ŁUKASIEWICZ FUZZY FILTERS IN HOOPS

M. MOHSENI TAKALLO, M. AALY KOLOGANI, Y. B. JUN AND R. A. BORZOOEI*

ABSTRACT. By applying the concept of the Łukasiewicz fuzzy set to the filter in hoops, the Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter is introduced and its properties are investigated. The relationship between fuzzy filter and Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter is discussed. Conditions for the Łukasiewicz fuzzy set to be a Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter are provided, and characterizations of Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter are displayed. The conditions under which the three subsets, \in -set, q-set, and O-set, will be filter are explored.

1. Introduction

The hoop is introduced by Bosbach in [10, 11], and it is a naturally ordered pocrim, i.e., a partially ordered commutative residuated integral monoid. In the past few years, hoop theory has become enriched with deep structural arrangements. Filter theory and its fuzzy works have been studied, including studies of various properties in hoops (see [1, 2, 5, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 13, 18, 22, 23]). Łukasiewicz logic, which is the logic of the Łukasiewicz t-norm, is a non-classical and many-valued logic. Using the idea of Łukasiewicz t-norm, Jun [16] constructed the concept of Łukasiewicz fuzzy sets based on a given fuzzy set and applied it to BEalgebras, BCK-algebras and BCI-algebras (see [3, 14, 15, 17, 20, 21]).

In this paper, the concept of the Łukasiewicz fuzzy set is applied to the filter of hoops. We introduce the notion of Łukasiewicz fuzzy

1

DOI: 10.22044/JAS.2022.12139.1632.

MSC(2020): Primary: 03G25; Secondary: 06F35, 08A72.

Keywords: Łukasiewicz fuzzy set; Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter; \in -set; q-set; O-set.

Received: 22 July 2022, Accepted: 11 November 2022.

^{*}Corresponding author.

filters in hoops, and investigate several properties. We discuss the relationship between fuzzy filter and Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter, and consider characterizations of Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter. We provide conditions for the Łukasiewicz fuzzy set to be a Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter. We explore the conditions under which \in -set, q-set, and O-set of the Łukasiewicz fuzzy set can be filters.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. By a *hoop* we mean an algebra $(H, \odot, \rightarrow, 1)$ in which $(H, \odot, 1)$ is a commutative monoid and the following assertions are valid.

- (H1) $(\forall a \in H)(a \rightarrow a = 1)$,
- (H2) $(\forall a, b \in H)(a \odot (a \rightarrow b) = b \odot (b \rightarrow a)),$
- (H3) $(\forall a, b, c \in H)(a \to (b \to c) = (a \odot b) \to c).$

On hoop H, we define $a \leq b$ if and only if $a \to b = 1$. It is easy to see that \leq is a partial order relation on H.

Proposition 2.2 ([10, 11]). Every hoop H satisfies the following assertions:

$$(\forall a, b \in H)(a \odot b \le c \iff a \le b \to c). \tag{2.1}$$

$$(\forall a, b \in H)(a \odot b \le a, b). \tag{2.2}$$

$$(\forall a, b \in H)(a \le b \to a). \tag{2.3}$$

$$(\forall a \in H)(a \to 1 = 1, \ 1 \to a = a). \tag{2.4}$$

$$(\forall a, b, c \in H)((a \to b) \odot (b \to c) \le a \to c). \tag{2.5}$$

$$(\forall a, b, c \in H)(a \le b \implies a \odot c \le b \odot c). \tag{2.6}$$

$$(\forall a, b \in H)(a \odot (a \to b) \le b). \tag{2.7}$$

Definition 2.3. By a *filter* of a hoop H we mean a subset F of H which satisfies:

$$(\forall a, b \in H)(a, b \in F \implies a \odot b \in F), \tag{2.8}$$

$$(\forall a, b \in H)(a \le b, a \in F \implies b \in F). \tag{2.9}$$

Proposition 2.4 ([12]). A subset F of a hoop H is a filter of H if and only if it satisfies:

$$1 \in F, \tag{2.10}$$

$$(\forall a, b \in H)(a, a \to b \in F \implies b \in F). \tag{2.11}$$

A fuzzy set λ in a set H of the form

$$\lambda(b) := \begin{cases} t \in (0,1] & \text{if } b = a, \\ 0 & \text{if } b \neq a, \end{cases}$$

is said to be a fuzzy point with support a and value t and is denoted by $\langle a/t \rangle$.

For a fuzzy set λ in a set H, we say that a fuzzy point $\langle a/t \rangle$ is

- (i) contained in λ , denoted by $\langle a/t \rangle \in \lambda$, (see [19]) if $\lambda(a) \geq t$.
- (ii) quasi-coincident with λ , denoted by $\langle a/t \rangle q \lambda$, (see [19]) if $\lambda(a) + t > 1$.

If $\langle a/t \rangle \alpha \lambda$ is not established for $\alpha \in \{\in, q\}$, it is denoted by $\langle a/t \rangle \overline{\alpha} \lambda$.

Definition 2.5. A fuzzy set λ in a hoop H is called a *fuzzy filter* of H (see [7]) if it satisfies:

$$(\forall a \in H)(\lambda(1) \ge \lambda(a)), \tag{2.12}$$

$$(\forall a, b \in H)(\lambda(b) \ge \min\{\lambda(a), \lambda(a \to b)\}). \tag{2.13}$$

Definition 2.6 ([16]). Let λ be a fuzzy set in a set H and let $\delta \in (0,1)$. A function

$$\mathbb{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}: H \to [0,1], \ x \mapsto \max\{0, \lambda(x) + \delta - 1\}$$

is called the *Łukasiewicz fuzzy set* of λ in H.

Let λ be a fuzzy set in a set H. For the Łukasiewicz fuzzy set $\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ of λ in H and $t \in (0,1]$, consider the sets

 $(\mathbb{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}, t)_{\in} := \{x \in H \mid \langle x/t \rangle \in \mathbb{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}\} \text{ and } (\mathbb{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}, t)_{q} := \{x \in H \mid \langle x/t \rangle q \mathbb{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}\},$ which are called the \in -set and q-set, respectively, of $\mathbb{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ (with value t). Also, consider a set:

$$O(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}) := \{ x \in H \mid \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x) > 0 \}$$
 (2.14)

which is called the O-set of $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$. It is observed that

$$O(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}) = \{ x \in H \mid \lambda(x) + \delta - 1 > 0 \}.$$

3. Łukasiewicz fuzzy filters

In this section, we introduce the notion of Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter of hoops and investigate some properties of it.

Definition 3.1. Let λ be a fuzzy set in H. Then its Łukasiewicz fuzzy set $\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ in H is called a *Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter* of H if it satisfies:

$$(\forall x, y \in H)(\forall t_a, t_b \in (0, 1]) \left(\begin{array}{c} \langle x/t_a \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}, \langle y/t_b \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta} \\ \Rightarrow \langle (x \odot y)/\min\{t_a, t_b\} \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta} \end{array} \right), \tag{3.1}$$

$$(\forall x, y \in H)(\forall t \in (0, 1]) \left(x \le y, \langle x/t \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta} \implies \langle y/t \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta} \right). \tag{3.2}$$

4 MOHSENI TAKALLO, AALY KOLOGANI, JUN AND BORZOOEI

Table 1. Cayley table for the binary operation "\cdot"

\odot	0	a_1	a_2	1
0	0	0	0	0
a_1	0	0	a_1	a_1
a_2	0	a_1	a_2	a_2
1	0	a_1	a_2	1

Table 2. Cayley table for the binary operation "\cdot"

\rightarrow	0	a_1	a_2	1
0	1	1	1	1
a_1	a_1	1	1	1
a_2	0	a_1	1	1
1	0	a_1	a_2	1

Example 3.2. Let $H = \{0, a_1, a_2, 1\}$ be a set with binary operations " \odot " and " \rightarrow " in Table 1 and Table 2, respectively.

Then H is a (bounded) hoop. Define a fuzzy set λ in H as follows:

$$\lambda: H \to [0, 1], \ x \mapsto \begin{cases} 0.59 \text{ if } x = 0, \\ 0.59 \text{ if } x = a_1, \\ 0.78 \text{ if } x = a_2, \\ 0.89 \text{ if } x = 1 \end{cases}$$

Given $\delta:=0.63,$ the Łukasiewicz fuzzy set $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ of λ in H is given as follows:

$$\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}: H \to [0, 1], \ x \mapsto \begin{cases} 0.22 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 0.22 & \text{if } x = a_1, \\ 0.41 & \text{if } x = a_2, \\ 0.52 & \text{if } x = 1. \end{cases}$$

It is routine to verify that $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ is a Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter of H.

