

FIXED POINTS AND CUT-HOMOMORPHISMS GENERATED BY ACTIONS OF A BE -ALGEBRA ON ITS SUBALGEBRA

M. Sambasiva Rao

ABSTRACT. The concept of actions of a BE -algebra on its subalgebra is introduced and certain properties of these actions are derived. The notion of cut-homomorphisms is introduced and proved that the class of all cut-homomorphisms forms an ordered BE -algebra. Properties of fixed points of cut-homomorphisms are investigated and a set of equivalent conditions is given for any two cut-homomorphisms are equal in the sense of mappings.

INTRODUCTION

The notion of BE -algebras was introduced and extensively studied by H. S. Kim and Y. H. Kim in [4]. These classes of BE -algebras were introduced as a generalization of the class of BCK -algebras of K. Iseki and S. Tanaka [3]. Some properties of filters of BE -algebras were studied by S. S. Ahn and Y. H. Kim in [1] and by B. L. Meng in [5]. In [11], A. Walendziak discussed some properties of commutative BE -algebras. He also investigated the relationship between BE -algebras, implicative algebras and J -algebras. In 2012, A. Rezaei, and A. Borumand Saeid [7], stated and proved the first, second and third isomorphism theorems in self distributive BE -algebras. Later, these authors [6] introduced the notion of commutative ideals in a BE -algebra. In 2013, A. Borumand Saeid, A. Rezaei and R. A. Borzooei [2] extensively studied the properties of some types of filters in BE -algebras and established relations among them. In 2016, the authors [10] characterized self-distributive BE -algebras, commutative BE -algebras and implicative BE -algebras with the help of left and right self maps. In [9], the author investigated certain significant properties of self-maps and endomorphisms.

In this article, the notion of an action of a BE -algebra on a given subalgebra is introduced. Certain properties of the actions generated by direct products and endomorphisms of BE -algebras are investigated. The notion of permutable actions is introduced in a BE -algebra and then proved that

their composition is again an action of the BE -algebra. An ordering is introduced on the set of all actions and then derived that this set is partially ordered whenever the respective BE -algebra is commutative. It is also proved that the collection of all actions of a BE -algebra on a given subalgebra forms a semi-lattice. The concept of subcuts of subalgebras and filters of cuts are introduced. It is proved that the set of all subcuts of a given cut forms a partially ordered semi-lattice. The notion of cut-homomorphisms is introduced in BE -algebras and then it is proved that the collection of all cut-homomorphisms forms a BE -algebra which is homomorphic to the given BE -algebra. Further, it is proved that the set of all idempotent cut-homomorphisms forms an upper semi-lattice.

In the final section, the notion of fixed points of a cut-endomorphism is introduced in BE -algebras. A necessary and sufficient condition is given for a cut-endomorphism to have a fixed point. A set of equivalent conditions is given for any two cut-homomorphisms to be equal in the sense of mappings. Finally, some properties of fixed points and images of a cut-endomorphism are investigated.

1. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, certain definitions and results are presented which are taken mostly from [4], [5], and [8] for the ready reference.

Definition 1.1. [4] An algebra $(X, *, 1)$ of type $(2, 0)$ is called a BE -algebra if it satisfies the following properties:

- (1) $x * x = 1$,
- (2) $x * 1 = 1$,
- (3) $1 * x = x$,
- (4) $x * (y * z) = y * (x * z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

A BE -algebra X is called *self-distributive* if $x * (y * z) = (x * y) * (x * z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. A BE -algebra X is called *transitive* if

$$y * z \leq (x * y) * (x * z)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Every self-distributive BE -algebra is transitive. A BE -algebra $(X, *, 1)$ is said to be *commutative* [8] if $(x * y) * y = (y * x) * x$ for all $x, y \in X$. In this case, we consider $(y * x) * x$ as $x \vee y$. In a commutative BE -algebra X , it is clear that $x \vee y = y \vee x$ for all $x, y \in X$. We introduce a relation \leq on X by $x \leq y$ if and only if $x * y = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Theorem 1.2. [5] *Let X be a transitive BE -algebra and $x, y, z \in X$. Then*

- (1) $1 \leq x$ implies $x = 1$,
- (2) $y \leq z$ implies $x * y \leq x * z$ and $z * x \leq y * x$.

Definition 1.3. [4] A non-empty subset F of a BE -algebra X is called a *filter* of X if, for all $x, y \in X$, it satisfies the following properties:

- (1) $1 \in F$,
- (2) $x \in F$ and $x * y \in F$ imply that $y \in F$.

A subset S of a BE -algebra X is called a *subalgebra* of X if $x * y \in S$ whenever $x, y \in S$. Clearly every subalgebra of a BE -algebra contains the element 1. It is clear that every filter of a BE -algebra is a subalgebra. A mapping f from a BE -algebra $(X, *, 1)$ into a BE -algebra $(Y, \circ, 1')$ is called a *homomorphism* if $f(x * y) = f(x) \circ f(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. It is clear that $f(1) = 1$ whenever f is a homomorphism. A homomorphism of BE -algebra into itself is called an *endomorphism*.

2. ACTIONS OF BE -ALGEBRAS

In this section, the notions of an action and a permutable action of a BE -algebra on a given subalgebra is introduced. Certain properties of these actions and the cuts of the BE -algebras are investigated.

Definition 2.1. Let $(X, *, 1)$ be a BE -algebra and S is a subalgebra of X . A mapping $\sigma : X \times S \rightarrow S$ is called an *action* of X on S if it satisfies the following properties:

- (C1) $\sigma(a, 1) = 1$ for all $a \in X$,
- (C2) $\sigma(1, x) = x$ for all $x \in S$,
- (C3) $\sigma(a, x * y) = \sigma(a, x) * \sigma(a, y)$ for all $a \in X$ and $x, y \in S$,
- (C4) $\sigma(a, \sigma(b, x)) = \sigma(b, \sigma(a, x))$ for all $a, b \in X$ and $x \in S$.

An action σ of a BE -algebra X on its subalgebra S is called *idempotent* if $\sigma(a, \sigma(a, x)) = \sigma(a, x)$ for all $a \in X$ and $x \in S$. Further, σ is called a *complete action* if along with (C1)-(C4), it satisfies the following:

$$\sigma(a * b, x) = \sigma(a, x) * \sigma(b, x)$$

for all $a, b \in X$ and $x \in S$. In all the cases, we call the pair (σ, S) an S -cut of the BE -algebra X .

Example 2.2. (1) Let X be a self-distributive BE -algebra and S be a subalgebra of X . For any $a \in X$ and $x \in S$, define a mapping $\sigma : X \times S \rightarrow S$ by $\sigma(a, x) = a * x$. Then σ is an action of X on S . Therefore (σ, S) is an S -cut

of the BE -algebra X .

