

FUZZY NEXUS OVER AN ORDINAL

A. A. ESTAJI, T. HAGHDADI* AND J. FAROKHI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, the fuzzy subnexuses over a nexus N are defined and the notions of prime fuzzy subnexuses and fractions induced by them are studied. Finally, it is shown that if S is a meet closed subset of the set $Fsub(N)$, of fuzzy subnexuses of a nexus N , and $h = \bigwedge S \in S$, then the fractions $S^{-1}N$ and $\{h\}^{-1}N$ are isomorphic as meet-semilattices.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fuzzy sets were introduced by Lotfi A. Zadeh [15] and Dieter Klaua [10] in 1965 as an extension of the classical notion of sets. At the same time, Saliu [14] defined a more general kind of structures called L -relations, which were studied by him in an abstract algebraic context. Fuzzy relations, which are used now in different areas such as algebra [6, 12], rough set [4, 7], and clustering [3], are special cases of L -relations when L is the unit interval $[0, 1]$.

Section 2 of this paper is a prerequisite for the rest of the paper. The definitions and results of this section are taken from [2, 5, 8, 9, 11]. In Section 3, a fuzzy subnexus over an ordinal is defined, and also a prime fuzzy subnexus over an ordinal is defined. Particularly, we show that for every nexus N , and $f \in Fsub(N)$:

- (1) If $|Imf| \leq 2$, and $\emptyset \neq f_* \in Psub(N)$, then $g \wedge h \subseteq f$ implies that $g \subseteq f$ or $h \subseteq f$.
- (2) If $g \wedge h \subseteq f$ implies that $g \subseteq f$ or $h \subseteq f$, for every $g, h \in Fsub(N)$, then $|Imf| \leq 2$.

MSC(2010): Primary: 03E72; Secondary: 06A12, 11Y50

Keywords: Nexus, Ordinal, Prime fuzzy subnexus, Fraction of a nexus.

Received: 7 February 2015, Revised: 14 June 2015.

*Corresponding author.

- (3) If $|Imf| = 2$, and for every $g, h \in Fsub(N)$, $g \wedge h \subseteq f$ implies that $g \subseteq f$ or $h \subseteq f$, then $\emptyset \neq f_* \in Psub(N)$.

In Section 4, we introduce the notion fraction induced by fuzzy subnexuses, and give some characterizations for fraction of N in particular, we show that if S_1 and S_2 are meet closed subsets of $Fsub(N)$ and $h = \bigwedge S_1 = \bigwedge S_2 \in S_1 \cap S_2$, then $S_1^{-1}N \cong S_2^{-1}N \cong \{h\}^{-1}N$ as meet-semilattices.

2. PRELIMINARIES

A partially ordered set A is a *meet-semilattice*, if the infimum for each pair of elements exists. A homomorphism is a function $f : N \rightarrow M$ between the meet-semilattices N and M , such that $f(x \wedge y) = f(x) \wedge f(y)$ for all x and y in N . Each *homomorphism* is order preserving, i.e. $x \leq y$ implies that $f(x) \leq f(y)$.

A subset D of poset A is *directed*, provided that it is non-empty, and every finite subset of D has an upper bound in D .

Let A be a poset. For $X \subseteq A$ and $x \in A$, we write:

- (1) $\downarrow X = \{a \in A : a \leq x \text{ for some } x \in X\}$.
- (2) $\uparrow X = \{a \in A : a \geq x \text{ for some } x \in X\}$.
- (3) $\downarrow x = \downarrow \{x\}$.
- (4) $\uparrow x = \uparrow \{x\}$.

We also say:

- (5) X is a *lower set*, if and only if $X = \downarrow X$.
- (6) X is an *upper set*, if and only if $X = \uparrow X$.
- (7) X is an *ideal*, if and only if it is a directed lower set.
- (8) An ideal is *principal*, if and only if it has a maximum element.

