

FALTINGS' LOCAL-GLOBAL PRINCIPLE FOR THE
MINIMAXNESS OF LOCAL COHOMOLOGY
MODULES DEFINED BY A SYSTEM OF IDEALS

A. HAJIKARIMI AND F. DEGHANI-ZADEH*

ABSTRACT. Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring and ϕ a system of ideals of R . We prove that, in certain cases, there are local-global principles for the finiteness and minimaxness of generalized local cohomology module $H_{\phi}^i(M, N)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, R is a commutative Noetherian ring with non-zero identity, \mathfrak{a} is an ideal of R and M, N are R -modules. The i -th generalized local cohomology functor $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$ is defined by $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) = \varinjlim_{n>0} \text{Ext}_R^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M, N)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let ϕ be a non-empty set of ideals of R . We say that ϕ is a system of ideals of R if $\mathfrak{a}_1, \mathfrak{a}_2 \in \phi$, then there is an ideal $\mathfrak{b} \in \phi$ such that $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_1 \mathfrak{a}_2$. In such a system, for every R -module N , one can define

DOI: 10.22044/JAS.2022.10587.1524.

MSC(2010): Primary: 13C05; Secondary: 13C11, 13E05.

Keywords: Generalized local cohomology modules; Faltings; Minimax modules.

Received: 27 February 2021, Accepted: 17 January 2022.

*Corresponding author.

$\Gamma_\phi(N) = \{x \in N \mid \mathfrak{a}x = 0 \text{ for some } \mathfrak{a} \in \phi\}$. For each $i \geq 0$, the i -th right derive functor of $\Gamma_\phi(-)$ is denoted by $H_\phi^i(-)$. Some basic properties of the local cohomology modules with respect to ϕ were shown in [3], [4].

Another generalization of local cohomology functor was given by Bijan-Zadeh [3]. For each $i \geq 0$, $H_\phi^i(-, -)$ is the functor defined by $H_\phi^i(M, N) = \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{a} \in \phi} \text{Ext}_R^i(M/\mathfrak{a}M, N)$ for all R -modules M, N and $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

The functor $H_\phi^i(-, -)$ is R -linear which is contravariant in the first variable and covariant in the second variable. If $\phi = \{\mathfrak{a}^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, then $H_\phi^i(-, -)$ is naturally equivalent to $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(-, -)$. An important theorem in local cohomology is Faltings' local-global principle for the finiteness Dimension of local cohomology modules [[8], satz 1], which states that for a positive integer r , then the $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module $H_{\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is finitely generated for all $i \leq r$ and for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ if and only if the R -module $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$ is finitely generated for all $i \leq r$.

Faltings' local-global principle for the finiteness of local cohomology modules has been studied by several authors (for example see [7], [8]).

Aghapournahr et al. ([1], Theorem 2.8) studied the concept of the local-global principle for the minimaxness of ordinary local cohomology modules. The purpose of the present paper is genealization of Faltings' local-global principle of ordinary local cohomology to generalized local cohomology modules with respect to a systems of ideals. More precisely, we shall prove the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let M, N be two finitely generated R -modules and $t \in \mathbb{N}$. If $S^{-1}\phi = \{S^{-1}\mathfrak{a} \mid \mathfrak{a} \in \phi\}$, then consider the following statements:*

- (i) $H_\phi^i(M, N)$ is finitely generated for all $i < t$;
- (ii) There is an ideal $\mathfrak{c} \in \phi$ such that $\mathfrak{c}H_\phi^i(M, N) = 0$ for all $i < t$;

- (iii) *There is an ideal $\mathfrak{c} \in \phi$ such that $\mathfrak{c} \subseteq \text{Rad}(0 :_R H_\phi^i(M, N))$ for all $i < t$.*
- (iv) *$H_{S^{-1}\phi}^i(S^{-1}M, S^{-1}N)$ is finitely generated $S^{-1}R$ -module for all $i < t$, where $S = R - \mathfrak{p}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$.*

Then the following implications are true.