(2) Let X be a BE -algebra and S be a subalgebra of X . For any $a \in X$ and $x \in S$, define a mapping $\sigma : X \times S \rightarrow S$ by $\sigma(a, x) = x$. It can be routinely verified that σ is an action of X on S . Hence (σ, S) is an S -cut of X . Further, we see that σ is not complete. For consider $a, b \in X$ and $1 \neq c \in S$ be such that $a * b = 1$. Then

$$\sigma(a * b, c) = c \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(a, c) * \sigma(b, c) = c * c = 1$$

Therefore σ is an action of X on S which is not complete.

Proposition 2.3. *Let X be a BE -algebra and S be a subalgebra of X . Let $\mu : X \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism satisfying the following:*

- (1) $\mu(a) * (x * y) = (\mu(a) * x) * (\mu(a) * y)$ for all $a \in X$ and $x, y \in S$,
- (2) $\mu(a * b) * x = (\mu(a) * x) * (\mu(b) * x)$ for all $a, b \in X$ and $x \in S$.

For any $a \in X$ and $x \in S$, define a mapping $\sigma_\mu : X \times S \rightarrow S$ by $\sigma_\mu(a, x) = \mu(a) * x$. Then σ_μ is a complete action of X on S .

Proof. Since S is a subalgebra of X , we get σ_μ is well-defined. Then

- (C1) For any $a \in X$, we get $\sigma_\mu(a, 1) = \mu(a) * 1 = 1$.
- (C2) For any $x \in S$, we have $\sigma_\mu(1, x) = \mu(1) * x = 1 * x = x$.
- (C3) Let $a \in X$ and $x, y \in S$. Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_\mu(a, x * y) &= \mu(a) * (x * y) \\ &= (\mu(a) * x) * (\mu(a) * y) \\ &= \sigma_\mu(a, x) * \sigma_\mu(a, y). \end{aligned}$$

- (C4) Let $a, b \in X$ and $x \in S$. Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_\mu(a, \sigma_\mu(b, x)) &= \sigma_\mu(a, \mu(b) * x) \\ &= \mu(a) * (\mu(b) * x) \\ &= \mu(b) * (\mu(a) * x) \\ &= \mu(b) * \sigma_\mu(a, x) \\ &= \sigma_\mu(b, \sigma_\mu(a, x)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus σ_μ is an action of X on S . Hence (σ_μ, S) is an S -cut of X . Further, assume that μ satisfies the condition. Let $a, b \in X$ and $x \in S$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_\mu(a * b, x) &= \mu(a * b) * x \\ &= (\mu(a) * x) * (\mu(b) * x) \\ &= \sigma_\mu(a, x) * \sigma_\mu(b, x) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore σ_μ is a complete action of X on S . \square

Proposition 2.4. *Let S_1 and S_2 be the subalgebras of the BE-algebras X_1 and X_2 respectively. Suppose σ_1 and σ_2 are the actions of X_1 on S_1 and X_2 on S_2 respectively. For all $(a, b) \in X_1 \times X_2$ and $(x, y) \in S_1 \times S_2$, define a mapping $\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2 : (X_1 \times X_2) \times (S_1 \times S_2) \rightarrow S_1 \times S_2$ by*

$$(\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)((a, b), (x, y)) = (\sigma_1(a, x), \sigma_2(b, y)).$$

Then $\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2$ is an action of the product algebra $X_1 \times X_2$ on the subalgebra $S_1 \times S_2$. Therefore $(\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2, S_1 \times S_2)$ is a cut of $X_1 \times X_2$.

Proof. Clearly $\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2$ is well-defined. Note that $S_1 \times S_2$ is a subalgebra of $X_1 \times X_2$ with element $(1, 1)$. Now, the properties (C1)-(C4) can be routinely verified by using point-wise operations. \square

Proposition 2.5. *Let S be a subalgebra of a BE-algebra X . Suppose $\mu : X \times S \rightarrow S$ is a mapping. For all $a, b \in X$ and $x, y \in S$, define a mapping $\sigma_\mu : X^2 \rightarrow S^2$ (where $X^2 = X \times X$ and $S^2 = S \times S$) by*

$$\sigma_\mu((a, b), (x, y)) = (\mu(a, x), \mu(b, y))$$

Then μ is an action of X on S if and only if σ_μ is an action of X^2 on S^2 . Moreover, (μ, S) is a cut of X if and only if (σ_μ, S^2) is a cut of X^2 .

Proof. Clearly σ_μ is well-defined. Note that S^2 is a subalgebra of X^2 with element $(1, 1)$. Assume that μ is an action of X on S . Then (C1) Let $(a, b) \in X^2$. Then $\sigma_\mu((a, b), (1, 1)) = (\mu(a, 1), \mu(b, 1)) = (1, 1)$. (C2) Let $(x, y) \in S^2$. Then, we get

$$\sigma_\mu((1, 1), (x, y)) = (\mu(1, x), \mu(1, y)) = (x, y).$$

(C3) Let $(a, b) \in X^2$ and $(x, y), (z, w) \in S^2$. Since μ is an action of X on S , we get the following consequence:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_\mu((a, b), (x, y) * (z, w)) &= \sigma_\mu((a, b), (x * z, y * w)) \\ &= (\mu(a, x * z), \mu(b, y * w)) \\ &= (\mu(a, x) * \mu(a, z), \mu(b, y) * \mu(b, w)) \\ &= (\mu(a, x), \mu(b, y)) * (\mu(a, z), \mu(b, w)) \\ &= \sigma_\mu((a, b), (x, y)) * \sigma_\mu((a, b), (z, w)) \end{aligned}$$

(C4) Let $(a, b), (c, d) \in X^2$ and $(x, y) \in S^2$. Since μ is an action of X on S , we get the following consequence:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_\mu((a, b), \sigma_\mu((c, d), (x, y))) &= \sigma_\mu((a, b), (\mu(c, x), \mu(d, y))) \\
&= (\mu(a, \mu(c, x)), \mu(b, \mu(d, y))) \\
&= (\mu(c, \mu(a, x)), \mu(d, \mu(b, y))) \\
&= \sigma_\mu((c, d), (\mu(a, x), \mu(b, y))) \\
&= \sigma_\mu((c, d), \sigma_\mu((a, b), (x, y)))
\end{aligned}$$

Hence σ_μ is an action of X^2 on S^2 . Therefore (σ_μ, S^2) is a cut of X^2 .

