since  $O_p(G) \leq (P_1)_{SS} \leq P_1$ , it follows that  $P = P_1$ . This is the final contradiction.

□

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $P$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of a solvable group  $G$ , where  $p = \min(\pi(G))$ . If the following conditions hold, then  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent:*

- (1) every maximal subgroup of  $P$ , which does not have a  $p$ -nilpotent supplement in  $G$ , is  $SS$ -normal in  $G$ , and
- (2) every  $SS$ -embedded subgroup in  $G$  contained in  $P$  contains  $O_p(G)$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $p$  be a prime divisor of  $|G|$  and  $P$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of a solvable group  $G$ . If the following conditions hold, then  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent:*

- (1)  $N_G(P)$  is  $p$ -nilpotent,
- (2) every maximal subgroup of  $P$ , which does not have a  $p$ -nilpotent supplement in  $G$ , is  $SS$ -normal in  $G$ , and
- (3) every  $SS$ -embedded subgroup in  $G$  is contained in  $P$  contains  $O_p(G)$ .

*Proof.* If  $p = \min\pi(G)$ , then  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by Corollary (3.2). Hence we only need to consider the case which  $p$  is not the minimal prime divisor of  $|G|$  (so it is an odd prime). Assume that the result is false and let  $G$  be a counterexample of minimal order. Then we break the proof into a several steps:

**Step(1)** Every maximal subgroup of  $P$  is  $SS$ -normal in  $G$ .

See the proof of Step 1 in Theorem (3.1).

**Step(2)**  $O_{p'}(G) = 1$  and  $O_p(G) = 1$ .

Suppose that  $O_{p'}(G) \neq 1$ . Clearly,  $\bar{P} = PO_{p'}(G)/O_{p'}(G)$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\bar{G} = G/O_{p'}(G)$  and

$$N_{\bar{G}}(\bar{P}) = N_G(P)O_{p'}(G)/O_{p'}(G)$$

is  $p$ -nilpotent. Let  $\bar{M} = M/O_{p'}(G)$  be a maximal subgroup of  $\bar{P}$ . Then  $M = P_1O_{p'}(G)$  for some maximal subgroup  $P_1$  of  $P$ . We have  $\bar{M}$  is  $SS$ -normal in  $\bar{G}$  by Step 1 and Lemma (2.3)(3). This shows that  $\bar{G}$  satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem. Thus  $G/O_{p'}(G)$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by induction, so  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. This contradiction shows that  $O_{p'}(G) = 1$  and  $O_p(G) = 1$ .

**Step(3)** If  $L$  is a proper subgroup of  $G$  containing  $P$ , then  $L$  is  $p$ -nilpotent.

We know  $N_L(P) \leq N_G(P)$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. Also,  $L$  satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem by Step 1 and Lemma (2.3)(1). The minimality of  $G$  implies that  $L$  is  $p$ -nilpotent.