For undefined terms and notations, see [5, 11].

The collection of all ordinal numbers is a proper class, and we denote it as \mathfrak{D} . It is also customary to denote the order relation between ordinals by $\alpha < \beta$ instead of the two equivalent forms $\alpha \subset \beta$, $\alpha \in \beta$, though the latter is also quite common. If α is an ordinal, then, by definition, we have $\alpha = \{\beta \in \mathfrak{D} | \beta < \alpha\}$. If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{D}$, then either $\alpha < \beta$ or $\beta < \alpha$ or $\alpha = \beta$. If A is a set of ordinals, then $\bigcup A$ is an ordinal.

Let $\gamma, \delta \in \mathfrak{D}$, $\gamma \geq 1$, and $\delta \geq 1$. An *address* over γ is a function $a : \delta \rightarrow \gamma$ such that $a(i) = 0$ implies that $a(j) = 0$, for all $j \geq i$. We denote by $A(\gamma)$, the set of all addresses over γ .

Let $a : \delta \rightarrow \gamma$ be an address over γ . If, for every $i \in \delta$, $a(i) = 0$, then it is called the *empty address*, and denoted by $()$. If a is a non-empty address, then there exists a unique element $\beta \in \delta + 1$, such that, for every $i \in \beta$, $a(i) \neq 0$, and for every $\beta \leq i \in \delta$, $a(i) = 0$. We denote this address by $(a_i)_{i \in \beta}$, where $a_i = a(i)$ for every $i \in \beta$.

Let $a : \delta \rightarrow \gamma$, and $b : \beta \rightarrow \eta$ be addresses and $\delta \leq \beta$. We say $a = b$, if for every $i \in \delta$, $a_i = b_i$, and for every $i \in \beta \setminus \delta$, $b_i = 0$. In other words, there exists a unique element $\beta \in \mathfrak{D}$, such that $a = (a_i)_{i \in \beta} = b$.

The *level* of $a \in A(\gamma)$ is said to be:

- (1) 0, if $a = ()$.
- (2) β , if $() \neq a = (a_i)_{i \in \beta}$.

The level of a is denoted by $l(a)$.

Let a and b be two elements of $A(\gamma)$. Then we say that $a \leq b$, if $l(a) = 0$ or one of the following cases satisfies for $a = (a_i)_{i \in \beta}$ and $b = (b_i)_{i \in \delta}$:

- (1) If $\beta = 1$, then $a_0 \leq b_0$.
- (2) If $\beta \geq 2$ is a non-limit ordinal, then $a|_{\beta-1} = b|_{\beta-1}$ and $a_{\beta-1} \leq b_{\beta-1}$.
- (3) If β is a limit ordinal, then $a = b|_{\beta}$.

Proposition 2.1. [9] $(A(\gamma), \leq)$ is a meet-semilattice.

Let $() \neq a = (a_i)_{i \in \beta}$ be an element of $A(\gamma)$. For every $\delta \in \beta$ and $0 \leq j \leq a_\delta$, we put $a^{(\delta, j)} : \delta + 1 \rightarrow \gamma$, such that for every $i \in \delta + 1$,

$$a_i^{(\delta, j)} = \begin{cases} a_i & \text{if } i \in \delta; \\ j & \text{if } i = \delta. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.2. [9] A *nexus* N over γ is a set of addresses with the following properties:

- (1) $\emptyset \neq N \subseteq A(\gamma)$.
- (2) If $() \neq a = (a_i)_{i \in \beta} \in N$, then for every $\delta \in \beta$ and $0 \leq j \leq a_\delta$, $a^{(\delta, j)} \in N$.

Proposition 2.3. [9] Let N be the set of addresses over γ . Then, N is a nexus over γ , if and only if $\emptyset \neq N \subseteq A(\gamma)$, and for every $(a, b) \in N \times A(\gamma)$, $b \leq a$ implies that $b \in N$.