Theorem 3.3. Let λ be a fuzzy set in H. Then its Łukasiewicz fuzzy set E_{λ}^{δ} in H is a Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter of H if and only if the following conditions are valid.

$$\mathbb{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(1)$$
 is an upper bound of ${\mathbb{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x) \mid x \in H}$, (3.3)

(\forall x \in H)(\forall t \in \in (0.1)) \left(\lambda x/t_a \rangle \in \frac{\delta}{\delta}, \lambda (x \righta y)/t_b \rangle \in \frac{\delta}{\delta} \right)

$$(\forall x, y \in H)(\forall t_a, t_b \in (0, 1]) \left(\begin{array}{c} \langle x/t_a \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta}, \ \langle (x \to y)/t_b \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \\ \Rightarrow \langle y/\min\{t_a, t_b\} \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \end{array} \right). \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ is a Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter of H. If $\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(1)$ is not an upper bound of $\{\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(x) \mid x \in H\}$, then $\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(1) < \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(z)$ for some $z \in H$. Since $z \leq 1$ and $\langle z/\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(z) \rangle \in \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$, it follows from (3.2) that $\langle 1/\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(z) \rangle \in \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$, i.e., $\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(1) \geq \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(z)$. This is a contradiction, and thus $\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(1)$ is an upper bound of $\{\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(x) \mid x \in H\}$. Let $x, y \in H$ and $t_a, t_b \in (0, 1]$ be such that $\langle x/t_a \rangle \in \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ and $\langle (x \to y)/t_b \rangle \in \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$. Then

$$\langle ((x \to y) \odot x) / \min\{t_a, t_b\} \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$$

by (3.1). Since $(x \to y) \odot x \le y$, it follows from (3.2) that

$$\langle y/\min\{t_a, t_b\}\rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$$
.

Assume that $\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ satisfies (3.3) and (3.4). Let $x, y \in H$ and $t \in (0, 1]$ be such that $x \leq y$ and $\langle x/t \rangle \in \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$. Then

$$\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x \to y) = \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(1) \ge \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x) \ge t$$

by (3.3), that is, $\langle (x \to y)/t \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$. Using (3.4) leads to $\langle y/t \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$, which proves (3.2). Let $x, y \in H$ and $t_a, t_b \in (0, 1]$ be such that $\langle x/t_a \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ and $\langle y/t_b \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$. Since

$$x \to (y \to x \odot y) = x \odot y \to x \odot y = 1$$

by (H1) and (H3), we have $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x \to (y \to x \odot y)) = \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(1) \ge \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(y) \ge t_b$, that is, $\langle (x \to (y \to x \odot y))/t_b \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$. Hence

$$\langle (y \to x \odot y) / \min\{t_a, t_b\} \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$$

by (3.4). Using (3.4) again leads to $\langle (x \odot y)/\min\{t_a, t_b\} \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$, which shows (3.1). Therefore, $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ is a Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter of H.

Theorem 3.4. Let λ be a fuzzy set in H. Then its Łukasiewicz fuzzy set L^{δ}_{λ} is a Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter of H if and only if it satisfies:

$$(\forall x \in H)(\forall t \in (0,1]) \left(\langle x/t \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \Rightarrow \langle 1/t \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \right), \tag{3.5}$$

$$(\forall x, y \in H)(L_{\lambda}^{\delta}(y) \ge \min\{L_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x), L_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x \to y)\}). \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ is a Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter of H. Let $x \in H$ and $t \in (0,1]$ be such that $\langle x/t \rangle \in \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$. Using (3.3) leads to $\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(1) \geq \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(x) \geq t$, and so $\langle 1/t \rangle \in \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$. Note that $\langle x/\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(x) \rangle \in \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ and

$$\langle (x \to y)/ \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x \to y) \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$$

for all $x, y \in H$. It follows from (3.4) that

$$\langle y/\min\{\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x),\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x\to y)\}\rangle\in\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta},$$

and hence $\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(y) \geq \min\{\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(x), \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(x \to y)\}\$ for all $x, y \in H$. Conversely, suppose that $\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ satisfies (3.5) and (3.6). Since

$$\langle x/\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x)\rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$$

for all $x \in H$, we have $\langle 1/\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(x) \rangle \in \mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ and so $\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(1) \geq \mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(x)$ for all $x \in H$ by (3.5). Hence $\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(1)$ is an upper bound of $\{\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(x) \mid x \in H\}$. Let $x, y \in H$ and $t_a, t_b \in (0, 1]$ be such that $\langle x/t_a \rangle \in \mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ and $\langle (x \to y)/t_b \rangle \in \mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$. Then $\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(x) \geq t_a$ and $\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(x \to y) \geq t_b$, which imply from (3.6) that

$$\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(y) \ge \min\{\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x), \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x \to y)\} \ge \min\{t_a, t_b\}.$$

Thus $\langle y/\min\{t_a, t_b\}\rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$. Therefore $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ is a Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter of H by Theorem 3.3.

Proposition 3.5. Every Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter $\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ of H satisfies:

$$(\forall x, y, z \in H)(\forall t_a, t_c \in (0, 1]) \begin{pmatrix} z \leq x \to y, \langle x/t_a \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta}, \langle z/t_c \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \\ \Rightarrow \langle y/\min\{t_a, t_c\} \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$(3.7)$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in H)(\forall t_a, t_b \in (0, 1]) \begin{pmatrix} x \leq y \to z, \langle x/t_a \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta}, \langle y/t_b \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \\ \Rightarrow \langle z/\min\{t_a, t_b\} \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$(3.8)$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in H)(\forall t_a, t_b \in (0, 1]) \begin{pmatrix} \langle (x \to y)/t_a \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta}, \langle (y \to z)/t_b \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \\ \Rightarrow \langle (x \to z)/\min\{t_a, t_b\} \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.9}$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in H)(\forall t_a, t_c \in (0, 1]) \begin{pmatrix} \langle (x \to y)/t_a \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta}, \langle (x \odot z)/t_c \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \\ \Rightarrow \langle (y \odot z)/\min\{t_a, t_c\} \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$(3.10)$$

Proof. Let $x, y, z \in H$ and $t_a, t_c \in (0, 1]$ be such that $z \leq x \to y$, $\langle x/t_a \rangle \in \mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ and $\langle z/t_c \rangle \in \mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$. Then $z \to (x \to y) = 1$, $\mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(x) \geq t_a$ and $\mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(z) \geq t_c$. Hence

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(y) &\geq \min\{\mathbf{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x), \mathbf{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x \to y)\} \\ &\geq \min\{\mathbf{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x), \min\{\mathbf{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(z \to (x \to y)), \mathbf{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(z)\}\} \\ &= \min\{\mathbf{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x), \min\{\mathbf{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(1), \mathbf{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(z)\}\} \\ &= \min\{\mathbf{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x), \mathbf{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(z)\} \\ &\geq \min\{t_{a}, t_{c}\}, \end{split}$$

and so $\langle y/\min\{t_a, t_c\}\rangle \in \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$. Therefore (3.7) is valid. Let $x, y, z \in H$ and $t_a, t_b \in (0, 1]$ be such that $x \leq y \to z$, $\langle x/t_a \rangle \in \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$, and $\langle y/t_b \rangle \in \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$. Then $x \odot y \leq z$ by (2.1) and $\langle (x \odot y)/\min\{t_a, t_b\}\rangle \in \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ by (3.1), and hence $\langle z/\min\{t_a, t_b\}\rangle \in \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ by (3.2). This proves (3.8). Let $x, y, z \in H$

and $t_a, t_b \in (0, 1]$ be such that $\langle (x \to y)/t_a \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ and $\langle (y \to z)/t_b \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$. Then

$$\langle ((x \to y) \odot (y \to z)) / \min\{t_a, t_b\} \rangle \in \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$$

by (3.1). Since $(x \to y) \odot (y \to z) \le x \to z$, it follows from (3.2) that

$$\langle (x \to z)/\min\{t_a, t_b\} \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}.$$

Hence (3.9) is valid. Let $x, y, z \in H$ and $t_a, t_c \in (0, 1]$ be such that $\langle (x \to y)/t_a \rangle \in \mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ and $\langle (x \odot z)/t_c \rangle \in \mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$. Using (3.1) leads to

$$\langle (z \odot x \odot (x \to y)) / \min\{t_a, t_c\} \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}.$$

Since $x \odot (x \to y) \le y$, we have $z \odot x \odot (x \to y) \le z \odot y = y \odot z$ by (2.6) and the commutativity of \odot . Hence

$$\langle (y \odot z) / \min\{t_a, t_c\} \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$$

by (3.2), and so (3.10) is valid.