Conversely, assume that σ_μ is an action of X^2 on S^2 . (C1) Let $a \in X$. Then $(\mu(a, 1), \mu(1, 1)) = \sigma_\mu((a, 1), (1, 1)) = (1, 1)$. Hence $\mu(a, 1) = 1$. (C2) Let $x \in S$. Then $(\mu(1, x), \mu(1, 1)) = \sigma_\mu((1, 1), (1, x)) = (1, 1)$. Hence $\mu(1, x) = 1$. (C3) Let $a \in X$ and $x, y \in S$. Since σ_μ is an action of X^2 on S^2 , we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mu(a, x * y), \mu(1, 1)) &= \sigma_\mu((a, 1), (x * y, 1)) \\
&= \sigma_\mu((a, 1), (x, 1) * (y, 1)) \\
&= \sigma_\mu((a, 1), (x, 1)) * \sigma_\mu((a, 1), (y, 1)) \\
&= (\mu(a, x), \mu(1, 1)) * (\mu(a, y), \mu(1, 1)) \\
&= (\mu(a, x) * \mu(a, y), \mu(1, 1))
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mu(a, x * y) = \mu(a, x) * \mu(a, y)$. (C4) Let $a, b \in X$ and $x \in S$. Since σ_μ is an action of X^2 on S^2 . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mu(a, \mu(b, x)), 1) &= (\mu(a, \mu(b, x)), \mu(1, 1)) \\
&= \sigma_\mu((a, 1), (\mu(b, x), 1)) \\
&= \sigma_\mu((a, 1), (\mu(b, x), \mu(1, 1))) \\
&= \sigma_\mu((a, 1), \sigma_\mu((b, 1), (x, 1))) \\
&= \sigma_\mu((b, 1), \sigma_\mu((a, 1), (x, 1))) \\
&= \sigma_\mu((b, 1), (\mu(a, x), \mu(1, 1))) \\
&= \sigma_\mu((b, 1), (\mu(a, x), 1)) \\
&= (\mu(b, \mu(a, x)), \mu(1, 1)) \\
&= (\mu(b, \mu(a, x)), 1)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mu(a, \mu(b, x)) = \mu(b, \mu(a, x))$. Thus μ is an action of X on S . \square

Proposition 2.6. *Let X be a BE-algebra and S be a subalgebra of X . Suppose $\mu : X \rightarrow X$ is an endomorphism and $\sigma : X \times S \rightarrow S$ is a*

mapping. For $a \in X$ and $x \in S$, define a mapping $\sigma_\mu : X \times S \rightarrow S$ by

$$\sigma_\mu(a, x) = \sigma(\mu(a), x)$$

If σ is a complete action of X on S , then σ_μ is a complete action of X on S . Further, the converse is also true whenever μ is surjective.

Proof. Assume that σ is a complete action of X on S . Then, we get

(C1) For any $a \in X$, we get that $\sigma_\mu(a, 1) = \sigma(\mu(a), 1) = 1$.

(C2) For any $x \in S$, we get $\sigma_\mu(1, x) = \sigma(\mu(1), x) = \sigma(1, x) = x$.

(C3) Let $a \in X$ and $x, y \in S$. Since σ is an action of X on S and μ is an endomorphism, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_\mu(a, x * y) &= \sigma(\mu(a), x * y) \\ &= \sigma(\mu(a), x) * \sigma(\mu(a), y) \\ &= \sigma_\mu(a, x) * \sigma_\mu(a, y) \end{aligned}$$

(C4) Let $a, b \in X$ and $x \in S$. Since σ is an action of X on S , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_\mu(a, \sigma_\mu(b, x)) &= \sigma_\mu(a, \sigma(\mu(b), x)) \\ &= \sigma(\mu(a), \sigma(\mu(b), x)) \\ &= \sigma(\mu(b), \sigma(\mu(a), x)) \\ &= \sigma_\mu(b, \sigma(\mu(a), x)) \\ &= \sigma_\mu(b, \sigma_\mu(a, x)) \end{aligned}$$

(C5) Let $a, b \in X$ and $x \in S$. Since σ is a complete action, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_\mu(a * b, x) &= \sigma(\mu(a * b), x) \\ &= \sigma(\mu(a) * \mu(b), x) \\ &= \sigma(\mu(a), x) * \sigma(\mu(b), x) \\ &= \sigma_\mu(a, x) * \sigma_\mu(b, x) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore σ_μ is a complete action of X on S . To prove the converse, let us suppose that μ is a surjective mapping. Assume that σ_μ is a complete action of X on S . Then, we get

(C1) Let $a \in X$. Since μ is surjective, there exists $b \in X$ such that $\mu(b) = a$. Now, $\sigma(a, 1) = \sigma(\mu(b), 1) = \sigma_\mu(b, 1) = 1$.

(C2) For any $x \in S$, we get $\sigma(1, x) = \sigma(\mu(1), x) = \sigma_\mu(1, x) = x$.

(C3) Let $a \in X$ and $x, y \in S$. Since μ is surjective, there exist $b \in X$ such

that $\mu(b) = a$. Since σ_μ is an action of X on S , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma(a, x * y) &= \sigma(\mu(b), x * y) \\
&= \sigma_\mu(b, x * y) \\
&= \sigma_\mu(b, x) * \sigma_\mu(b, y) \\
&= \sigma(\mu(b), x) * \sigma(\mu(b), y) \\
&= \sigma(a, x) * \sigma(a, y)
\end{aligned}$$

(C4) Let $a, b \in X$ and $x \in S$. Since μ is surjective, there exist $a_0, b_0 \in X$ such that $\mu(a_0) = a$ and $\mu(b_0) = b$. Since σ_μ is an action,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma(a, \sigma(b, x)) &= \sigma(\mu(a_0), \sigma(\mu(b_0), x)) \\
&= \sigma(\mu(a_0), \sigma_\mu(b_0, x)) \\
&= \sigma_\mu(a_0, \sigma_\mu(b_0, x)) \\
&= \sigma_\mu(b_0, \sigma_\mu(a_0, x)) \\
&= \sigma_\mu(b_0, \sigma(\mu(a_0), x)) \\
&= \sigma(\mu(b_0), \sigma(\mu(a_0), x)) \\
&= \sigma(b, \sigma(a, x))
\end{aligned}$$

(C5) Let $a, b \in X$ and $x \in S$. Since μ is a surjective mapping, there exist $a_0, b_0 \in X$ such that $\mu(a_0) = a$ and $\mu(b_0) = b$. Since σ_μ is an action of X on S , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma(a * b, x) &= \sigma(\mu(a_0) * \mu(b_0), x) \\
&= \sigma(\mu(a_0 * b_0), x) \\
&= \sigma_\mu(a_0 * b_0, x) \\
&= \sigma_\mu(a_0, x) * \sigma_\mu(b_0, x) \\
&= \sigma(\mu(a_0), x) * \sigma(\mu(b_0), x) \\
&= \sigma(a, x) * \sigma(b, x)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore σ is a complete action of X on S . □

Definition 2.7. Let $(X, *, 1)$ be a *BE*-algebra and S be a subalgebra of X . Two actions σ_i and σ_j of X on S are said to be *permutable* if for all $a, b \in X$ and $x \in S$, the following property holds:

$$\sigma_i(a, \sigma_j(b, x)) = \sigma_i(b, \sigma_j(a, x)).$$

Example 2.8. Let $X = \{1, a, b, c\}$ be the given set. Define a binary operation $*$ on X as given in the following table:

$*$	1	a	b	c
1	1	a	b	c
a	1	1	1	c
b	1	a	1	c
c	1	a	1	1

Clearly $(X, *, 1)$ is a BE -algebra. Consider the subalgebra $S = \{b, 1\}$. Define two mappings σ_1 and σ_2 from $X \times S$ into S as given by

$$\sigma_1(x, y) = x * y \quad \sigma_2(x, y) = y$$

for all $x \in X$ and $y \in S$. Clearly σ_1 and σ_2 are actions of X on S . It can be easily verified that σ_1 and σ_2 are permutable actions of X on S .