Proposition 2.4. [9] Let N be a nexus over γ . Then (N, \leq) is a meet-semilattice.

Let N be a nexus over γ , and $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq N$. Then M is called a *subnexus* of N , if M itself is a nexus over γ . The set of all subnexuses of N is denoted by $Sub(N)$. It is clear that $\{()\}$ and N are the trivial subnexuses of nexus N .

Proposition 2.5. [9] If N is a nexus over γ , and $\{M_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq Sub(N)$, then $\bigcup_{i \in I} M_i \in Sub(N)$ and $\bigcap_{i \in I} M_i \in Sub(N)$.

Let N be a nexus over γ , and $X \subseteq N$. The smallest subnexus of N containing X is called the *subnexus of N generated by X* , and denoted

by $\langle X \rangle$. If $|X| = 1$, then $\langle X \rangle$ is called a cyclic subnexus of N . It is clear that $\langle \emptyset \rangle = \{()\}$, and $\langle N \rangle = N$.

Remark 2.6. [9] Let $\emptyset \neq N \subseteq A(\gamma)$. Then, N is a nexus over γ , if and only if:

$$N = \downarrow N = \bigcup_{a \in N} \downarrow a.$$

A proper subnexus P of a nexus N over γ is said to be a *prime subnexus* of N if $a \wedge b \in P$ implies that $a \in P$ or $b \in P$, for every $a, b \in N$. The set of all prime subnexuses of N is denoted by $Psub(N)$.

Proposition 2.7. [9] *Let P be a proper subnexus of a nexus N over γ . Then, P is a prime subnexus of N , if and only if $N \setminus P$ is closed under finite meet.*

Corollary 2.8. [9] *Let N be a nexus over γ , and $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq N$. If X is closed under finite meet, then there exists $a \in X$, such that $\uparrow a = \uparrow X$, and $a = \bigwedge X$.*

A *fuzzy subset* f on set X is a function $f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$. We denote by $F(X)$ the set of all fuzzy subsets of X . For $f, g \in F(X)$, we say $f \subseteq g$, if and only if $f(x) \leq g(x)$ for every $x \in X$. Let $f \in F(X)$, and $t \in [0, 1]$. Then the set $f_t = \{x \in X : f(x) \geq t\}$ is called the *level subset* of X with respect to f . Also we put $f_* = \{x \in X : f(x) = 1\}$. For $x \in X$ and $t \in (0, 1]$, $x^t \in F(X)$ is called a *fuzzy point*, if and only if $x^t(y) = 0$ for $y \neq x$ and $x^t(x) = t$. The fuzzy point x^t is said to belong to $f \in F(X)$, written $x^t \in f$, if and only if $f(x) \geq t$. If $f, g \in F(X)$, then $f \subseteq g$, if and only if $x^t \in f$ implies $x^t \in g$ for every fuzzy point $x^t \in F(X)$. For every $f, g \in F(X)$, and $r, s \in [0, 1]$, $(f \cap g)_r = f_r \cap g_r$, $(f \cup g)_r = f_r \cup g_r$, and if $r \leq s$, then $f_r \supseteq f_s$. For every $\{f_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq F(X)$ and $r \in [0, 1]$, $\bigcup_{i \in I} (f_i)_r \subseteq (\bigcup_{i \in I} f_i)_r$ and $\bigcap_{i \in I} (f_i)_r = (\bigcap_{i \in I} f_i)_r$. For every $f, g \in F(X)$, $f \subseteq g \Leftrightarrow f_r \subseteq g_r$, for all $r \in [0, 1]$ (see [8]).

3. PRIME FUZZY NEXUS

In this section, the notions of a fuzzy nexus and a prime fuzzy subnexus of a nexus are defined, and we discuss the relation subnexus and fuzzy subnexus, prime subnexus, and prime fuzzy subnexus.