Theorem 3.6. If λ is a fuzzy filter of H, then its Łukasiewicz fuzzy set L^{δ}_{λ} in H is a Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter of H.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ be a Łukasiewicz fuzzy set of a fuzzy filter λ in H. Then

$$\mathtt{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(1) = \max\{0, \lambda(1) + \delta - 1\} \ge \max\{0, \lambda(x) + \delta - 1\} = \mathtt{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x)$$

for all $x \in H$. Hence $\mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(1)$ is an upper bound of $\{\mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(x) \mid x \in H\}$. Let $x, y \in H$ and $t_a, t_b \in (0, 1]$ be such that $\langle x/t_a \rangle \in \mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ and $\langle (x \to y)/t_b \rangle \in \mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$. Then $\mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(x) \geq t_a$ and $\mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(x \to y) \geq t_b$, which imply that

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(y) &= \max \left\{ 0, \lambda(y) + \delta - 1 \right\} \\ &\geq \max \left\{ 0, \min \{ \lambda(x), \lambda(x \to y) \} + \delta - 1 \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ 0, \min \{ \lambda(x) + \delta - 1, \lambda(x \to y) + \delta - 1 \} \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \max \{ 0, \lambda(x) + \delta - 1 \}, \max \{ 0, \lambda(x \to y) + \delta - 1 \} \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \mathbf{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x), \mathbf{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x \to y) \right\} \\ &\geq \min \left\{ t_a, t_b \right\}. \end{split}$$

Hence $\langle y/\min\{t_a, t_b\}\rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$, and therefore $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ is a Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter of H by Theorem 3.3.

From the perspective of Theorem 3.6, the Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter can be said to be a generalization of fuzzy filters.

The converse of Theorem 3.6 may not be true as seen in the example below.

Table 3. Cayley table for the binary operation "\cdot"

\odot	0	a_1	a_2	a_3	a_4	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
a_1	0	a_1	a_4	0	a_4	a_1
a_2	0	a_4	a_3	a_3	0	a_2
a_3	0	0	a_3	a_3	0	a_3
a_4	0	a_4	0	0	0	a_4
1	0	a_1	a_2	a_3	a_4	1

Table 4. Cayley table for the binary operation " \rightarrow "

\rightarrow	0	a_1	a_2	a_3	a_4	1
0	1	1	1	1	1	1
a_1	a_3	1	a_2	a_3	a_2	1
a_2	a_4	a_1	1	a_2	a_1	1
a_3	a_1	a_1	1	1	a_1	1
a_4	a_2	1	1	a_2	1	1
1	0	a_1	a_2	a_3	a_4	1

Example 3.7. Let $H = \{0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, 1\}$ be a set with binary operations " \odot " and " \rightarrow " in Table 3 and Table 4, respectively.

Then $(H, \odot, \rightarrow, 1)$ is a hoop (see [6]). Define a fuzzy set λ in H as follows:

$$\lambda: H \to [0,1], \ x \mapsto \begin{cases} 0.38 \text{ if } x = 0, \\ 0.42 \text{ if } x = a_1, \\ 0.93 \text{ if } x = a_2, \\ 0.93 \text{ if } x = a_3, \\ 0.37 \text{ if } x = a_4, \\ 0.99 \text{ if } x = 1. \end{cases}$$

Given $\delta:=0.54,$ the Łukasiewicz fuzzy set $\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ of λ in H is given as follows:

$$\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}: H \to [0,1], \ x \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0.53 & \text{if} \ x = 1, \\ 0.47 & \text{if} \ x \in \{a_2, a_3\}, \\ 0.00 & \text{if} \ x \in \{0, a_1, a_4\}. \end{array} \right.$$

It is routine to check that $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ is a Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter of H. But λ is not a fuzzy filter of H since

$$\lambda(a_4) = 0.37 \ngeq 0.42 = \min{\{\lambda(a_1), \lambda(a_1 \to a_4)\}}.$$

Theorem 3.8. If a Łukasiewicz fuzzy set $\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ in H satisfies (3.3) and (3.8), then $\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ is a Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter of H.

Proof. Let $x, y \in H$ and $t_a, t_b \in (0, 1]$ be such that $\langle x/t_a \rangle \in \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ and $\langle (x \to y)/t_b \rangle \in \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$. Since $x \to y \le x \to y$, we have $\langle y/\min\{t_a, t_b\} \rangle \in \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ by (3.8). Hence $\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ is a Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter of H by Theorem 3.3.

Theorem 3.9. If a Łukasiewicz fuzzy set L_{λ}^{δ} in H satisfies (3.3) and (3.9), then L_{λ}^{δ} is a Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter of H.

Proof. Let $y, z \in H$ and $t_b, t_c \in (0, 1]$ be such that $\langle y/t_b \rangle \in \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ and $\langle (y \to z)/t_c \rangle \in \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$. Since $\langle (1 \to y)/t_b \rangle = \langle y/t_b \rangle \in \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$, it follows from (2.4) and (3.9) that

$$\langle z/\min\{t_b, t_c\}\rangle = \langle (1 \to z)/\min\{t_b, t_c\}\rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}.$$

Hence $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ is a Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter of H by Theorem 3.3.

Theorem 3.10. If a Łukasiewicz fuzzy set L^{δ}_{λ} in H satisfies (3.3) and (3.10), then L^{δ}_{λ} is a Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter of H.

Proof. Let $y, z \in H$ and $t_b, t_c \in (0, 1]$ be such that $\langle y/t_b \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ and $\langle z/t_c \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$. Then $\langle (1 \to y)/t_b \rangle = \langle y/t_b \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ and

$$\langle (1 \odot z)/t_c \rangle = \langle z/t_c \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}.$$

It follows from (3.10) that $\langle (y \odot z)/\min\{t_b, t_c\} \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$. Let $x, y \in H$ and $t \in (0, 1]$ be such that $x \leq y$ and $\langle x/t \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$. The condition (3.3) induces

$$\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x \to y) = \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(1) \ge \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x) \ge t,$$

that is, $\langle (x \to y)/t \rangle \in \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$. Since $\langle (x \odot 1)/t \rangle = \langle x/t \rangle \in \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$, we have $\langle y/t \rangle = \langle (y \odot 1)/t \rangle \in \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ by (3.10). Therefore $\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ is a Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter of H.

Theorem 3.11. Let L_{λ}^{δ} be a Łukasiewicz fuzzy set in H satisfying the condition (3.3). If it satisfies:

$$(\forall x, y, z \in H)(\forall t_a, t_b \in (0, 0.5]) \left(\begin{array}{c} x \leq y \to z, \langle x/t_a \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta}, \langle y/t_b \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \\ \Rightarrow \langle z/\min\{t_a, t_b\} \rangle q L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \end{array} \right), \tag{3.11}$$

or

$$(\forall x, y, z \in H)(\forall t_a, t_b \in (0.5, 1]) \begin{pmatrix} x \leq y \to z, \langle x/t_a \rangle q \, \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}, \langle y/t_b \rangle q \, \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta} \\ \Rightarrow \langle z/\min\{t_a, t_b\} \rangle \in \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.12}$$

then $_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ is a Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter of H.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ be a Łukasiewicz fuzzy set in H satisfying the condition (3.3). Assume that $\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ satisfies the condition (3.11). Let $x, y \in H$ and $t_a, t_b \in (0, 0.5] \subseteq (0, 1]$ be such that $\langle x/t_a \rangle \in \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ and $\langle (x \to y)/t_b \rangle \in \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$. Since $x \to y \le x \to y$, we have $\langle y/\min\{t_a, t_b\} \rangle q \,\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ by (3.11). Since $\min\{t_a, t_b\} \le 0.5$, it follows that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(y) > 1 - \min\{t_a, t_b\} \ge \min\{t_a, t_b\},\,$$

i.e., $\langle y/\min\{t_a, t_b\}\rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ is a Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter of H by Theorem 3.3. Suppose that $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ satisfies the condition (3.12), and let $x, y \in H$ and $t_a, t_b \in (0.5, 1] \subseteq (0, 1]$ be such that $\langle x/t_a \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ and $\langle (x \to y)/t_b \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$. Then

$$\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x) \geq t_a > 1 - t_a \text{ and } \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x \to y) \geq t_b > 1 - t_b$$

since $t_a > 0.5$ and $t_b > 0.5$. Thus $\langle x/t_a \rangle q \, \mathbb{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ and $\langle (x \to y)/t_b \rangle q \, \mathbb{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$. Since $x \to y \le x \to y$, we have $\langle y/\min\{t_a, t_b\} \rangle \in \mathbb{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ by (3.12). Therefore, $\mathbb{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ is a Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter of H by Theorem 3.3.