- (a) (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (iv).
- (b) (iv) \Rightarrow (i), *if $\text{Max}(\phi)$ is finite.*

Theorem 1.2. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring and M, N be two finitely generated R -module and $t \geq 1$ be an integer. Consider the following statements:*

- (i) *$H_\phi^i(M, N)$ is a minimax R -module for all $i < t$;*
- (ii) *There exists $\mathfrak{a} \in \phi$ such that $\mathfrak{a}H_\phi^i(M, N)$ is a minimax R -module for all $i < t$;*
- (iii) *$H_{\phi_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, N_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is a finitely generated $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module for all $i < t$ and $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) - \{\mathfrak{m}\}$.*

Then,

- (a) (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii),
- (b) (iii) \Rightarrow (i), *If $\text{Max}(\phi)$ is finite set.*

For any unexplained notion and terminology is eferred to [5] and [6].

2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we investigate the finiteness and minimaxness of local cohomology modules with respect to a system of ideals of R . In particular, we prove that there is a Falthings' local-global principle for the minimaxness of local cohomology modules with respect to a system of ideals.

Remark 2.1. Let ϕ be a non-empty set of ideals of R .

- (i) We call ϕ a system of ideals of R whenever $\mathfrak{a}_1, \mathfrak{a}_2 \in \phi$, there is an ideal $\mathfrak{b} \in \phi$ such that $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_1 \mathfrak{a}_2$. Define the relative \leq on ϕ as follows; $\mathfrak{a} \leq \mathfrak{b}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$. It is clear that this relation is a partial order on ϕ .
- (ii) Using Zorn's Lemma ϕ has maximal element, we use $Max(\phi)$ to denote the set of all maximal elements of ϕ . Moreover, if $Max(\phi)$ is finite set, it has a unique maximal element.
- (iii) The ϕ -torsion submodule $\Gamma_\phi(N)$ of N is defined as follows:

$$\Gamma_\phi(N) = \{x \in N \mid \mathfrak{a}x = 0 \text{ for some } \mathfrak{a} \in \phi\}.$$

It is straightforward to see that $\Gamma_\phi(N) = \cup_{\mathfrak{a} \in \phi} (0 :_M \mathfrak{a})$.

- (iv) For each $i \geq 0$, the functors $H_\phi^i(M, -)$ and $\varinjlim_{\mathfrak{a} \in \phi} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, -)$ are naturally equivalent.
- (v) $H_\phi^0(M, N) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, \Gamma_\phi(N))$. If $\Gamma_\phi(N) = N$, then

$$H_\phi^i(M, N) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(M, N),$$

see [3].

The following elementary lemmas are needed in the proof of our main theorems.

Lemma 2.2. *Let T be a ϕ -torsion finitely generated R -module. Then, there exists $\mathfrak{b} \in \phi$ such that $\mathfrak{b}T = 0$.*

Proof. The assertion follows immediately from definition. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let $L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N$ be an exact sequence of R -modules and R -homomorphisms, and suppose that there exist $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \in \phi$ such that $\mathfrak{a}L = 0$ and $\mathfrak{b}N = 0$. Then, $\mathfrak{c}M = 0$ for some $\mathfrak{c} \in \phi$.*

Proof. It is clear. □

In the following theorem, we generalized the main theorem of Bahmanpour and Naghipour ([2], Theorem 2.3) for local cohomology modules with respect to a system of ideals of R .

Theorem 2.4. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a commutative Noetherian local ring. Let M and N be two finitely generated R -modules. Let t be a nonnegative integer such that $H_\phi^i(M, N)$ be minimax for all $i < t$. Then, $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_\phi^t(M, N))$ is finitely generated for all $\mathfrak{a} \in \phi$ and $\text{Ass}_R(H_\phi^t(M, N)) \cap V(\mathfrak{a})$ is finite.*

Proof. We use induction on t . By Remark 2.1, we have

$$H_\phi^0(M, N) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, \Gamma_\phi(N)),$$

and the assertion is true for $t = 0$. Let $t \geq 1$ and suppose that the claim has been proved for $t - 1$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_\phi(N) \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow N/\Gamma_\phi(N) \longrightarrow 0$$

we earn the exact sequence

$$\text{Ext}_R^t(M, \Gamma_\phi(N)) \xrightarrow{f} H_\phi^t(M, N) \xrightarrow{g} H_\phi^t(M, N/\Gamma_\phi(N)).$$

So, $\text{Im} f$ is finitely generated. From exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Im} f \longrightarrow H_\phi^t(M, N) \longrightarrow H_\phi^t(M, N/\Gamma_\phi(N)),$$

it is enough to show that $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_\phi^t(M, N/\Gamma_\phi(N)))$ is finitely generated. Thus, we can assume that $\Gamma_\phi(N) = 0$. Let $\mathfrak{a} \in \phi$. Then, \mathfrak{a} contains an N -regular element x . Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{x} N \longrightarrow N/xN \longrightarrow 0.$$