Proposition 2.9. *Let X be a BE -algebra and S is a subalgebra of X . Let σ_1 and σ_2 be two permutable actions of X on S . Define the composition of the actions σ_1 and σ_2 as*

$$(\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2)(a, x) = \sigma_1(a, \sigma_2(a, x))$$

for all $a \in X$ and $x \in S$. Then $\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2$ is an action of X on S .

Proof. (C1) and (C2) are clear. To prove (C3), let $a \in X$ and $x, y \in S$. Then, we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2)(a, x * y) &= \sigma_1(a, \sigma_2(a, x * y)) \\ &= \sigma_1(a, \sigma_2(a, x) * \sigma_2(a, y)) \\ &= \sigma_1(a, \sigma_2(a, x)) * \sigma_1(a, \sigma_2(a, y)) \\ &= (\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2)(a, x) * (\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2)(a, y) \end{aligned}$$

(C4). Let $a, b \in X$ and $x \in S$. Since σ_1 and σ_2 are permutable, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2)(a, (\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2)(b, x)) &= (\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2)(a, \sigma_1(b, \sigma_2(b, x))) \\ &= \sigma_1(a, \sigma_2(a, \sigma_1(b, \sigma_2(b, x)))) \\ &= \sigma_1(a, \sigma_2(b, \sigma_1(a, \sigma_2(b, x)))) \\ &= \sigma_1(b, \sigma_2(a, \sigma_1(a, \sigma_2(b, x)))) \\ &= \sigma_1(b, \sigma_2(a, \sigma_1(b, \sigma_2(a, x)))) \\ &= \sigma_1(b, \sigma_2(b, \sigma_1(a, \sigma_2(a, x)))) \\ &= \sigma_1(b, \sigma_2(b, (\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2)(a, x))) \\ &= (\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2)(b, (\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2)(a, x)) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2$ is an action of X on S . \square

Theorem 2.10. *Let X be a BE -algebra with given ordering \leq . Suppose that S is a subalgebra of X and $\Sigma(X)$ denotes the set of all actions of X on S . For any $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Sigma(X)$, define an ordering \leq_σ on the set of all actions of X on S as given by*

$$\sigma_1 \leq_\sigma \sigma_2 \text{ if and only if } \sigma_1(a, x) \leq \sigma_2(a, x)$$

for all $a \in X$ and $x \in S$. Then \leq_σ is a BE -ordering on $\Sigma(X)$. Further, if X is commutative, then \leq_σ is a partial ordering on $\Sigma(X)$.

Proof. Since S is a subalgebra of X and \leq is a BE -ordering on X , it is clear that \leq_σ is reflexive. Further, if X is commutative, then it is transitive. Hence \leq_σ is transitive on $\Sigma(X)$. Since X is commutative, we get that \leq is anti-symmetric and hence \leq_σ is anti-symmetric on $\Sigma(X)$. Therefore \leq_σ is a partial ordering on $\Sigma(X)$. \square

Suppose that X is a commutative BE -algebra and S is a subalgebra of X . Let σ_1 and σ_2 be two actions of X on S . Due to the commutativity of the subalgebra S , we get

$$\sigma_1(a, x) \vee \sigma_2(a, x) = (\sigma_2(a, x) * \sigma_1(a, x)) * \sigma_1(a, x)$$

for any $a \in X$ and $x \in S$. Further, we have

$$\sigma_1(a, x) \vee \sigma_2(a, x) = \sigma_2(a, x) \vee \sigma_1(a, x).$$

Lemma 2.11. *Let X be a BE -algebra and S a commutative subalgebra of X . If σ is an action of X on S , then $\sigma(a, x \vee y) = \sigma(a, x) \vee \sigma(a, y)$ for any $a \in X$ and $x, y \in S$.*

Proof. Routine verification. \square

Proposition 2.12. *Suppose X is a BE -algebra and S a commutative subalgebra of X . Let σ_1 and σ_2 be two permutable actions of X on S . Define the supremum of the actions σ_1 and σ_2 as given under*

$$(\sigma_1 \sqcup \sigma_2)(a, x) = \sigma_1(a, x) \vee \sigma_2(a, x)$$

for all $a \in X$ and $x \in S$. Then $\sigma_1 \sqcup \sigma_2$ is an action of X on S . Further $\sigma_1 \sqcup \sigma_2$ is a complete action of X on S whenever both σ_1 and σ_2 are complete actions of X on S .

Proof. (C1), (C2) and (C3) can be routinely verified. To prove (C4), let $a \in X$ and $x \in S$. For simplicity of the representation, in the following, we

use the notation $\sigma_i(a_x) = \sigma_i(a, x)$ and $\sigma_i(b_x) = \sigma_i(b, x)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Since σ_1 and σ_2 are permutable, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(\sigma_1 \sqcup \sigma_2)(a, (\sigma_1 \sqcup \sigma_2)(b_x)) &= (\sigma_1 \sqcup \sigma_2)(a, \sigma_1(b_x) \vee \sigma_2(b_x)) \\
&= \sigma_1(a, \sigma_1(b_x) \vee \sigma_2(b_x)) \\
&\quad \vee \sigma_2(a, \sigma_1(b_x) \vee \sigma_2(b_x)) \\
&= \sigma_1(a, \sigma_1(b_x)) \vee \sigma_1(a, \sigma_2(b_x)) \\
&\quad \vee \sigma_2(a, \sigma_1(b_x)) \vee \sigma_2(a, \sigma_2(b_x)) \\
&= \sigma_1(b, \sigma_1(a_x)) \vee \sigma_1(b, \sigma_2(a_x)) \\
&\quad \vee \sigma_2(b, \sigma_1(a_x)) \vee \sigma_2(b, \sigma_2(a_x)) \\
&= \sigma_1(b, \sigma_1(a_x) \vee \sigma_2(a_x)) \vee \sigma_2(b, \sigma_1(a_x) \vee \sigma_2(a_x)) \\
&= \sigma_1(b, (\sigma_1 \sqcup \sigma_2)(a_x)) \vee \sigma_2(b, (\sigma_1 \sqcup \sigma_2)(a_x)) \\
&= (\sigma_1 \sqcup \sigma_2)(b, (\sigma_1 \sqcup \sigma_2)(a_x))
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\sigma_1 \sqcup \sigma_2$ is an action of X on S . Further, suppose that σ_1, σ_2 are complete actions of X on S . It can be routinely verified that $\sigma_1 \sqcup \sigma_2$ is a complete action of X on S . \square

The following theorem is a direct consequence of the above results.