Theorem 3.12. Let L_{λ}^{δ} be a Lukasiewicz fuzzy set in H satisfying the condition (3.3). If it satisfies:

$$(\forall x, y, z \in H)(\forall t_a, t_b \in (0, 0.5]) \left(\begin{array}{c} \langle (x \to y)/t_a \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta}, \, \langle (y \to z)/t_b \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \\ \Rightarrow \langle (x \to z)/\min\{t_a, t_b\} \rangle \, q \, L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \end{array} \right)$$

$$(3.13)$$

or

$$(\forall x, y, z \in H)(\forall t_a, t_b \in (0.5, 1]) \begin{pmatrix} \langle (x \to y)/t_a \rangle q \, L_{\lambda}^{\delta}, \, \langle (y \to z)/t_b \rangle q \, L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \\ \Rightarrow \langle (x \to z)/\min\{t_a, t_b\} \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.14}$$

then L_{λ}^{δ} is a Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter of H.

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ satisfies (3.13). Let $y, z \in H$ and

$$t_b, t_c \in (0, 0.5] \subseteq (0, 1]$$

be such that $\langle y/t_b \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ and $\langle (y \to z)/t_c \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$. Then

$$\langle (1 \to y)/t_b \rangle = \langle y/t_b \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta},$$

which implies from (2.4) and (3.13) that

$$\langle z/\min\{t_b, t_c\}\rangle = \langle (1 \to z)/\min\{t_b, t_c\}\rangle q \,\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}.$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(z) > 1 - \min\{t_b, t_c\} \ge \min\{t_b, t_c\}$$

since $\min\{t_b, t_c\} < 0.5$. Thus $\langle z/\min\{t_b, t_c\} \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$, and therefore $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ is a Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter of H. Now, suppose that $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ satisfies (3.14). Let $y, z \in H$ and $t_b, t_c \in (0.5, 1] \subseteq (0, 1]$ be such that $\langle y/t_b \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ and $\langle (y \to z)/t_c \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$. Since $t_b > 0.5$ and $t_c > 0.5$, it follows that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(1 \to y) = \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(y) \ge t_b > 1 - t_b$$

and $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(y \to z) \geq t_c > 1 - t_c$, i.e., $\langle (1 \to y)/t_b \rangle q \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ and $\langle (y \to z)/t_c \rangle q \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$. Hence

$$\langle z/\min\{t_b, t_c\}\rangle = \langle (1 \to z)/\min\{t_b, t_c\}\rangle \in \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$$

by (2.4) and (3.14). Consequently, $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ is a Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter of H.

Theorem 3.13. Let L^{δ}_{λ} be a Łukasiewicz fuzzy set in H satisfying the condition (3.3). If it satisfies:

$$(\forall x, y, z \in H)(\forall t_a, t_c \in (0, 0.5]) \left(\begin{array}{c} \langle (x \to y)/t_a \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta}, \ \langle (x \odot z)/t_c \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \\ \Rightarrow \langle (y \odot z)/\min\{t_a, t_c\} \rangle \ q \ L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \end{array} \right)$$

$$(3.15)$$

or

$$(\forall x, y, z \in H)(\forall t_a, t_c \in (0.5, 1]) \begin{pmatrix} \langle (x \to y)/t_a \rangle q \, L_{\lambda}^{\delta}, \, \langle (x \odot z)/t_c \rangle q \, L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \\ \Rightarrow \langle (y \odot z)/\min\{t_a, t_c\} \rangle \in {}^{\delta}_{\lambda} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.16}$$

then L^{δ}_{λ} is a Lukasiewicz fuzzy filter of H.

Proof. If $\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ satisfies (3.15), let $t_b, t_c \in (0, 0.5] \subseteq (0, 1]$ and $y, z \in H$ be such that $\langle y/t_b \rangle \in \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ and $\langle z/t_c \rangle \in \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$. Then $\langle (1 \to y)/t_b \rangle = \langle y/t_b \rangle \in \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ and $\langle (1 \odot z)/t_c \rangle = \langle z/t_c \rangle \in \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$. Hence $\langle (y \odot z)/\min\{t_b, t_c\} \rangle q \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ by (3.15). Since $\min\{t_b, t_c\} \leq 0.5$, it follows that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(y\odot z) > 1 - \min\{t_b, t_c\} \ge \min\{t_b, t_c\},$$

that is, $\langle (y \odot z)/\min\{t_b, t_c\} \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$. Let $x, y \in H$ and

$$t \in (0, 0.5] \subseteq (0, 1]$$

be such that $x \leq y$ and $\langle x/t \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$. The condition (3.3) induces

$$\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x \to y) = \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(1) \ge \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x) \ge t,$$

that is, $\langle (x \to y)/t \rangle \in \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$. Since $\langle (x \odot 1)/t \rangle = \langle x/t \rangle \in \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$, we have $\langle y/t \rangle = \langle (y \odot 1)/t \rangle q \,\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ by (3.15), and so $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(y) > 1 - t \geq t$ since $t \leq 0.5$. Thus $\langle y/t \rangle \in \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$. Therefore $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ is a Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter of H. Now, assume that $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ satisfies (3.16). Let $t_b, t_c \in (0.5, 1] \subseteq (0, 1]$ and $y, z \in H$ be such that $\langle y/t_b \rangle \in \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ and $\langle z/t_c \rangle \in \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$. Then

$$\langle (1 \to y)/t_b \rangle = \langle y/t_b \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$$

and $\langle (1 \odot z)/t_c \rangle = \langle z/t_c \rangle \in \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$, which imply that $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(1 \to y) \geq t_b > 1 - t_b$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(1 \odot z) \geq t_c > 1 - t_c$ since $t_b, t_c \in (0.5, 1]$, that is, $\langle (1 \to y)/t_b \rangle q \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ and $\langle (1 \odot z)/t_c \rangle q \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$. Using (3.16) leads to $\langle (y \odot z)/\min\{t_b, t_c\} \rangle \in \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$. Let $x, y \in H$ and $t \in (0.5, 1] \subseteq (0, 1]$ be such that $x \leq y$ and $\langle x/t \rangle \in \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$. The condition (3.3) induces

$$\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x \to y) = \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(1) \ge \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x) \ge t > 1 - t,$$

that is, $\langle (x \to y)/t \rangle q \, \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$. Since $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x \odot 1) = \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x) \geq t > 1 - t$, we get $\langle (x \odot 1)/t \rangle q \, \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$. Hence $\langle y/t \rangle = \langle (y \odot 1)/t \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ by (3.16), and therefore $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ is a Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter of H.

We explore the conditions under which \in -set, q-set, and O-set of the Łukasiewicz fuzzy set can be filters.