Definition 3.1. Let f be a fuzzy subset on a nexus N . Then f is called a *fuzzy subnexus* of N , if $a \leq b$ implies that $f(b) \leq f(a)$ for all $a, b \in N$. The set of all fuzzy subnexuses of N is denoted by $Fsub(N)$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let A be a non-empty subset of a nexus N . Then, $A \in Sub(N)$, if and only if $\chi_A \in Fsub(N)$, where that χ_A is the characteristic function of A .*

Proof. Let $A \in Sub(N)$, and $a \leq b$, for some $a, b \in N$. If $b \in A$, by Proposition 2.3, $a \in A$, and so, $\chi_A(a) = \chi_A(b) = 1$. But if $b \notin A$, then $\chi_A(b) = 0$, and so, $\chi_A(b) \leq \chi_A(a)$, hence, $\chi_A \in Fsub(N)$.

Conversely, let $(a, b) \in A \times N$, and $b \leq a$. Then $1 = \chi_A(a) \leq \chi_A(b)$, which follows that $\chi_A(b) = 1$, i.e. $b \in A$. Hence, $A \in Sub(N)$. \square

Proposition 3.3. *Let f be a fuzzy subset of N . Then $f \in Fsub(N)$, if and only if $f_r \in Sub(N)$, for every $r \in [0, 1]$, where $f_r \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. Suppose $f \in Fsub(N)$ and $f_r \neq \emptyset$, for $r \in [0, 1]$, and let $b \in N$, $a \in f_r$, such that $b \leq a$. Then $f(b) \geq f(a) \geq r$, and hence, $b \in f_r$.

Conversely, suppose that f is a fuzzy subset of N , such that $f_r \in sub(N)$ for every $r \in [0, 1]$. Now let $a, b \in N$, $a \leq b$. We show that $f(b) \leq f(a)$. Let $f(b) = r$, for $r \in [0, 1]$. Thus $b \in f_r \neq \emptyset$, and since $f_r \in Sub(N)$, we can conclude from Proposition 2.3 that $a \in f_r$. Hence, $f(a) \geq r = f(b)$. \square

Proposition 3.4. *Let N be a nexus over γ , and $\{f_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq Fsub(N)$. Then:*

- (1) $\bigcup_{i \in I} f_i \in Fsub(N)$.
- (2) $\bigcap_{i \in I} f_i \in Fsub(N)$.

Proof. Let $a, b \in N$, and $a \leq b$ Then

$$\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} f_i\right)(b) = \bigvee_{i \in I} f_i(b) \leq \bigvee_{i \in I} f_i(a) = \left(\bigcup_{i \in I} f_i\right)(a)$$

and

$$\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} f_i\right)(b) = \bigwedge_{i \in I} f_i(b) \leq \bigwedge_{i \in I} f_i(a) = \left(\bigcap_{i \in I} f_i\right)(a).$$

\square

Let N be a nexus over γ . For $f \in F(N)$, we put

$$\langle f \rangle = \bigcap_{f \subseteq g \in Fsub(N)} g.$$

It is clear that $\langle f \rangle$ is a fuzzy subnexus of N .

Proposition 3.5. *Let N be a nexus over γ , and f be a fuzzy subset of N Then:*

$$\langle f \rangle(a) = \bigvee_{b \in \uparrow a} f(b).$$

Proof. Let f be a fuzzy subset of N . Define $h : N \rightarrow [0, 1]$, with $h(a) = \bigvee_{b \in \uparrow a} f(b)$. We are going to show that h is the smallest fuzzy

subnexus of N , which $f \subseteq h$. Let $a, b \in N$, and $a \leq b$. Since $\uparrow b \subseteq \uparrow a$, we can conclude that

$$h(a) = \bigvee_{z \in \uparrow a} f(z) \geq \bigvee_{z \in \uparrow b} f(z) = h(b).$$