- Step(4)**  $G = PQ$ , where  $Q$  is a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $G$  with  $p \neq q$ .  
 By Theorem (2.9), there exists a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup  $Q$  of  $G$  such that  $PQ \leq G$ , where  $q$  is a prime divisor of  $G$  and  $p \neq q$ . If  $PQ < G$ , then  $PQ$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by Step 3. This implies that  $Q \leq C_G(O_p(G)) \leq O_p(G)$  by Theorem (2.8). This contradiction shows that  $G = PQ$ .
- Step(5)**  $G$  has an unique minimal normal subgroup  $N$  such that  $G = NM$  and  $N \cap M = 1$ , where  $M$  is a maximal subgroup of  $G$ . Moreover,  $N = O_p(G) = F(G) = C_G(N)$ .  
 Let  $N$  be a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $N$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group and  $N \leq O_p(G)$ . Clearly,  $G/N$  satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem. The minimality of  $G$  implies that  $G/N$  is  $p$ -nilpotent.  
 Since the class of all  $p$ -nilpotent groups is a saturated formation,  $N$  is an unique minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $N \not\leq \Phi(G)$ . Thus  $G$  holds in Step 5.
- Step(6)**  $|N| = p$ .  
 It is easy to see that,  $P = NM_p$ , where  $M_p$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $M$ . Let  $P_1$  be a maximal subgroup of  $P$  containing  $M_p$ .  
 If  $P_1 \neq 1$ , then there exists  $T \trianglelefteq G$  such that  $G = P_1T$  and  $P_1 \cap T \leq (P_1)_{SS}$ . Since  $(P_1)_{SS}$  is an  $SS$ -embedded subgroup of  $G$ , there exists  $N' \trianglelefteq G$  such that  $(P_1)_{SS}N' \trianglelefteq G$  and  $(P_1)_{SS} \cap N' \leq ((P_1)_{SS})_{sG}$ . Now, we should consider two following cases:  
*Case i)* If  $N' = 1$ , then  $(P_1)_{SS} \trianglelefteq G$ . Since  $(P_1)_{SS} \leq O_p(G)$ , it follows that  $P = P_1$ , a contradiction.  
*Case ii)* If  $N' \neq 1$ , then  $O_p(G) \leq N'$ . Since
- $$O_p(G) = O_p(G) \cap N' \leq ((P_1)_{SS})_{sG} \leq O_p(G),$$
- it follows that  $O_p(G) = ((P_1)_{SS})_{sG}$ . Hence  $P_1 \cap O_p(G) = O_p(G)$  so  $O_p(G)$  is subgroup of  $P_1$ . We have
- $$P = O_p(G)M_p \leq P_1M_p = P_1.$$
- It is a contradiction.  
 Now, if  $P_1 = 1$ , then  $|N| = |P| = p$ .
- Step(7)** The final contradiction.  
 We have  $M \cong G/N = N_G(N)/C_G(N)$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $Aut(N)$ . We know  $Aut(N)$  is a cyclic group of order  $p - 1$ . Hence  $M$  and  $Q$  are cyclic groups. It follows from Theorem (2.5) that  $G$  is a  $q$ -nilpotent. Thus  $P \trianglelefteq G$  so by the hypothesis of the theorem  $G = N_G(P)$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. This final contradiction completes the proof of the theorem.

□

Let  $G$  be a group and  $|G| = p_1^{r_1} p_2^{r_2} \dots p_s^{r_s}$ , where  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s$  are different primes. Recall that  $G$  is said to be a Sylow tower group if there exists a normal series  $1 = G_0 \leq G_1 \leq \dots \leq G_s = G$  of  $G$  such that  $|G_i : G_{i-1}| = p_i^{r_i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . In addition, if  $p_1 > p_2 > \dots > p_s$ , then  $G$  is called a Sylow tower group of supersoluble type.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $G$  a solvable group. If every non-cyclic Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  satisfies the following conditions, then  $G$  is a Sylow tower group of supersoluble type:*

- (1)  $N_G(P)$  is  $p$ -nilpotent,
- (2) every maximal subgroup of  $P$  is  $SS$ -normal in  $G$ , and
- (3) every  $SS$ -embedded subgroup of  $G$  is contained in  $P$  contains  $O_p(G)$ ,

*Proof.* Let  $p_1$  be the minimal prime divisor of  $|G|$  and  $P_1 \in \text{Syl}_{p_1}(G)$ . First, we prove that  $G$  is  $p_1$ -nilpotent. If  $P_1$  is cyclic, then  $G$  is  $p_1$ -nilpotent by Theorem (2.7). If  $P_1$  is not cyclic, then  $G$  is  $p_1$ -nilpotent by hypothesis of the theorem and Corollary (3.2).