Theorem 3.14. Let L_{λ}^{δ} be a Lukasiewicz fuzzy set in H. Then the nonempty \in -set $(L_{\lambda}^{\delta}, t)_{\in}$ of L_{λ}^{δ} is a filter of H for all $t \in (0.5, 1]$ if and only if L_{λ}^{δ} satisfies:

$$(\forall x, y \in H) \left(\min\{ E_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x), E_{\lambda}^{\delta}(y) \} \le \max\{ E_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x \odot y), 0.5 \} \right), \quad (3.17)$$

$$(\forall x, y \in H) \left(x \le y \implies L_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x) \le \max\{L_{\lambda}^{\delta}(y), 0.5\} \right). \tag{3.18}$$

Proof. Assume that the nonempty \in -set $(\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_{\in}$ of $\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ is a filter of H for all $t \in (0.5, 1]$. If there exist $a, b \in H$ such that

$$\min\{\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a),\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(b)\} > \max\{\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a\odot b),0.5\},\$$

then $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a), \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(b) \in (0.5, 1]$ and

$$\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a \odot b) < \min\{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a), \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(b)\}.$$

Since $\langle a/\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(a) \rangle \in \mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ and $\langle b/\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(b) \rangle \in \mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$, we get $a,b \in (\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda},t)_{\in}$ and so $a \odot b \in (\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda},t)_{\in}$ where $t := \min\{\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(a),\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(b)\}$. Hence

$$\mathtt{L}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\boldsymbol{a}\odot\boldsymbol{b})\geq t=\min\{\mathtt{L}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\boldsymbol{a}),\mathtt{L}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\boldsymbol{b})\},$$

a contradiction. Thus $\min\{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x),\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(y)\} \leq \max\{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x\odot y),0.5\}$ for all $x,y\in H$. If (3.18) is not valid, then there exist $a,b\in H$ such that $a\leq b$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a)>\max\{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(b),0.5\}$. Then $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a)\in(0.5,1]$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(b)<\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a)$. Since $\langle a/\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a)\rangle\in\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$, i.e., $a\in(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta},\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a))_{\in}$, we get $b\in(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta},\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a))_{\in}$. Thus $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(b)\geq\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a)$, a contradiction. Therefore $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ satisfies the condition (3.18).

Conversely, suppose that $\dot{\mathbf{L}}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ satisfies two conditions (3.17) and (3.18). If $x, y \in (\mathbf{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}, t)_{\in}$ for $t \in (0.5, 1]$, then

$$\max\{\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x\odot y), 0.5\} \ge \min\{\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x), \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(y)\} \ge t > 0.5$$

and thus $\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(x \odot y) \geq t$, i.e., $x \odot y \in (\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_{\in}$. Now, let $x, y \in H$ be such that $x \leq y$ and $x \in (\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_{\in}$ for $t \in (0.5, 1]$. Using (3.18) leads to $\max\{\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(y), 0.5\} \geq \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(x) \geq t > 0.5$, and so $\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(y) \geq t$, that is, $y \in (\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_{\in}$. Consequently, $(\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_{\in}$ is a filter of H for all $t \in (0.5, 1]$.

Theorem 3.15. Let $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ be a Lukasiewicz fuzzy set in H. Then the nonempty \in -set $(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}, t)_{\in}$ of $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ is a filter of H for all $t \in (0.5, 1]$ if and only if $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ satisfies:

$$(\forall x \in H) \left(L_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x) \le \max\{L_{\lambda}^{\delta}(1), 0.5\} \right), \tag{3.19}$$

$$(\forall x, y \in H) \left(\min\{ E_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x), E_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x \to y) \} \le \max\{ E_{\lambda}^{\delta}(y), 0.5 \} \right). \tag{3.20}$$

Proof. Assume that the nonempty \in -set $(\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_{\in}$ of $\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ is a filter of H for all $t \in (0.5, 1]$. If $\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(a) > \max\{\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(1), 0.5\}$ for some $a \in H$, then $\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(a) \in (0.5, 1]$ and $1 \notin (\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, \mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(a))_{\in}$. This is a contradiction, and so $\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(x) \leq \max\{\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(1), 0.5\}$ for all $x \in H$. If

$$\min\{\mathbf{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a),\mathbf{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a\rightarrow b)\} > \max\{\mathbf{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(b),0.5\}$$

for some $a, b \in H$, then $t_a := \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(a) \in (0.5, 1]$,

$$t_b := \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a \to b) \in (0.5, 1],$$

and $a, a \to b \in (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}, t)_{\in}$ where $t = \min\{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a), \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a \to b)\}$. Hence $b \in (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}, t)_{\in}$, and so $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(b) \geq t$ which is a contradiction. Thus

$$\min\{\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x), \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x \to y)\} \le \max\{\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(y), 0.5\}$$

for all $x, y \in H$.

Conversely, let $t \in (0.5, 1]$ and suppose that $\mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ satisfies two conditions (3.19) and (3.20). For every $x \in (\mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_{\in}$, we have

$$\max\{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(1), 0.5\} \ge \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x) \ge t > 0.5$$

and thus $\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(1) \geq t$, i.e., $1 \in (\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_{\in}$. Let $x, y \in H$ be such that $x \in (\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_{\in}$ and $x \to y \in (\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_{\in}$. Using (3.20) leads to

$$\max\{\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(y), 0.5\} \ge \min\{\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x), \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x \to y)\} \ge t > 0.5$$

and so $\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(y) \geq t$, i.e., $y \in (\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_{\in}$. Therefore, $(\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_{\in}$ is a filter of H for all $t \in (0.5, 1]$ by Proposition 2.4.

Theorem 3.16. If a Łukasiewicz fuzzy set $\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ in H satisfies:

$$(\forall x \in H)(\forall t \in (0.5, 1]) \left(\langle x/t \rangle q L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \Rightarrow \langle 1/t \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta}\right), \tag{3.21}$$

$$(\forall x, y \in H)(\forall t_a, t_b \in (0.5, 1]) \begin{pmatrix} \langle x/t_a \rangle q \, \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}, \, \langle (x \to y)/t_b \rangle q \, \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta} \\ \Rightarrow \langle y/\min\{t_a, t_b\} \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.22}$$

then the nonempty \in -set $(L_{\lambda}^{\delta}, t)_{\in}$, where $t := \min\{t_a, t_b\}$, of L_{λ}^{δ} is a filter of H for all $t_a, t_b \in (0.5, 1]$.

Proof. Let $t_a, t_b \in (0.5, 1]$ and $t := \min\{t_a, t_b\}$, and suppose that $(\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_{\in}$ is nonempty. Then there exists $x \in (\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_{\in}$, and so

$$\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x) \ge t > 1 - t,$$

that is, $\langle x/t \rangle q \, \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$. Thus $\langle 1/t \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ by (3.21) which shows $1 \in (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}, t)_{\in}$. Let $x, y \in H$ be such that $x \in (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}, t)_{\in}$ and $x \to y \in (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}, t)_{\in}$. Since $t := \min\{t_a, t_b\} > 0.5$, it follows that $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x) \geq t > 1 - t$ and

$$\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x \to y) \ge t > 1 - t,$$

that is, $\langle x/t \rangle q \, \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ and $\langle (x \to y)/t \rangle q \, \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$. Using (3.22) leads to $\langle y/t \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$. Hence $y \in (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}, t)_{\in}$, and therefore $(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}, t)_{\in}$ is a filter of H where $t := \min\{t_a, t_b\}$ by Proposition 2.4.

Corollary 3.17. If a Łukasiewicz fuzzy set $\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ in H satisfies:

$$(\forall x \in H)(\forall t \in (0.5, 1]) \left(\langle x/t \rangle q L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \Rightarrow \langle 1/t \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta}\right), \tag{3.23}$$

$$(\forall x, y \in H)(\forall t_a, t_b \in (0.5, 1]) \begin{pmatrix} \langle x/t_a \rangle q L_{\lambda}^{\delta}, \langle (x \to y)/t_b \rangle q L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \\ \Rightarrow \langle y/\max\{t_a, t_b\} \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \end{pmatrix},$$
(3.24)

then the nonempty \in -set $(L_{\lambda}^{\delta}, t)_{\in}$, where $t := \max\{t_a, t_b\}$, of L_{λ}^{δ} is a filter of H for all $t_a, t_b \in (0.5, 1]$.

Theorem 3.18. If L_{λ}^{δ} is a Lukasiewicz fuzzy filter of H, then its q-set $(L_{\lambda}^{\delta}, t)_q$ is a filter of H for all $t \in (0, 1]$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ be a Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter of H and let $t \in (0,1]$. If $1 \notin (\mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_q$, then $\langle 1/t \rangle \overline{q} \, \mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$, i.e., $\mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(1) + t \leq 1$. Since $\langle x/\mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(x) \rangle \in \mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ for all $x \in H$, we get $\langle 1/\mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(x) \rangle \in \mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ for all $x \in H$ by (3.5). Hence $\mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(1) \geq \mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(x)$ for $x \in (\mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_q$, and so $\mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(x) \leq \mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(1) \leq 1 - t$. This shows that $\langle x/t \rangle \overline{q} \, \mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$, that is, $x \notin (\mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_q$, a contradiction. Thus $1 \in (\mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_q$. Let $x, y \in H$ be such that $x \in (\mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_q$ and $x \to y \in (\mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_q$. Then $\langle x/t \rangle \, q \, \mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ and $\langle (x \to y)/t \rangle \, q \, \mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$, that is, $\mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(x) > 1 - t$ and $\mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(x \to y) > 1 - t$. It follows from (3.6) that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(y) \ge \min\{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x), \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x \to y)\} > 1 - t.$$

Hence $\langle y/t \rangle q \, \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$, and so $y \in (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}, t)_{q}$. Therefore $(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}, t)_{q}$ is a filter of H by Proposition 2.4.