This short exact sequence yields the exact sequence

$$H_\phi^{t-1}(M, N) \xrightarrow{h} H_\phi^{t-1}(M, N/xN) \xrightarrow{k} H_\phi^t(M, N) \xrightarrow{x} H_\phi^t(M, N).$$

We split the above exact sequence into the following two exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Im}h \longrightarrow H_\phi^{t-1}(M, N/xN) \longrightarrow \text{Im}k \longrightarrow 0$$

and $0 \longrightarrow \text{Im}k \longrightarrow H_\phi^t(M, N) \longrightarrow H_\phi^t(M, N)$ then we get the following exact sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/a, \text{Im}h) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/a, H_\phi^{t-1}(M, N/xN)) \\ &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/a, \text{Im}k) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(R/a, \text{Im}h) \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/a, \text{Im}k) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/a, H_\phi^t(M, N)) \\ &\xrightarrow{x} \text{Hom}_R(R/a, H_\phi^t(M, N)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Moreover, by the induction hypothesis, $\text{Hom}_R(R/a, H_\phi^{t-1}(M, N/xN))$ is finitely generated. Hence, by the exact sequence (2.1) the R -module $\text{Hom}_R(R/a, \text{Im}h)$ is finitely generated. It is clear that the R -module, $x \text{Hom}_R(R/a, H_\phi^t(M, N)) = 0$ it follows that

$$\text{Hom}_R(R/a, H_\phi^t(M, N)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(R/a, \text{Im}k).$$

On the other hand, since $\text{Im}h$ is minimax there exists two R -modules T_1, T_2 such that T_1 is finitely generated and T_2 is Artinian and

$$0 \longrightarrow T_1 \longrightarrow \text{Im}h \longrightarrow T_2 \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. This exact sequence induces the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/a, T_1) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/a, \text{Im}h) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/a, T_2) \\ &\longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(R/a, T_1) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(R/a, \text{Im}h) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(R/a, T_2) \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

which implies that the R -module $\text{Hom}_R(R/a, T_2)$ is of finite length and since

$$\text{Supp } T_2 \subseteq V(\mathfrak{m}) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a}).$$

By ([12], Proposition 4.1) $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/a, T_2)$ is finitely generated. From the exact sequence (2.3) we get the R -module $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/a, Imh)$ is finitely generated. It follows from the exact sequence (2.1) that the R -module $\text{Hom}_R(R/a, Imk)$ is finitely generated. Now, we use the exact sequence (2.2) to obtain the result. \square

Theorem 2.5. *Let M, N be two finitely generated R -modules and $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) $H_\phi^i(M, N)$ is finitely generated for all $i < t$;
- (ii) There is an ideal $\mathfrak{c} \in \phi$ such that $\mathfrak{c}H_\phi^i(M, N) = 0$ for all $i < t$;
- (iii) There is an ideal $\mathfrak{c} \in \phi$ such that $\mathfrak{c} \subseteq \text{Rad}(0 :_R H_\phi^i(M, N))$ for all $i < t$.

Proof. The conclusion (ii) \iff (iii) is obviously true.

To prove (i) \implies (ii), in view of the Theorem 2.2, there exists $\mathfrak{c}_i \in \phi$, such that $\mathfrak{c}_i H_\phi^i(M, N) = 0$. Since ϕ is a system of ideals, there is $\mathfrak{c} \in \phi$ such that $\mathfrak{c} \subseteq \mathfrak{c}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, t - 1$. It follows that $\mathfrak{c}H_\phi^i(M, N) = 0$ for all $i < t$.