Theorem 2.13. *Suppose $(X, *, 1)$ is a BE -algebra and S a commutative subalgebra of X . Let $\Sigma(X)$ be the set of all permutable actions of X on S . Then $(\Sigma(X), \sqcup)$ is a semi-lattice with partial ordering \leq_σ . Therefore $(\Sigma(X), \leq_\sigma)$ is a partially order set.*

3. CUT-HOMOMORPHISMS

In this section, the concept of subcuts of subalgebras of a BE -algebra is introduced. The notion of cut-homomorphisms is introduced in BE -algebras. It is proved that the collection of all idempotent cut-homomorphism forms an upper semi-lattice.

Definition 3.1. Let S be a subalgebra of a BE -algebra X . Suppose (σ, S) is a cut of a BE -algebra X . A subalgebra S' of S is said to a *subcut* of S if S' is closed under action by elements of X . In this case, we simply call (σ, S') a subcut of (σ, S) .

Definition 3.2. Suppose that S is a subalgebra of a BE -algebra X and (σ, S) is a cut of X . A subcut (σ, F) of the cut (σ, S) is called a *filter* of (σ, S) if it satisfies the following properties:

- (1) F is a filter of S ,
(2) $\sigma(x, y) \leq x$ for all $x \in S$ and $1 \neq y \in F$.

In this case, we simply call that F is a filter of the cut (σ, S) . For any subalgebra S of X , it is clear that $\{1\}$ is a filter of any cut (σ, S) . A filter F of a cut (σ, S) is called *proper* if $F \neq S$.

Example 3.3. Let $X = \{1, a, b, c\}$ be a set. Define a binary operation $*$ on X as follows:

$*$	1	a	b	c
1	1	a	b	c
a	1	1	b	c
b	1	a	1	c
c	1	a	b	1

It can be routinely seen that $(X, *, 1)$ is a BE -algebra. Consider the subalgebra $S = \{a, b, 1\}$. Define a mapping $\sigma : X \times S \rightarrow S$ by $\sigma(a, 1) = 1$, $\sigma(1, x) = x$ for all $a \in X, x \in S$, and

$$\sigma(c, a) = a, \sigma(c, b) = b \text{ and } \sigma(c, c) = 1$$

Note that σ is an action of X on S . Consider the set $F = \{1, a\}$. Then, it can be easily verified that F is a filter of the cut (σ, S) .

Proposition 3.4. *Let S be a subalgebra of a BE -algebra $(X, *, 1)$ and σ is an action of X on S . Then the set-intersection of any two filters of the cut (σ, S) is again a filter of (σ, S) .*

Proof. Given that σ an action of X on S . Let (σ, F_1) and (σ, F_2) be two filters of the cut (σ, S) . Clearly $F_1 \cap F_2$ is a filter of S . Therefore the intersection of F_1 and F_2 is a filter of the cut (σ, S) . \square

The following corollaries are direct consequences of Proposition 3.4.

Corollary 3.5. *Let S be a subalgebra of a BE -algebra X and σ is an action of X on S . Suppose $\{F_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ is an indexed family of filters of the cut (σ, S) . Then the set intersection $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} F_\alpha$ is a filter of (σ, S) .*

Corollary 3.6. *Let S be a subalgebra of a BE -algebra X . If σ is an action of X on S , then the intersection of all filters of (σ, S) is $\{1\}$.*

Proposition 3.7. *Let S be a commutative subalgebra of a BE -algebra X . Suppose σ_1 and σ_2 are two actions of X on S . If F is filter of both the cuts (σ_1, S) and (σ_2, S) , then F is a filter of $(\sigma_1 \sqcup \sigma_2, S)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.12, $\sigma_1 \sqcup \sigma_2$ is an action of X on S . Let $x \in S$ and $1 \neq y \in F$. Since F is filter of (σ_1, S) and (σ_2, S) , we get

$$\sigma_1(x, y) \leq x \text{ and } \sigma_2(x, y) \leq x.$$

Hence $(\sigma_1 \sqcup \sigma_2)(x, y) = \sigma_1(x, y) \vee \sigma_2(x, y) \leq x$ for all $x \in S$ and $1 \neq y \in F$. Therefore F is a filter of the cut $(\sigma_1 \sqcup \sigma_2, S)$. \square

Theorem 3.8. *Let S be a commutative subalgebra of a BE -algebra X . Suppose $\{\sigma_i\}_{i \in \Delta}$ is an indexed family of actions of X on S . For any filter F of S , the set $\{(\sigma_i, F)\}_{i \in \Delta}$ of all subcuts forms a partially ordered semi-lattice with respect to the operation \sqcup .*

Proof. By Proposition 3.7 and Theorem 2.10, it follows. \square

Definition 3.9. Let F and G be two filters of a BE -algebra X . Suppose σ and μ are actions of X on F and G respectively. For the cuts (σ, F) and (μ, G) of X , the mapping $f : (\sigma, F) \longrightarrow (\mu, G)$ is called a *cut-homomorphism* if it satisfies the following properties:

- (H1) $f(x * y) = f(x) * f(y)$ for all $x, y \in F$,
- (H2) $f(\sigma(a, x)) = \mu(a, f(x))$ for all $a \in X$ and $x \in F$.

A bijective cut-homomorphism is called a *cut-isomorphism*. A cut-homomorphism from a cut (σ, F) into itself is called a *cut-endomorphism*.

Proposition 3.10. *The composition of any two cut-homomorphisms of a BE -algebra is again a cut-homomorphism.*

Proof. Let F, G and H be three filters of a BE -algebra X . Suppose σ, μ and δ be three actions of X on F, G and H respectively. Let $f : (\sigma, F) \longrightarrow (\mu, G)$ and $g : (\mu, G) \longrightarrow (\delta, H)$ be two cut-homomorphisms. Clearly $g \circ f : (\sigma, F) \longrightarrow (\delta, H)$ is a cut-homomorphism. \square

Definition 3.11. Let (σ, F) be a cut of a BE -algebra X . For any $a \in X$, define a self-map $\sigma_a : (\sigma, F) \longrightarrow (\sigma, F)$ by $\sigma_a(x) = \sigma(a, x)$ for all $x \in F$.