Corollary 3.19. If λ is a fuzzy filter of H, then the q-set $(L_{\lambda}^{\delta}, t)_q$ of L_{λ}^{δ} is a filter of H for all $t \in (0, 1]$.

Proposition 3.20. If the q-set of a Łukasiewicz fuzzy set L^{δ}_{λ} in H is a filter of H, then the arguments below are valid.

$$(\forall t \in (0, 0.5])(1 \in (L_{\lambda}^{\delta}, t)_{\in}),$$
 (3.25)

$$(\forall x, y \in H)(\forall t_a, t_b \in (0, 0.5]) \left(\begin{array}{c} \langle x/t_a \rangle q \, L_{\lambda}^{\delta}, \, \langle (x \to y)/t_b \rangle q \, L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \\ \Rightarrow \langle y/\max\{t_a, t_b\} \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \end{array} \right), \tag{3.26}$$

$$(\forall x, y \in H)(\forall t \in (0, 0.5]) \left(x \le y, \langle x/t \rangle q \, \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta} \ \Rightarrow \ \langle y/t \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta} \right). \quad (3.27)$$

Proof. Assume that the q-set $(\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda},t)_q$ of $\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ is a filter of H. If $1 \notin (\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda},t)_{\in}$ for some $t \in (0,0.5]$, then $\langle 1/t \rangle \overline{\in} \mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$. Hence $\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(1) < t \leq 1-t$ since $t \in (0,0.5]$, and so $\langle 1/t \rangle \overline{q} \mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$, i.e., $1 \notin (\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda},t)_q$. This is a conradiction, and thus $1 \in (\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda},t)_{\in}$. Let $x,y \in H$ and $t_a,t_b \in (0,0.5]$ be such that $\langle x/t_a \rangle q \mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ and $\langle (x \to y)/t_b \rangle q \mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$. Then

$$x \in (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}, t_a)_q \subseteq (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}, \max\{t_a, t_b\})_q$$

and

$$(x \to y) \in (\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}, t_b)_q \subseteq (\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}, \max\{t_a, t_b\})_q,$$

from which $y \in (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}, \max\{t_a, t_b\})_q$ is derived. Hence

$$\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(y) > 1 - \max\{t_a, t_b\} \ge \max\{t_a, t_b\},$$

i.e., $\langle y/\max\{t_a, t_b\}\rangle \in \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$. Hence $y \in (\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, \max\{t_a, t_b\})_{\in}$. Let $x, y \in H$ and $t \in (0, 0.5]$ be such that $x \leq y$ and $\langle x/t \rangle q \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$. Then $x \in (\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_q$ and $x \to y = 1 \in (\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_q$, which imply that $y \in (\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_q$. Hence

$$\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(y) > 1 - t \ge t,$$

and so $\langle y/t \rangle \in \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$.

Theorem 3.21. If a Łukasiewicz fuzzy set $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ in H satisfies:

$$(\forall x \in H)(\forall t \in (0, 0.5]) \left(\langle x/t \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \implies \langle 1/t \rangle q L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \right), \tag{3.28}$$

$$(\forall x, y \in H)(\forall t_a, t_b \in (0, 0.5]) \begin{pmatrix} \langle x/t_a \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta}, \langle (x \to y)/t_b \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \\ \Rightarrow \langle y/\min\{t_a, t_b\} \rangle q L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.29}$$

then the nonempty q-set $(L_{\lambda}^{\delta}, t)_q$, where $t := \min\{t_a, t_b\}$, of L_{λ}^{δ} is a filter of H for all $t_a, t_b \in (0, 0.5]$.

Proof. Let $(\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_q$ be the nonempty q-set of $\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ where $t := \min\{t_a, t_b\}$ and $t_a, t_b \in (0, 0.5]$. Then there exists $x \in (\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_q$, and thus $\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(x) > 1 - t \ge t$, that is, $\langle x/t \rangle \in \mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$. Hence $\langle 1/t \rangle q \, \mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ by (3.28), that is, $1 \in (\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_q$. Let $x, y \in H$ be such that $x \in (\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_q$ and $x \to y \in (\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_q$. Then $\langle x/t \rangle \in \mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ and $\langle (x \to y)/t \rangle \in \mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$. Thus $\langle y/t \rangle q \, \mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ by (3.29), and

so $\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(y) > 1 - t \geq t$, that is, $y \in (\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_q$. Consequently, $(\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_q$ is a filter of H.

Theorem 3.22. If a Łukasiewicz fuzzy set $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ in H satisfies:

(
$$\forall x, y \in H$$
)($\forall t_a, t_b \in (0, 0.5]$) $\begin{pmatrix} \langle x/t_a \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}, \langle y/t_b \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta} \\ \Rightarrow \langle (x \odot y)/\min\{t_a, t_b\} \rangle q \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta} \end{pmatrix}$ (3.30)

$$(\forall x, y \in H)(\forall t \in (0, 0.5]) \left(x \le y, \langle x/t \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \implies \langle y/t \rangle q L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \right), \quad (3.31)$$

then the nonempty q-set $(L_{\lambda}^{\delta}, t)_q$, where $t := \min\{t_a, t_b\}$, of L_{λ}^{δ} is a filter of H for all $t_a, t_b \in (0, 0.5]$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in (\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_q$ where $t := \min\{t_a, t_b\}$ and $t_a, t_b \in (0, 0.5]$. Then $\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(x) > 1 - t \ge t$ and $\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(y) > 1 - t \ge t$, i.e., $\langle x/t \rangle \in \mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ and $\langle y/t \rangle \in \mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$. Hence $\langle (x \odot y)/t \rangle q \mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ by (3.30), and thus $x \odot y \in (\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_q$. Let $x, y \in H$ be such that $x \le y$ and $x \in (\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_q$. Then $\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(x) > 1 - t \ge t$, and so $\langle x/t \rangle \in \mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$. It follows from (3.31) that $\langle y/t \rangle q \mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$, i.e., $y \in (\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_q$. Therefore, $(\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_q$ is a filter of H.

Theorem 3.23. If a Lukasiewicz fuzzy set L^{δ}_{λ} in H satisfies:

$$(\forall t \in (0.5, 1])(1 \in (L_{\lambda}^{\delta}, t)_{\in}),$$
 (3.32)

$$(\forall x, y \in H)(\forall t \in (0.5, 1]) \left(\langle x/t \rangle q L_{\lambda}^{\delta}, \langle (x \to y)/t \rangle q L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \Rightarrow \langle y/t \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \right), \tag{3.33}$$

then the q-set $(L_{\lambda}^{\delta}, t)_q$ of L_{λ}^{δ} is a filter of H for all $t \in (0.5, 1]$.

Proof. The condition (3.32) induces $\mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(1)+t \geq 2t > 1$ and so $\langle 1/t \rangle q \, \mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$. Hence $1 \in (\mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_q$. Let $x \in (\mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_q$ and $x \to y \in (\mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_q$ for every $x, y \in H$. Then $\langle x/t \rangle q \, \mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ and $\langle (x \to y)/t \rangle q \, \mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$. Using (3.33) leads to $\langle y/t \rangle \in \mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$, and so $\mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(y) \geq t > 1-t$. Hence $y \in (\mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_q$, and therefore, $(\mathcal{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}, t)_q$ is a filter of H by Proposition 2.4.

Theorem 3.24. If λ is a fuzzy filter of H, then the non-empty O-set $O(L_{\lambda}^{\delta})$ of L_{λ}^{δ} is a filter of H.

Proof. If λ is a fuzzy filter of H, then $\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ is a Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter of H (see Theorem 3.6). It is clear that $1 \in O(\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda})$. Let $x, y \in H$ be such that $x \in O(\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda})$ and $x \to y \in O(\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda})$. Then $\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(x) > 0$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(x \to y) > 0$. Since $\langle x/\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(x) \rangle \in \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ and $\langle (x \to y)/\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(x \to y) \rangle \in \mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$, we have

$$\langle y/\min\left\{\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x),\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x\to y)\right\}\rangle\in\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$$

by Theorem 3.3. It follows that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(y) \ge \min\left\{\mathbb{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x), \mathbb{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x \to y)\right\} > 0.$$

Hence $y \in O(\mathbb{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta})$, and therefore $O(\mathbb{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta})$ is a filter of H by Proposition 2.4.