In order to show the implication (ii) \implies (i) we use induction on t . When $t = 1$, there is nothing to prove. Now, suppose inductively $t > 1$ and that the assertion holds for $t - 1$. By this inductive assumption, $H_\phi^i(M, N)$ is finitely generated for all $i \leq t - 2$ and it only remains to prove that $H_\phi^{t-1}(M, N)$ is finitely generated. Since

$$H_\phi^i(M, \Gamma_\phi(N)) \longrightarrow H_\phi^i(M, N) \longrightarrow H_\phi^i(M, N/\Gamma_\phi(N))$$

is exact for all $i > 0$, we assume $\Gamma_\phi(N) = 0$. Therefore, $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{a} \in \phi$. Therefore, in view of hypothesis there exists $x \in \mathfrak{a}$ such

that x is N -sequence and $xH_\phi^{t-1}(M, N) = 0$. Using the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow N \rightarrow N/xN \rightarrow 0$, we obtain the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow H_\phi^0(M, N) \rightarrow H_\phi^0(M, N) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow H_\phi^{t-2}(M, N) \\ &\rightarrow H_\phi^{t-2}(M, N/xN) \rightarrow H_\phi^{t-1}(M, N) \rightarrow H_\phi^{t-1}(M, N). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Now, use the exact sequence (2.4) together with Lemma 2.3 to see that there is $\mathfrak{c} \in \phi$ such that $\mathfrak{c} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{c}H_\phi^i(M, N/xN) = 0$ for $i < t-1$. Therefore, by inductive hypothesis $H_\phi^{t-2}(M, N/xN)$ is finitely generated R -module. Again, using the long exact sequence (2.4), the result follows. \square

Definition 2.6. Let M, N be two finitely generated R -modules. Using Theorem 2.5 and Remark 2.1, we define the finiteness dimension $f_\phi(M, N)$ relative to ϕ by

$$\begin{aligned} f_\phi(M, N) &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid H_\phi^i(M, N) \text{ is not finitely generated}\} \\ &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid \mathfrak{a}H_\phi^i(M, N) \neq 0 \text{ for all } \mathfrak{a} \in \phi\} \\ &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid \mathfrak{a} \not\subseteq \text{Rad}(0 :_R H_\phi^i(M, N))\}. \end{aligned}$$

At this stage the following remark is needed.

Remark 2.7. (i) Let $f : R \rightarrow R'$ be a ring homomorphism. For any ideal \mathfrak{a} of R we denote its extension to R' by \mathfrak{a}^e . If ϕ is a system of ideals of R , then the set $\phi^e = \{\mathfrak{a}^e : \mathfrak{a} \in \phi\}$ is a system of ideals of R' . Moreover, suppose that S is a multiplicatively closed subset of R and ϕ is a system of ideals of R . Let $S^{-1}\phi = \{S^{-1}\mathfrak{a} \mid \mathfrak{a} \in \phi\}$. Then, the connected right sequences of covariant functors, from category R -modules to category $S^{-1}R$ -modules and $\{S^{-1}R^i\Gamma_\phi(-)\}_{i \geq 0}$ and

$$\{R^i\Gamma_{S^{-1}\phi}(S^{-1}(-))\}_{i \geq 0}$$

are isomorphic. In particular, for any R -module N ,

$$S^{-1}R^i\Gamma_\phi(N) \cong R^i\Gamma_{S^{-1}\phi}(S^{-1}(N))$$

for all $i \geq 0$. For Example,

$$\tilde{W}(I, J) = \{\mathfrak{a} \mid \mathfrak{a} \text{ is an ideal of } R \text{ and } I^n \subseteq \mathfrak{a} + J, \text{ for some } n \gg 0\},$$

is system of ideals and

$$S^{-1}\tilde{W}(I, J) = \{S^{-1}\mathfrak{a} \mid \mathfrak{a} \in \tilde{W}(I, J)\} \neq \tilde{W}(S^{-1}I, S^{-1}J).$$

(ii) Let $R \rightarrow R'$ be a flat extension of rings, M and T be R -modules.

If M is finitely generated, then

$$\text{Ext}_R^i(M, T) \otimes_R R' \cong \text{Ext}_{R'}^i(M \otimes R', T \otimes R')$$

is finitely generated for all $i \geq 0$ (see [11]).

(iii) Suppose that $R \rightarrow R'$ is faithfully flat. Then, $T \otimes R'$ is finitely generated as an R' -module if and only if T is finitely generated as an R -module.

(iv) Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring and \hat{R} its completion with respect to \mathfrak{m} , and T an R -module. If T has support only at \mathfrak{m} , Then $T \otimes R'$ has support only at $\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}$.

(v) Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a complete local Noetherian ring and let T be an R -module. Then T is Artinian if and only if $\text{Supp} T = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ and $\text{Hom}(K, T)$ is finitely generated (see [10]).