Proposition 3.12. *Let (σ, F) be a cut of a BE -algebra X . For any $a \in X$, the mapping $\sigma_a : (\sigma, F) \longrightarrow (\sigma, F)$ defined above is a cut-endomorphism.*

Proof. Let $a \in X$. For any $x, y \in F$, we get

$$\sigma_a(x * y) = \sigma(a, x * y) = \sigma(a, x) * \sigma(a, y) = \sigma_a(x) * \sigma_a(y).$$

For any $b \in X$ and $x \in F$, we get

$$\sigma_a(\sigma(b, x)) = \sigma(a, \sigma(b, x)) = \sigma(b, \sigma(a, x)) = \sigma(b, \sigma_a(x)).$$

Therefore σ_a is a cut-endomorphism. \square

Lemma 3.13. *Let (σ, S) be a cut of a BE-algebra X . For any $a \in X$,*

- (1) *for any $x \in (\sigma, S)$, $\sigma_a(x) = x * \sigma_a(x)$,*
- (2) *for any $x \in (\sigma, S)$, $x = \sigma_a(x) * x$ whenever σ is complete,*
- (3) *if F is a filter of (σ, S) , then $(\sigma, \sigma_a(F))$ is a subcut of (σ, S) .*

Proof. (1) Let $a \in X$. For any $x \in (\sigma, S)$, we get

$$\sigma_a(x) = \sigma(a, x) = \sigma(1 * a, x) = \sigma(1, x) * \sigma(a, x) = x * \sigma_a(x)$$

(2) Let $a \in X$ and σ is complete. For any $x \in (\sigma, S)$, we get

$$x = \sigma(1, x) = \sigma(a * 1, x) = \sigma(a, x) * \sigma(1, x) = \sigma_a(x) * x$$

(3) Let F be a filter of (σ, S) . Let $\sigma_a(x), \sigma_a(y) \in \sigma_a(F)$ where $x, y \in F$. Then

$$\sigma_a(x) * \sigma_a(y) = \sigma(a, x) * \sigma(a, y) = \sigma(a, x * y) = \sigma_a(x * y) \in \sigma_a(F)$$

because of $x * y \in F$. Therefore $\sigma_a(F)$ is a subalgebra of X , for any $b \in X$ and $\sigma_a(x) \in \sigma_a(F)$. Then $x \in F$ and

$$\sigma(b, \sigma_a(x)) = \sigma(b, \sigma(a, x)) = \sigma(a, \sigma(b, x)) = \sigma_a(\sigma(b, x)) \in \sigma_a(F)$$

since $\sigma(b, x) \in F$. Hence $\sigma : X \times \sigma_a(F) \longrightarrow \sigma_a(F)$ is an action of X on $\sigma_a(F)$. Therefore $(\sigma, \sigma_a(F))$ is a subcut of (σ, S) . \square

Lemma 3.14. *Let (σ, S) be a cut of a BE-algebra X . For any $a, b \in X$,*

- (1) *σ_1 is the identity map on (σ, S) ,*
- (2) *σ_a is order preserving on (σ, S) ,*
- (3) *$\sigma_a \circ \sigma_b = \sigma_b \circ \sigma_a$.*

Proof. (1) For any $x \in S$, we get that $\sigma_1(x) = \sigma(1, x) = x$.

(2) Let $x, y \in S$ and $x \leq y$. Then

$$\sigma_a(x) * \sigma_a(y) = \sigma_a(x * y) = \sigma_a(1) = \sigma(a, 1) = 1.$$

Hence $\sigma_a(x) \leq \sigma_a(y)$. Therefore σ_a is order preserving.

(3) For any $x \in S$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\sigma_a \circ \sigma_b)(x) &= \sigma_a(\sigma_b(x)) \\
&= \sigma_a(\sigma(b, x)) \\
&= \sigma(a, \sigma(b, x)) \\
&= \sigma(b, \sigma(a, x)) \\
&= \sigma(b, \sigma_a(x)) \\
&= \sigma_b(\sigma_a(x)) \\
&= (\sigma_b \circ \sigma_a)(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\sigma_a \circ \sigma_b = \sigma_a \circ \sigma_b$. □

Theorem 3.15. *Let (σ, S) be a cut of a BE -algebra X . Then the collection $\mathcal{M} = \{\sigma_a \mid a \in X\}$ is a BE -algebra and there is an onto homomorphism from X into \mathcal{M} .*

Proof. For any $a, b \in X$, define a binary operation \odot on \mathcal{M} as $(\sigma_a \odot \sigma_b)(x) = \sigma_{a*b}(x)$ for all $x \in S$. For any $a \in X$, we have

$$(\sigma_a \odot \sigma_1)(x) = \sigma_{a*1}(x) = \sigma_1(x)$$

for all $x \in S$. Hence $\sigma_a \odot \sigma_1 = \sigma_1$. Again, we have

$$(\sigma_1 \odot \sigma_a)(x) = \sigma_{1*a}(x) = \sigma_a(x)$$

for all $x \in S$. Hence $\sigma_1 \odot \sigma_a = \sigma_a$. Also $(\sigma_a \odot \sigma_a)(x) = \sigma_{a*a}(x) = \sigma_1(x)$. Hence $\sigma_a \odot \sigma_a = \sigma_1$. Similarly, we can prove that $\sigma_a \odot (\sigma_b \odot \sigma_c) = \sigma_b \odot (\sigma_a \odot \sigma_c)$ for any $\sigma_a, \sigma_b, \sigma_c \in \mathcal{M}$. Therefore $(\mathcal{M}, \odot, \sigma_1)$ is a BE -algebra where σ_1 is the top element.

Since $(\mathcal{M}, \odot, \sigma_1)$ is a BE -algebra, define a mapping $\Omega : X \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ as $\Omega(a) = \sigma_a$ for all $a \in X$. Clearly Ω is well-defined. For any $a, b \in X$, we get $\Omega(a * b) = \sigma_{a*b} = \sigma_a \odot \sigma_b = \Omega(a) \odot \Omega(b)$. Therefore Ω is a homomorphism. Let $\sigma_a \in \mathcal{M}$. For this $a \in X$, it is clear that $\Omega(a) = \sigma_a$. Therefore Ω is an onto homomorphism. □

In a self-distributive BE -algebra X with subalgebra S , the action $\sigma : X \times S \rightarrow S$ defined by $\sigma(a, x) = a * x$ for all $a \in X$ and $x \in S$ is observed as the idempotent action.

Theorem 3.16. *Let σ be an idempotent action of a BE -algebra X on its subalgebra S . Then the collection $K' = \{\sigma_a \mid a \in X\}$ is an upper semi-lattice with top element σ_1 .*

Proof. For any $a, b \in X$, define a binary operation \wedge on K' as $\sigma_a \wedge \sigma_b = \sigma_a \circ \sigma_b$. For any $a \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_a \wedge \sigma_a)(x) &= (\sigma_a \circ \sigma_a)(x) \\ &= \sigma_a(\sigma_a(x)) \\ &= \sigma_a(\sigma(a, x)) \\ &= \sigma(a, \sigma(a, x)) \\ &= \sigma(a, x) \\ &= \sigma_a(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in S$. Hence $\sigma_a \wedge \sigma_a = \sigma_a$. Let $a, b \in X$. By Lemma 3.14(3), we get $\sigma_a \wedge \sigma_b = \sigma_a \circ \sigma_b = \sigma_b \circ \sigma_a = \sigma_b \wedge \sigma_a$. Since the composition of self mappings is associative, it is concluded that (K', \wedge) is a semi-lattice. For any $a \in X$ and $x \in S$, we get

$$(\sigma_a \wedge \sigma_1)(x) = (\sigma_a \circ \sigma_1)(x) = \sigma_a(\sigma_1(x)) = \sigma_a(x).$$

Hence $\sigma_a \wedge \sigma_1 = \sigma_a$. Similarly, $\sigma_1 \wedge \sigma_a = \sigma_a$. Therefore (K', \wedge) is a semi-lattice where σ_1 as the top element. \square

4. FIXED POINTS OF CUT-ENDOMORPHISMS

In this section, the concept of fixed points of a cut-endomorphism is introduced in BE -algebras. A necessary and sufficient condition is given for a cut-endomorphism to have a fixed point. Properties of fixed points and images of a cut-endomorphism are investigated.