Hence, $h \in Fsub(N)$. Now, let $g \in Fsub(N)$, $f \subseteq g$. Then for every $b \in \uparrow a$, we have $g(a) \geq f(b)$, which follows that $g(a) \geq \bigvee_{b \in \uparrow a} f(b)$. Hence, $g(a) \geq h(a)$, i.e. $h \subseteq g$. \square

Proposition 3.6. *If N is a nexus over γ , and $f, g \in F(N)$, then*

$$\langle f \rangle \cap \langle g \rangle \geq \langle f \cap g \rangle.$$

Proof. For every $a \in N$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\langle f \rangle \cap \langle g \rangle)(a) &= \min\{\langle f \rangle(a), \langle g \rangle(a)\} \\ &= \min\left\{\bigvee_{b \in \uparrow a} f(b), \bigvee_{b \in \uparrow a} g(b)\right\} \\ &\geq \bigvee_{b \in \uparrow a} \min\{f(b), g(b)\} \\ &= \bigvee_{b \in \uparrow a} (f \cap g)(b) \\ &= \langle f \cap g \rangle(a). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\langle f \rangle \cap \langle g \rangle \geq \langle f \cap g \rangle$. \square

Example 3.7. Let $\gamma = 3$, $N = \{(), (1), (2)\}$, and $f, g : N \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be functions such that

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} () & (1) & (2) \\ 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.3 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} () & (1) & (2) \\ 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is clear that $\langle f \rangle \cap \langle g \rangle \neq \langle f \cap g \rangle$.

Definition 3.8. Let N be a non-trivial nexus over γ , i.e. $N \neq \{()\}$. A fuzzy subnexus f of N is called a *prime fuzzy subnexus*, if

$$f(a \wedge b) \leq \max\{f(a), f(b)\},$$

for all $a, b \in N$. The set of all prime fuzzy subnexuses of N is denoted by $PFsub(N)$.

It is clear that if $f \in PFsub(N)$, then $f(a \wedge b) = f(a)$ or $f(b)$, for all $a, b \in N$.

Proposition 3.9. *Let N be a non-trivial nexus over γ , and f be a fuzzy subnexus of N . The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) *f is a prime fuzzy subnexus.*
- (2) *For every $r \in [0, 1]$, if f_r is a non-empty subset N , then f_r is a prime subnexus of N .*
- (3) *For every $r \in [0, 1]$, $N \setminus f_r$ is closed under finite meet.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let $r \in [0, 1]$, and f_r be a non-empty subset of N . If $a, b \in N$ and $a \wedge b \in f_r$, then $r \leq f(a \wedge b) \leq \max\{f(a), f(b)\}$, and which follows that $a \in f_r$ or $b \in f_r$. By Proposition 3.3, f_r is a prime subnexus of N .

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Suppose that $r \in [0, 1]$. If f_r is a non-empty subset of N , then, by Proposition 2.7, $N \setminus f_r$ is closed under finite meet. If $f_r = \emptyset$, then, by Proposition 2.4, we are done.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Let $a, b \in N$, and $f(a \wedge b) = r \in [0, 1]$. Since $a \wedge b \notin N \setminus f_r$, we can conclude from the statement (3) that $a \notin N \setminus f_r$ or $b \notin N \setminus f_r$. Hence $a \in f_r$ or $b \in f_r$, and which follows that $f(a \wedge b) \leq \max\{f(a), f(b)\}$. The proof is now complete. \square

Proposition 3.10. *Let N be nexus over γ and f be an arbitrary fuzzy subnexus.*

- (1) *If N is a chain, then f is a prime fuzzy subnexus.*
- (2) *If f is a prime fuzzy subnexus and one to one, then N is a chain.*

Proof. (1) Suppose that $a, b \in N$, and $a \leq b$. Since $f(a) \geq f(b)$ so $f(a \wedge b) = f(a) = \max\{f(a), f(b)\}$.