Theorem 2.8. *Let M, N be two finitely generated R -modules and $t \in \mathbb{N}$. If $S^{-1}\phi = \{S^{-1}\mathfrak{a} \mid \mathfrak{a} \in \phi\}$, consider the following statements:*

- (i) $H_\phi^i(M, N)$ is finitely generated for all $i < t$,
- (ii) $H_{S^{-1}\phi}^i(S^{-1}M, S^{-1}N)$ is finitely generated $S^{-1}R$ - module for all $i < t$, where $S = R - \mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$. Then, the following implications are true.

(a) (i) \Rightarrow (ii).

(b) (ii) \Rightarrow (i), if $\text{Max}(\phi)$ is finite.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Using Remark 2.7, shows that

$$H_{S^{-1}\phi}^i(S^{-1}M, S^{-1}N) \cong S^{-1}(H_\phi^i(M, N))$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$, this implication is clear. In order to show that (ii) implies (i), we proceed by induction on t . If $t = 1$ there is nothing to show. Suppose that $t > 1$ and the case $t - 1$ is settled. By inductive hypothesis the R -module $H_\phi^i(M, N)$ is finitely generated for all $i < t - 1$, and so it is enough to show that the R -module $H_\phi^{t-1}(M, N)$ is finitely generated. Using 2.4, $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_\phi^{t-1}(M, N))$ is finitely generated. In other hand $\text{Ass } H_\phi^{t-1}(M, N) \subseteq \cup_{\mathfrak{a} \in \phi} v(\mathfrak{a})$, the $\text{Ass } H_\phi^{t-1}(M, N)$ is finite set, by assumption. Let

$$\text{Ass } H_\phi^{t-1}(M, N) = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_s\}.$$

Since $(H_\phi^{t-1}(M, N))_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ is finitely generated $R_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ -module for all $\mathfrak{p}_i \in \text{Spec}(R)$, it follows from Theorem 2.5 and Remark 2.7 that there exists $\mathfrak{b}_i \in \phi$ such that $\mathfrak{b}_{i\mathfrak{p}_i} H_\phi^{t-1}(M, N)_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = 0$. Hence, there is $\mathfrak{c} \in \phi$ such that $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq (\mathfrak{b}_i)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, s$. It follows that $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{p}} H_\phi^{t-1}(M, N)_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, s$. Therefore $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_s\} \not\subseteq \text{Supp}(\mathfrak{c}H_\phi^{t-1}(M, N))$. On the other hand,

$$\text{Ass } \mathfrak{c}H_\phi^{t-1}(M, N) \subseteq \text{Ass } H_\phi^{t-1}(M, N),$$

then $\text{Ass } \mathfrak{c}H_\phi^{t-1}(M, N) = \emptyset$ and $\mathfrak{c}H_\phi^{t-1}(M, N) = 0$. Now, the result follows from Theorem 2.5. \square

Lemma 2.9. *Let R be a Noetherian ring, \mathfrak{a} an ideal of R and T an R -module. Then, $T/(0 :_{\mathfrak{a}} T)$ is isomorphic to a submodule $(\mathfrak{a}T)^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathfrak{a} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and define $f : T \rightarrow (\mathfrak{a}T)^n$ by $f(m) = (x_1m, \dots, x_nm)$. Since $\ker(f) = (0 :_{\mathfrak{a}} T)$, as required. \square

Theorem 2.10. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring and M, N be two finitely generated R -modules and $t \geq 1$ an integer. Consider the following statements:*

- (i) $H_\phi^i(M, N)$ is a minimax R -module for all $i < t$;
- (ii) There exists $\mathfrak{a} \in \phi$ such that $\mathfrak{a}H_\phi^i(M, N)$ is a minimax R -module for all $i < t$;
- (iii) $(H_\phi^i(M, N))_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a finitely generated $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module for all $i < t$ and $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) - \{\mathfrak{m}\}$.

Then,

- (a) (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii),
- (b) (iii) \Rightarrow (i), if $\text{Max}(\phi)$ is a finite set.

Proof. The implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is obviously true.