Definition 4.1. Let S be a subalgebra of a BE -algebra X . Suppose (σ, S) be a cut of X and $f : (\sigma, S) \longrightarrow (\sigma, S)$ is a cut-endomorphism. An element $x \in S$ is called a *fixed point* of f if $f(x) = x$.

Example 4.2. Consider the subalgebra $S = \{a, b, 1\}$ of the BE -algebra X which is given in Example 3.3. Define a self-mapping $f : S \longrightarrow S$ as given by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 1 \\ a & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

It can be easily noticed that f is a cut-endomorphism on S . Under this self mapping f , the elements 1 and a of the subalgebra S are fixed points but not the element b because of $f(b) = a$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let S be a subalgebra of BE-algebra X and (σ, S) be a cut of X . For any $a \in X$, the cut-endomorphism σ_a has a fixed point in S if and only if there exists a constant mapping $g : S \rightarrow S$ such that $g(\sigma(a, x)) = \sigma(a, g(x))$ for all $x \in S$.*

Proof. Assume that σ_a has a fixed point, say c . For this $c \in S$, define a constant map $g : S \rightarrow S$ by $g(x) = c$ for all $x \in S$. Then, we get $g(\sigma(a, x)) = c$ and $\sigma(a, g(x)) = \sigma(a, c) = \sigma_a(c) = c$ for all $x \in S$. Therefore $g(\sigma(a, x)) = \sigma(a, g(x))$ for all $x \in S$.

Conversely, assume that there exists $c \in S$ and a constant mapping $h : S \rightarrow S$ such that $h(x) = c$ and $h(\sigma(a, x)) = \sigma(a, h(x))$ for all $x \in S$. Hence $\sigma_a(c) = \sigma(a, c) = \sigma(a, h(x)) = h(\sigma(a, x)) = c$. Therefore c is a fixed point of σ_a . \square

Proposition 4.4. *Let (σ, S) be a cut of a BE-algebra X . For any $a \in X$, the class of all fixed points of σ_a given by*

$$Fix(\sigma_a) = \{x \in S \mid \sigma_a(x) = x\}$$

is a subcut of (σ, S) .

Proof. Let $a \in X$. Since $\sigma_a(1) = 1$, we get $1 \in Fix(\sigma_a)$. Let $x, y \in Fix(\sigma_a)$. Then, we get $\sigma_a(x) = x$ and $\sigma_a(y) = y$. Hence

$$\sigma_a(x * y) = \sigma(a, x * y) = \sigma(a, x) * \sigma(a, y) = \sigma_a(x) * \sigma_a(y) = x * y.$$

Thus $x * y \in Fix(\sigma_a)$. Therefore $Fix(\sigma_a)$ is a uni-subalgebra of (σ, S) . For any $b \in X$ and $x \in Fix(\sigma_a)$. Then $\sigma(a, x) = \sigma_a(x) = x$. Hence

$$\sigma_a(\sigma(b, x)) = \sigma(a, \sigma(b, x)) = \sigma(b, \sigma(a, x)) = \sigma(b, x).$$

Hence $\sigma(b, x) \in Fix(\sigma_a)$. Thus $\sigma : X \times Fix(\sigma_a) \rightarrow Fix(\sigma_a)$ is an action of X on $Fix(\sigma_a)$. Therefore $(\sigma, Fix(\sigma_a))$ is a subcut of (σ, S) . \square

Let (σ, S) be a cut of a BE-algebra X where S is a subalgebra of X . For any cut-homomorphism σ_a , its image is given as

$$Im(\sigma_a) = \{\sigma_a(x) \mid x \in S\}.$$

Proposition 4.5. *Let (σ, S) be a cut of a BE-algebra X . For any $a \in X$, $Im(\sigma_a)$ is a subalgebra of S .*

Proof. Clearly $Im(\sigma_a)$ is a subsets of S and $1 \in Im(\sigma_a)$. Let $x, y \in Im(\sigma_a)$. Then $x = \sigma_a(x')$ and $y = \sigma_a(y')$ for some $x', y' \in S$. Now

$$x * y = \sigma_a(x') * \sigma_a(y') = \sigma_a(x' * y').$$

Since $x' * y' \in S$, we get $x * y \in Im(\sigma_a)$. Therefore $Im(\sigma_a)$ is a subalgebra of S . \square

Lemma 4.6. *Let (σ, S) be a cut of a BE-algebra X . Then $Fix(\sigma_1) = S$. Let $a \in X$. If σ is idempotent, then we have*

- (1) $\sigma_a(x) \in Fix(\sigma_a)$ for all $x \in S$,
- (2) $\sigma_a(x) \in Im(\sigma_a)$ for all $x \in S$.

Proof. Let $a \in X$. Clearly $Fix(\sigma_1) \subseteq S$. For any $x \in S$, we get that $\sigma_1(x) = \sigma(1, x) = x$. Hence $x \in Fix(\sigma_1)$. Therefore $S \subseteq Fix(\sigma_1)$. The remaining part is clear. \square

Theorem 4.7. *Let σ be an idempotent action of a BE-algebra X on its subalgebra S . For any $a, b \in X$, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\sigma_a = \sigma_b$;
- (2) $Im(\sigma_a) = Im(\sigma_b)$;
- (3) $Fix(\sigma_a) = Fix(\sigma_b)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): It is obvious.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Assume that $Im(\sigma_a) = Im(\sigma_b)$. Let $x \in Fix(\sigma_a)$. Then, we get $x = \sigma_a(x) \in Im(\sigma_a) = Im(\sigma_b)$. Hence $x = \sigma_b(y)$ for some $y \in S$. Since σ is idempotent, we get $\sigma_b(x) = \sigma_b(\sigma_b(y)) = \sigma_b(y) = x$. Thus $x \in Fix(\sigma_b)$. Therefore $Fix(\sigma_a) \subseteq Fix(\sigma_b)$. Similarly, we can obtain that $Fix(\sigma_b) \subseteq Fix(\sigma_a)$. Therefore $Fix(\sigma_a) = Fix(\sigma_b)$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Assume that $Fix(\sigma_a) = Fix(\sigma_b)$. Let $x \in S$ be an arbitrary element. Since $\sigma_a(x) \in Fix(\sigma_a) = Fix(\sigma_b)$, we get

$$\sigma_b(\sigma_a(x)) = \sigma_a(x).$$

Also we have $\sigma_b(x) \in Fix(\sigma_b) = Fix(\sigma_a)$. Hence $\sigma_a(\sigma_b(x)) = \sigma_b(x)$. Thus, it yields

$$\sigma_a(x) = \sigma_b(\sigma_a(x)) = (\sigma_b \circ \sigma_a)(x) = (\sigma_a \circ \sigma_b)(x) = \sigma_a(\sigma_b(x)) = \sigma_b(x).$$