Theorem 3.25. If a Łukasiewicz fuzzy set L^{δ}_{λ} in H satisfies (3.5) and

$$(\forall x, y \in H)(\forall t_a, t_b \in (0, 1]) \left(\begin{array}{c} \langle x/t_a \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta}, \langle (x \to y)/t_b \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \\ \Rightarrow \langle y/\max\{t_a, t_b\} \rangle q L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \end{array} \right). \tag{3.34}$$

then the nonempty O-set $O(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta})$ of $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ is a filter of H.

Proof. Let $x \in O(\mathbb{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda})$. Then $t := \mathbb{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(x) > 0$ and so $\langle x/t \rangle \in \mathbb{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ for t > 0. Hence $\langle 1/t \rangle \in \mathbb{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ by (3.5), and so $\mathbb{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(1) \ge t > 0$. Thus $1 \in O(\mathbb{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda})$. Let $x \in O(\mathbb{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda})$ and $x \to y \in O(\mathbb{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda})$ for every $x, y \in H$. Then $\lambda(x) + \delta > 1$ and $\lambda(x \to y) + \delta > 1$. Since $\langle x/\mathbb{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(x) \rangle \in \mathbb{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ and

$$\langle (x \to y)/\mathbb{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x \to y) \rangle \in \mathbb{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta},$$

it follows from (3.34) that

$$\langle y/\max\{\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x), \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x \to y)\}\rangle q \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$$

If $y \notin O(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta})$, then $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(y) = 0$, and so

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(y) + \max \left\{ \mathbf{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x), \, \mathbf{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x \to y) \right\} &= \max \left\{ \mathbf{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x), \, \mathbf{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x \to y) \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \max\{0, \lambda(x) + \delta - 1\}, \\ &\max\{0, \lambda(x \to y) + \delta - 1\} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \lambda(x) + \delta - 1, \, \lambda(x \to y) + \delta - 1 \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \lambda(x), \, \lambda(x \to y) \right\} + \delta - 1 \\ &\leq 1 + \delta - 1 \\ &\leq 1. \end{split}$$

Hence $\langle y/\max\{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x), \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x \to y)\}\rangle \overline{q} \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$, a contradiction. Thus $y \in O(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta})$, and therefore $O\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{(\delta)}$ is a filter of H by Proposition 2.4.

Theorem 3.26. If a Łukasiewicz fuzzy set $\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ in H satisfies

$$(\forall x, y \in H)(\forall t_a, t_b \in (0, 1]) \left(\begin{array}{c} \langle x/t_a \rangle \in \lambda, \ \langle y/t_b \rangle \in \lambda \\ \Rightarrow \langle (x \odot y)/\max\{t_a, t_b\} \rangle \ q \ L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \end{array} \right)$$

$$(3.35)$$

 $(\forall x, y \in H)(\forall t \in (0, 1]) (x \le y, \langle x/t \rangle \in \lambda \implies \langle y/t \rangle q L_{\lambda}^{\delta}), \quad (3.36)$

then the nonempty O-set $O(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta})$ of $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ is a filter of H.

Proof. Let $x, y \in O(\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda})$. Then $\lambda(x) > 1 - \delta$ and $\lambda(y) > 1 - \delta$, that is, $\langle x/(1-\delta) \rangle \in \lambda$ and $\langle y/(1-\delta) \rangle \in \lambda$. Thus $\langle (x \odot y)/(1-\delta) \rangle q \, \mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ by (3.35), and so $\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(x \odot y) + 1 - \delta > 1$. Hence $\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(x \odot y) > \delta > 0$, that is, $x \odot y \in O(\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda})$. Let $x, y \in H$ be such that $x \leq y$ and $x \in O(\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda})$.

Then $\lambda(x) > 1 - \delta$, i.e., $\langle x/(1-\delta) \rangle \in \lambda$. It follows from (3.36) that $\langle y/(1-\delta) \rangle q \, \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$. Thus $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(y) + 1 - \delta > 1$, and so $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(y) > \delta > 0$ which shows $y \in O(\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta})$. Consequently, $O(\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\delta})$ is a filter of H.

Theorem 3.27. If a Łukasiewicz fuzzy set $\mathcal{L}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ in H satisfies

$$(\forall x \in H)(\forall t \in (0,1]) \left(\langle x/t \rangle \in \lambda \implies \langle 1/t \rangle q L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \right), \tag{3.37}$$

$$(\forall x, y \in H)(\forall t_a, t_b \in (0, 1]) \begin{pmatrix} \langle x/t_a \rangle \in \lambda, \langle (x \to y)/t_b \rangle \in \lambda \\ \Rightarrow \langle y/\max\{t_a, t_b\} \rangle q L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \end{pmatrix},$$
(3.38)

then the nonempty O-set $O(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta})$ of $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ is a filter of H.

Proof. Let $x \in O(\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda})$. Then $\lambda(x) > 1 - \delta$, i.e., $\langle x/(1-\delta) \rangle \in \lambda$ which implies from (3.37) that $\langle 1/(1-\delta) \rangle q \, \mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$. Hence $\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(1) + 1 - \delta > 1$, and so $\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(1) > \delta > 0$. So $1 \in O(\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda})$. Let $x \in O(\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda})$ and $x \to y \in O(\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda})$ for every $x, y \in H$. Then $\lambda(x) > 1 - \delta$, i.e., $\langle x/(1-\delta) \rangle \in \lambda$, and $\lambda(x \to y) > 1 - \delta$, i.e., $\langle (x \to y)/(1-\delta) \rangle \in \lambda$. It follows from (3.38) that $\langle y/(1-\delta) \rangle q \, \mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$. Thus $\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(y) + 1 - \delta > 1$, and so $\mathbb{E}^{\epsilon}_{\lambda}(y) > \delta > 0$. This shows $y \in O(\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda})$. Therefore, $O(\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda})$ is a filter of H by Proposition 2.4.

Theorem 3.28. If a Lukasiewicz fuzzy set L^{δ}_{λ} in H satisfies:

$$(\forall x \in H)(\langle x/\delta \rangle \, q \, \lambda \ \Rightarrow \ \langle 1/\delta \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta}), \tag{3.39}$$

$$(\forall x, y \in H) \left(\langle x/\delta \rangle \, q \, \lambda, \, \langle (x \to y)/\delta \rangle \, q \, \lambda \ \Rightarrow \ \langle y/\delta \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \right), \tag{3.40}$$

then the nonempty O-set $O(L_{\lambda}^{\delta})$ of L_{λ}^{δ} is a filter of H.

Proof. Let $x \in O(\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda})$. Then $\lambda(x) + \delta > 1$, i.e., $\langle x/\delta \rangle q \lambda$, and so $\langle 1/\delta \rangle \in \mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$ by (3.39). Thus $\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(1) \geq \delta > 0$, that is, $1 \in O(\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda})$. Let $x, y \in H$ be such that $x \in O(\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda})$ and $x \to y \in O(\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda})$. Then $\lambda(x) + \delta > 1$ and $\lambda(x \to y) + \delta > 1$, that is, $\langle x/\delta \rangle q \lambda$ and $\langle (x \to y)/\delta \rangle q \lambda$. It follows from (3.40) that $\langle y/\delta \rangle \in \mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$. Thus $\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}(y) \geq \delta > 0$, and hence $y \in O(\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda})$. So $O(\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda})$ is a filter of H by Proposition 2.4.

Theorem 3.29. If a Łukasiewicz fuzzy set $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ in H satisfies:

$$(\forall x, y \in H)(\forall t_a, t_b \in [\delta, 1]) \left(\langle x/t_a \rangle q \lambda, \langle y/t_b \rangle q \lambda \Rightarrow \langle (x \odot y)/\delta \rangle \in L_{\lambda}^{\delta} \right),$$
(3.41)

$$(\forall x, y \in H)(\forall t \in [\delta, 1]) \left(x \le y, \langle x/t \rangle q \lambda \implies y \in (L_{\lambda}^{\delta}, \delta)_{\epsilon} \right), \tag{3.42}$$

then the nonempty O-set $O(L_{\lambda}^{\delta})$ of $_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ is a filter of H.