In order to show (ii) \Rightarrow (i), we proceed by induction on t . If $t = 1$, there is nothing to show, because $H_\phi^0(M, N) \cong \text{Hom}(M, \Gamma_\phi(N))$ is a minimax R -module. Suppose that $t > 1$ and that the desired result has been proved for $t - 1$. By the inductive hypothesis, the R -module $H_\phi^i(M, N)$ is minimax for all $i < t - 1$, and it is enough to show that the R -module $H_\phi^{t-1}(M, N)$ is minimax. By assumption there exists $\mathfrak{a} \in \phi$ such that $\mathfrak{a}H_\phi^{t-1}(M, N)$ is a minimax R -module. In one hand, using Theorem 2.4 $(0 :_{\mathfrak{a}} H_\phi^{t-1}(M, N))$ is finitely generated for all $\mathfrak{a} \in \phi$. On the other hand, since $\mathfrak{a}H_\phi^{t-1}(M, N)$ is minimax R -module, Lemma 2.9 implies that $H_\phi^{t-1}(M, N)/(0 :_{\mathfrak{a}} H_\phi^{t-1}(M, N))$ is minimax R -module. We consider the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\longrightarrow (0 :_{\mathfrak{a}} H_\phi^{t-1}(M, N)) \longrightarrow H_\phi^{t-1}(M, N) \\
 &\longrightarrow H_\phi^{t-1}(M, N)/(0 :_{\mathfrak{a}} H_\phi^{t-1}(M, N)) \longrightarrow 0.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.5}$$

Since $(0 :_{\mathfrak{a}} H_{\phi}^{t-1}(M, N))$ and $H_{\phi}^{t-1}(M, N)/(0 :_{\mathfrak{a}} H_{\phi}^{t-1}(M, N))$ are minimax, it follows that $H_{\phi}^{t-1}(M, N)$ is minimax, as required.

(i) \Rightarrow (iii) As $H_{\phi}^i(M, N)$ is a minimax R -module, there is an exact sequence of R -modules $0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow H_{\phi}^i(M, N) \rightarrow T' \rightarrow 0$, such that T is a finitely generated and T' is an Artinian R -module. Since $T'_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) - \{\mathfrak{m}\}$, then $T_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong (H_{\phi}^i(M, N))_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) - \{\mathfrak{m}\}$, the result follows.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) We use induction on t . If $t = 1$, then the assertion holds by assumption. So assume that $t > 1$ and the result has been proved for $t - 1$. By the inductive hypothesis $H_{\phi}^i(M, N)$ is minimax R -module for all $i < t - 1$. Using Theorem 2.4 $(0 :_{\mathfrak{a}} H_{\phi}^{t-1}(M, N))$ is finitely generated for all $\mathfrak{a} \in \phi$. On the other hand, use the

$$\text{Ass } H_{\phi}^{t-1}(M, N) \subseteq \cup_{\mathfrak{a} \in \phi} V(\mathfrak{a})$$

in conjunction with the assumption $\text{Ass } H_{\phi}^{t-1}(M, N)$ is finite set. Let

$$\text{Ass } H_{\phi}^{t-1}(M, N) - \{\mathfrak{m}\} = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_s\}.$$

By assumption $(H_{\phi}^{t-1}(M, N))_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ is finitely generated for all $i = 1, \dots, s$. Using Theorem 2.5 and Remark 2.7, there exist $\mathfrak{c}_i \in \phi$ such that $(\mathfrak{c}_i H_{\phi}^i(M, N))_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, s$. It follows that, there is $\mathfrak{b} \in \phi$ such that $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{c}_i$ and $(\mathfrak{b} H_{\phi}^i(M, N))_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, s$. Therefore $\text{Ass } \mathfrak{b} H_{\phi}^i(M, N) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ and $\text{Supp } \mathfrak{b} H_{\phi}^i(M, N) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\}$. Hence in view of Lemma 2.9,

$$\text{Supp } H_{\phi}^{t-1}(M, N)/(0 :_{\mathfrak{b}} H_{\phi}^{t-1}(M, N)) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\}.$$

We may consider the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow (0 :_{\mathfrak{b}} H_{\phi}^{t-1}(M, N)) \rightarrow H_{\phi}^{t-1}(M, N) \\ &\rightarrow H_{\phi}^{t-1}(M, N)/(0 :_{\mathfrak{b}} H_{\phi}^{t-1}(M, N)) \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

to obtain the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\longrightarrow (0 :_{\mathfrak{b}} (0 :_{\mathfrak{b}} H_{\phi}^{t-1}(M, N))) \longrightarrow (0 :_{\mathfrak{b}} H_{\phi}^{t-1}(M, N)) \\
&\longrightarrow (0 :_{\mathfrak{b}} H_{\phi}^{t-1}(M, N)/(0 :_{\mathfrak{b}} H_{\phi}^{t-1}(M, N))) \\
&\longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1 \left(R/\mathfrak{b}, (0 :_{\mathfrak{b}} H_{\phi}^{t-1}(M, N)) \right). \tag{2.7}
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the exact sequence (2.5) that