Now, we let  $K$  be the normal  $p_1$ -complement of  $G$ . We have  $N_K(Q) \leq N_G(Q)$  is  $q$ -nilpotent for every non-cyclic Sylow  $q$ -subgroup  $Q$  of  $K$ . Every maximal subgroup of  $Q$  is  $SS$ -normal in  $K$ . By induction, we can deduce that  $K$  is a Sylow tower group of supersoluble type. It follows that  $G$  is a Sylow tower group of supersoluble type. □

## REFERENCES

1. A. Ballester-Bolinches and M. C. Pedraza-Aguilera, Sufficient conditions for supersolvability of finite groups, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **127** (1998), 113–118.
2. C. M. Campbell, E. F. Robertson and G. C. Smith, *Groups St Andrews 2001 in Oxford*, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
3. D. Gorenstein, *Finite Groups*, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, 1968.
4. W. B. Guo, K. P. Shum and A. N. Skiba, On solubility and supersolubility of some classes of finite groups, *Sci. China (Ser. A)*, **52** (2009), 272–286.
5. W. B. Guo, K. P. Shum and F. Y. Xie, Finite groups with some weakly  $s$ -supplemented subgroups, *Glasg. Math. J.*, **53** (2011), 211–222.
6. W. B. Guo, Y. Wang and L. Shi, Nearly  $s$ -normal subgroups of a finite group, *J. Algebra Discrete Struct.*, **6** (2008), 95–106.
7. B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen*, Vol. I, Springer, New York, 1967.
8. O. H. Kegel, Sylow-Gruppen und abnormalteiler endlicher Gruppen, *Math. Z.*, **78** (1962), 205–221.
9. D. J. S. Robinson, *A Course in the Theory of Groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1993.
10. A. N. Skiba, On weakly  $s$ -permutable subgroups of finite groups, *J. Algebra*, **315** (2007), 192–209.

11. Y. M. Wang,  $C$ -normality of groups and its properties, *J. Algebra*, **180** (1996), 954–965.
12. T. Zhao, Finite groups whit some  $SS$ -embedded subgroups, *IJGT*, **3** (2013), 63–70.

**Gholamreza Rezaeezadeh**

Department of Mathematics, University of Shahrekord, P.O.Box 115, Shahrekord, Iran.

Email: rezaeezadeh@sci.sku.ac.ir

**Zahra Aghajari**

Department of Mathematics, University of Shahrekord, P.O.Box 115, Shahrekord, Iran.

Email: Z.Aghajari@stu.sku.ac.ir

## ON $p$ -NILPOTENCY OF FINITE GROUPS WITH $SS$ -NORMAL SUBGROUPS

G. R. REZAEZADEH AND Z. AGHAJARI

$p$ -پوچ توانی گروه‌های متناهی دارای زیرگروه‌های  $SS$ -نرمال

غلامرضا رضایی‌زاده و زهرا آقاجری  
شهرکرد، دانشگاه شهرکرد، دانشکده علوم ریاضی

فرض کنیم  $G$  یک گروه باشد. زیرگروه  $H$  از  $G$  را  $SS$ -نشاندۀ شده در  $G$  گویند، هرگاه زیرگروه نرمال  $T$  از  $G$  وجود داشته باشد به طوری که  $HT$  زیرنرمال در  $G$  و  $H \cap T \leq H_{SG}$ ، جایی که  $H_{SG}$  بزرگترین زیرگروه  $S$ -جابه‌جاپذیر در  $G$  مشمول در  $H$  است. زیرگروه  $H$  از  $G$  را  $SS$ -نرمال در  $G$  گوئیم، هرگاه زیرگروه نرمال  $T$  از  $G$  وجود داشته باشد به طوری که  $H \cap T \leq H_{SS}$  و  $G = HT$ ، جایی که  $H_{SS}$  بزرگترین زیرگروه  $SS$ -نشاندۀ شده در  $G$  مشمول در  $H$  می‌باشد. در این مقاله، سعی می‌کنیم تأثیر برخی از زیرگروه‌های  $SS$ -نرمال یک گروه را بر ساختار آن مورد مطالعه قرار می‌دهیم.

کلمات کلیدی: زیرگروه  $SS$ -نرمال، زیرگروه  $SS$ -نشاندۀ شده، گروه  $p$ -پوچ توان.