Proof. Let $t_a, t_b \in [\delta, 1]$ and $x, y \in O(\mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda})$ for every $x, y \in H$. Then $\lambda(x) + t_a \geq \lambda(x) + \delta > 1$ and $\lambda(y) + t_b \geq \lambda(x) + \delta > 1$, that is, $\langle x/t_a \rangle q \lambda$ and $\langle y/t_b \rangle q \lambda$. Applying (3.41) leads to $\langle (x \odot y)/\delta \rangle \in \mathbb{E}^{\delta}_{\lambda}$.

Thus $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x \odot y) \geq \delta > 0$, and so $x \odot y \in O(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta})$. Let $t \in [\delta, 1]$ and $x, y \in H$ be such that $x \leq y$ and $x \in O(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta})$. Then

$$\lambda(x) + t \ge \lambda(x) + \delta > 1$$

and thus $\langle x/t \rangle q \lambda$. Using (3.42) leads to $y \in (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}, \delta)_{\in}$. So $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(y) \geq \delta > 0$, i.e., $y \in O(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta})$. Consequently, $O(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta})$ is a filter of H.

Corollary 3.30. If a Łukasiewicz fuzzy set $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ in H satisfies:

$$(\forall x, y \in H) (\langle x/\delta \rangle q \lambda, \langle y/\delta \rangle q \lambda \Rightarrow \langle (x \odot y)/\delta \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}), \qquad (3.43)$$

$$(\forall x, y \in H) (x \le y, \langle x/\delta \rangle q \lambda \Rightarrow y \in (L_{\lambda}^{\delta}, \delta)_{\in}), \tag{3.44}$$

then the nonempty O-set $O(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta})$ of $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ is a filter of H.

Conclusion

In this paper, by using the concept of the Łukasiewicz fuzzy set to the filter in hoops, the Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter is introduced, and its properties are investigated. The relationship between fuzzy filter and Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter is discussed. Conditions for the Łukasiewicz fuzzy set to be a Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter are provided, and characterizations of Łukasiewicz fuzzy filter are displayed. The conditions under which the three subsets, \in -set, q-set, and O-set, will be filter are explored.

References

- 1. M. Aaly Kologani, M. Mohseni Takallo and H. S. Kim, Fuzzy filters of hoops based on fuzzy points, *Mathematics*, 7 (2019), 430.
- P. Aglianó, I. M. A. Ferreirim and F. Montagna, Basic hoops: an algebraic study of continuous t-norm, Studia Logica, 87 (2007), 73–98.
- 3. S. S. Ahn, E. H. Roh and Y. B. Jun, Ideals in BE-algebras based on Łukasiewicz fuzzy set, Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math., 15(3) (2022), 1307–1320.
- 4. W. J. Blok and I. M. A. Ferreirim, Hoops and their implicational reducts, *Logic in Computer Science*, **28** (1993), 219–230.
- 5. W. J. Blok and I. M. A. Ferreirim, On the structure of hoops, *Algebra Universalis*, 43 (2000), 233–257.
- 6. R. A. Borzooei and M. Aaly Kologani, Filter theory of hoop-algebras, *Journal of Advanced Research in Pure Mathematics*, **6**(4) (2014), 72–86.
- 7. R. A. Borzooei and M. Aaly Kologani, On fuzzy filters of hoop-algebras, *The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics*, **25**(1) (2017), 177–195.
- 8. R. A. Borzooei, M. Mohseni Takallo, A. Aaly Kologani and Y. B. Jun, Fuzzy sub-hoops based on fuzzy points, *Miskolc Math. Notes*, **22**(1) (2021), 91–106.
- 9. R. A. Borzooei, H. R. Varasteh and K. Borna, Fundamental hoop-algebras, *Ratio Mathematica*, **29** (2015), 25–40.
- 10. B. Bosbach, Komplementäre Halbgruppen, Axiomatik und Arithmetik, Fund. Math., 64 (1969), 257–287.

- 11. B. Bosbach, Komplementäre Halbgruppen, Kongruenzen and Quotienten, Fund. Math., 69 (1970), 1–14.
- G. Georgescu, L. Leustean, V. Preoteasa, Pseudo-hoops, Journal of Multiple-Valued Logic and Soft Computing, 11(1-2) (2005), 153–184.
- 13. S. Ghorbani, Localization of hoop-algebras, Journal of Advanced Research in Pure Mathematics, 5(3) (2013), 1–13.
- 14. Y. B. Jun, Łukasiewicz anti fuzzy set and its application in BE-algebras, *Transactions on fuzzy sets and systems*, (in press).
- 15. Y. B. Jun, Łukasiewicz fuzzy ideals in BCK-algebras and BCI-algebras, *J. Algebra Relat. Topics*, (submitted).
- 16. Y. B. Jun, Łukasiewicz fuzzy subalgebras in BCK-algebras and BCI-algebras, *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.*, **23**(2) (2022), 213–223.
- 17. Y. B. Jun and S. S. Ahn, Łukasiewicz fuzzy BE-algebras and BE-filters, *Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **15**(3) (2022), 924–937.
- 18. C. F. Luo, X. L. Xin and P. He, n-fold (positive) implicative filters of hoops, Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 38 (2017), 631–642.
- 19. P. M. Pu and y. M. Liu, Fuzzy topology I, Neighborhood structure of a fuzzy point and Moore-Smith convergence, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 76 (1980), 571–599.
- 20. G. R. Rezaei and Y. B. Jun, Commutative ideals of BCI-algebras based on Łukasiewicz fuzzy sets, *Journal of Algebraic Hyperstructures and Logical Algebras*, (submitted).
- 21. S. Z. Song and Y. B. Jun, Lukasiewicz fuzzy positive implicative ideals in BCK-algebras, *Journal of Algebraic Hyperstructures and Logical Algebras*, **3**(2) (2022), 47–58.
- 22. R. Tayebi Khorami and A. Borumand Saeid, Some unitary operators on hoopalgebras, Fuzzy Information and Engineering, 9(2) (2017), 205–223.
- 23. Marta A. Zander, Decomposability of the finitely generated free hoop residuation algebra, *Studia Logica*, 88(2) (2008), 233–246.

Mohammad Mohseni Takallo

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, Shahid Beheshti University, Tehran, Iran.

Email:mohammad.mohseni1122@gmail.com

Mona Aaly Kologani

Hatef Higher Education Institute, Zahedan, Iran.

Email: mona4011@gmail.com

Young Bae Jun

Department of Mathematics Education, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 52828, Korea.

Email: skywine@gmail.com

Rajabali Borzooei

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, Shahid Beheshti University, Tehran, Iran.

Email: borzooei@sbu.ac.ir

Journal of Algebraic Systems

ŁUKASIEWICZ FUZZY FILTERS IN HOOPS

M. MOHSENI TAKALLO, M. AALY KOLOGANI, Y. B. JUN AND R. A. BORZOOEI

فیلترهای فازی لوکاسیویچ در هوپها

محمد محسنی تکلو^۱، مونا عالی کلوگانی^۲، یانگ بای جان و رجبعلی برزویی ٔ محمد محسنی تکلو^۱، مونا عالی کلوگانی دانشگده علوم ریاضی، دانشگاه شهید بهشتی، تهران، ایران

۲مؤسسه آموزش عالی هاتف، زاهدان، ایران

گروه آموزش ریاضی، دانشگاه ملی جینگجو، جیونسانگ، کره جنوبی

با استفاده از مفهوم مجموعههای فازی لوکاسیویچ روی فیلترهای هوپ، فیلترهای فازی لوکاسیویچ معرفی شده و خواص آن بررسی میشود. رابطه بین فیلتر فازی و فیلتر فازی لوکاسیویچ مورد بحث قرار گرفته است. شرایط برای مجموعه فازی لوکاسیویچ به عنوان یک فیلتر فازی لوکاسیویچ فراهم شده و مشخصه معادل فیلتر فازی لوکاسیویچ نمایش داده میشود. همچنین شرایطی بررسی میشود که تحت آن سه زیرمجموعه، g –مجموعه و G –مجموعه فیلتر میشوند.

کلمات کلیدی: مجموعههای فازی لوکاسیویچ، فیلترهای فازی لوکاسیویچ، q -مجموعه، q -مجموعه، O -مجموعه.