$$(0 :_{\mathfrak{b}} H_{\phi}^{t-1}(M, N)/(0 :_{\mathfrak{b}} H_{\phi}^{t-1}(M, N)))$$

is a finitely generated R -module. Thus

$$(0 :_{\mathfrak{m}} H_{\phi}^{t-1}(M, N)/(0 :_{\mathfrak{b}} H_{\phi}^{t-1}(M, N)))$$

is a finitely generated R -module. Therefore, in view of Remark 2.7, $H_{\phi}^{t-1}(M, N)/(0 :_{\mathfrak{b}} H_{\phi}^{t-1}(M, N))$ is an Artinian R -module. Now, by virtue of the exact sequence (2.6) the result follows. \square

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her useful comments.

REFERENCES

1. M. Aghapournahr and L. Melkersson, Finiteness properties of minimax and coatomic local cohomology modules, *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, **94** (2010), 519–528.
2. K. Bahmanpour, R. Naghipour, On the cofiniteness of local cohomology modules, *Amer. Math. Soc.*, **136**(7) (2005), 2359–2363.
3. M. H. Bijan-Zadeh, A common generalization of local cohomology theories, *Glasg. Math. J.*, **21**(1) (1980), 173–181.
4. M. H. Bijan-Zadeh, Torsion theory and local cohomology over commutative Noetherian ring, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **19**(3) (1979), 402–410.
5. M. P. Brodmann and R. Y. sharp, *Local cohomology: An algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998.

6. W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge studies in Advanced Mathematics 39, Revised edition, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
7. M. R. Doustimehr and R. Naghipour, On the generalization of Faltings' Annihilator Theorem, *Arch. Math.*, **102**(1) (2014), 15–23.
8. G. Faltings, Der endlichkeitsatz in der lokalen kohomologie, *Math. Ann.*, **255** (1981), 45–56.
9. A. Hajikarimi, Cofiniteness with respect to a serre subcategory, *Math. Notes*, **58**(1) (2011), 121–130.
10. C. Huneke and J. Koh, Cofiniteness and vanishing of local cohomology modules, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, **110**(3) (1991), 421–429.
11. H. Matsumura, *Commutative Ring Theory*, Second edition, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 8, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
12. L. Melkersson, Modules cofinite with respect to an ideal, *J. Algebra*, **285**(1) (2005), 649–668.

Aireza Hajikarimi

Department of Mathematics, Mobarakeh Branch, Islamic Azad University, Isfahan, Iran.

Email: a.hajikarimi@mau.ac.ir

Fatemeh Dehghani-Zadeh

Department of Mathematics, Islamic Azad University, Yazd branch, Yazd, Iran.

Email: fdzadeh@gmail.com

FALTINGS' LOCAL-GLOBAL PRINCIPLE FOR THE MINIMAXNESS
OF LOCAL COHOMOLOGY MODULES DEFINED
BY A SYSTEM OF IDEALS

A. HAJIKARIMI AND F. DEGHANI-ZADEH

اصل موضعی-سرتاسری فالتینگز برای مینیمکس بودن مدول‌های کوهمولوژی موضعی تعریف شده
نسبت به دستگاه ایده‌آلی

علیرضا حاجی‌کریمی^۱ و فاطمه دهقانی‌زاده^۲

گروه ریاضی، دانشگاه آزاد واحد مبارکه، اصفهان، ایران

گروه ریاضی، دانشگاه آزاد واحد یزد، یزد، ایران

فرض کنید R یک حلقه نوتری و جابه‌جایی و ϕ یک دستگاه ایده‌آلی از R باشد. در این مقاله نشان می‌دهیم
که در برخی حالات خاص، شرایط اصل موضعی-سرتاسری فالتینگز برای متناهی بودن و مینیمکس بودن
مدول‌های کوهمولوژی موضعی $H_{\phi}^i(M, N)$ برقرار است.

کلمات کلیدی: مدول‌های کوهمولوژی موضعی تعمیم‌یافته، اصل موضعی-سرتاسری، مدول‌های
مینیمکس.