Hence σ_a and σ_b are equal in the sense of mappings. Thus $\sigma_a = \sigma_b$. \square

Theorem 4.8. *Let σ and μ be two idempotent actions of a BE-algebra X on its subalgebra S . For any $a \in X$, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\sigma_a = \mu_a$;
- (2) $Im(\sigma_a) = Im(\mu_a)$;
- (3) $Fix(\sigma_a) = Fix(\mu_a)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): It is obvious.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Assume that $Im(\sigma_a) = Im(\mu_a)$. Let $x \in Fix(\sigma_a)$. Then, we get

$$x = \sigma_a(x) \in Im(\sigma_a) = Im(\mu_a).$$

Hence $x = \mu_a(y)$ for some $y \in S$. Now $\mu_a(x) = \mu_a(\mu_a(y)) = \mu_a(y) = x$. Thus $x \in Fix(\mu_a)$. Therefore $Fix(\sigma_a) \subseteq Fix(\mu_a)$. Similarly, we can obtain that $Fix(\mu_a) \subseteq Fix(\sigma_a)$. Therefore $Fix(\sigma_a) = Fix(\mu_a)$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Assume that $Fix(\sigma_a) = Fix(\mu_a)$. Let $x \in S$. Since $\sigma_a(x) \in Fix(\sigma_a) = Fix(\mu_a)$, we get $\mu_a(\sigma_a(x)) = \sigma_a(x)$. Also we have $\mu_a(x) \in Fix(\mu_a) = Fix(\sigma_a)$. Hence $\sigma_a(\mu_a(x)) = \mu_a(x)$. Thus, it yields

$$\sigma_a(x) = \mu_a(\sigma_a(x)) = (\mu_a \circ \sigma_a)(x) = (\sigma_a \circ \mu_a)(x) = \sigma_a(\mu_a(x)) = \mu_a(x).$$

Hence σ_a and μ_a are equal in the sense of mappings. Thus $\sigma_a = \mu_a$. \square

Theorem 4.9. *Let σ be an action of a BE-algebra $(X, *, 1)$ on its subalgebra S . Then the collection $\mathcal{K} = \{Fix(\sigma_a) \mid a \in X\}$ forms a BE-algebra with top element S . Hence there exists an onto homomorphism from \mathcal{M} into \mathcal{K} .*

Proof. For any $Fix(\sigma_a), Fix(\sigma_b) \in \mathcal{K}$ where $a, b \in X$, define an operation \otimes on \mathcal{K} by

$$Fix(\sigma_a) \otimes Fix(\sigma_b) = Fix(\sigma_{a*b})$$

By Lemma 4.6(1), we have $Fix(\sigma_1) = S$. It can be routinely verified that $(\mathcal{K}, \otimes, Fix(\sigma_1))$ is a BE-algebra. For any $a \in X$, define

$$g : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}$$

by $g(\sigma_a) = Fix(\sigma_a)$. Clearly g is well-defined and onto. For any $\sigma_a, \sigma_b \in \mathcal{M}$, we get

$$g(\sigma_a \odot \sigma_b) = g(\sigma_{a*b}) = Fix(\sigma_{a*b}) = Fix(\sigma_a) \otimes Fix(\sigma_b) = g(\sigma_a) \otimes g(\sigma_b).$$

Therefore g is a homomorphism. \square

Corollary 4.10. *Let σ be an action of a BE-algebra X on its subalgebra S . Then there exists an onto homomorphism from X into \mathcal{K} .*

Proof. By Theorem 3.15, Ω is a onto homomorphism from X into \mathcal{M} . By Theorem 4.9, we have g is an onto homomorphism from \mathcal{M} into \mathcal{K} . Hence $g \circ \Omega$ is the required onto homomorphism from X into \mathcal{K} . \square

Acknowledgments

The author wish to thank the referee for his valuable suggestions and comments which improves this presentation.

REFERENCES

1. S. S. Ahn, Y. H. Kim and J. M. Ko, Filters in commutative BE -algebras, *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.*, **27**(2) (2012), 233–242.
2. A. Borumand Saeid, A. Rezaei and R. A. Borzooei, Some types of filters in BE -algebras, *Math. Comput. Sci.*, **7** (2013), 341–352.
3. K. Iseki and S. Tanaka, An introduction to the theory of BCK -algebras, *Mathematica Japonica*, **23**(1) (1979), 1–26.
4. H. S. Kim and Y. H. Kim, On BE -algebras, *Sci. Math. Japon. Online*, (2006), 1299–1302.
5. B. L. Meng, On filters in BE -algebras, *Sci. Math. Jpn. Online*, (2010), 105–111.
6. A. Rezaei and A. Borumand Saeid, Commutative ideals in BE -algebras, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, **52** (2012), 483–494.
7. A. Rezaei and A. Borumand Saeid, Some results in BE -algebras, *Analele Universitatii Oradea Fasc. Matematica, Tom XIX*, (2012), 33–44.
8. A. Rezaei, A. Borumand Saeid and R. A. Borzooei, Relation between Hilbert algebras and BE -algebras, *Appl. Appl. Math.*, **8**(2) (2013), 573–584.
9. M. Sambasiva Rao, *A Course in BE-algebras*, Springer Nature, 2018.
10. M. Sambasiva Rao and V. V. Kumar, Left and right self maps on BE -algebras, *International Conference on Electrical, Electronics, and Optimization Techniques (ICEEOT)*, (2016), 579–591.
11. A. Walendziak, On commutative BE -algebras, *Sci. Math. Jpn.*, (2008), 585–588.

Mukkamala Sambasiva Rao

Department of Mathematics, MVGR College of Engineering, Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh, India-535005.
Email: mssraomaths35@rediffmail.com

FIXED POINTS AND CUT-HOMOMORPHISMS GENERATED
BY ACTIONS OF A BE -ALGEBRA ON ITS SUBALGEBRA

M. SAMBASIVA RAO

نقاط ثابت و برش-همومورفیسم‌های تولید شده توسط اعمال یک BE -جبر روی زیرجبر آن

موکامالا سامباسیوارائو

گروه ریاضی، کالج مهندسی $MVGR$ ، ویزیانانگارام، آندراپرادش، هند

مفهوم اعمال یک BE -جبر روی زیرجبر آن معرفی شده و ویژگی‌های خاصی از این اعمال، بدست آمده است. مفهوم برش-همومورفیسم‌ها معرفی شده و ثابت می‌شود که کلاس همه‌ی برش-همومورفیسم‌ها یک BE -جبر مرتب را تشکیل می‌دهد. ویژگی‌های نقاط ثابت برش-همومورفیسم‌ها بررسی می‌شود و مجموعه‌ای از شرایط معادل برای هر دو برش-همومورفیسم به عنوان نگاهت برابر هستند، ارائه می‌شود.

کلمات کلیدی: زیرجبر، عمل یک BE -جبر، برش-همومورفیسم، نقطه ثابت.