(2) Let $a, b \in N$ and $a \wedge b = c$. If $a \neq c$ and $b \neq c$, then since $c < a$, $c < b$ and f is one to one, we can conclude that $f(c) > f(a)$, and $f(c) > f(b)$. Therefore, $f(c) > \max\{f(a), f(b)\} \geq f(a \wedge b)$, which is a contradiction. \square

Proposition 3.11. *Let $F : M \rightarrow N$ be a homomorphism between nexus. Then the following assertions hold:*

- (1) *If g is a fuzzy subnexus of M , then $f = gF$ is a fuzzy subnexus of N .*
- (2) *If g is a prime fuzzy subnexus of M , then $f = gF$ is a prime fuzzy subnexus of N .*

Proof. (1) It is clear that f is a fuzzy subset of N . Suppose that $a, b \in N$, and $a \leq b$. Since F is a homomorphism, we can conclude that $F(a) \leq F(b)$, which follows that $g(F(a)) \geq g(F(b))$. Hence, f is a fuzzy subnexus of N .

(2) For every $a, b \in N$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(a \wedge b) &= gF(a \wedge b) \\ &= g(F(a \wedge b)) \\ &= g(F(a) \wedge F(b)) \\ &= \leq \max\{g(F(a), g(F(b))\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, f is a prime fuzzy subnexus of N . \square

Remark 3.12. Let $x \in N$ and $t \in (0, 1]$. Then $\langle x^t \rangle: N \rightarrow [0, 1]$, defined by

$$\langle x^t \rangle(a) = \begin{cases} t & x \uparrow a \\ 0 & x \not\uparrow a \end{cases}$$

is a fuzzy subnexus.

Remark 3.13. It is clear that if N is a nexus, and $|N| \leq 4$, then the nexus N is lineary ordered.

Proposition 3.14. *Let N be a nexus over γ . The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) *Nexus N is lineary ordered.*
- (2) *Every fuzzy subnexus of N is prime.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let $f \in Fsub(N)$, and $a, b \in N$. Hence, $a \leq b$ or $b \leq a$, say $a \leq b$, since nexus N is lineary ordered. Therefore, $f(a \wedge b) = f(a) \geq f(b)$, which follows that $f(a \wedge b) = \max\{f(a), f(b)\}$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Suppose that every fuzzy subnexus of N is prime, and $a, b \in N$. Put $a \wedge b = c$, and let $a \neq c$, $b \neq c$ and $t = \frac{1}{2} \in [0, 1]$. It is clearly $t = \langle c^t \rangle(c) \leq \max\{\langle c^t \rangle(a), \langle c^t \rangle(b)\} = 0$, according to statement (2). This is a contradiction. Therefore, nexus N is lineary ordered. \square

Proposition 3.15. *Let N be a nexus over γ , $a, b \in N$, and $r, t \in (0, 1]$. Then the following assertions hold:*

- (1) $\langle a^r \rangle \wedge \langle b^t \rangle = \langle (a \wedge b)^{r \wedge t} \rangle$.
- (2) $\langle (a \wedge b)^t \rangle \wedge \langle a^t \rangle = \langle (a \wedge b)^t \rangle$.
- (3) $\langle (a \vee b)^t \rangle \wedge \langle a^t \rangle = \langle a^t \rangle$.

Proof. For every $x \in N$, $a, b \in \uparrow x$, if and only if $a \wedge b \in \uparrow x$. Hence, $\langle a^r \rangle \wedge \langle b^t \rangle = \langle (a \wedge b)^{r \wedge t} \rangle$. The rest is similar. \square

Proposition 3.16. *Let N be a nexus over γ , $a, b \in N$, and $r, t \in (0, 1]$. We define $g : N \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by*

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} r & a \in \uparrow x \& b \notin \uparrow x \\ s & a \notin \uparrow x \& b \in \uparrow x \\ r \vee s & a, b \in \uparrow x \\ 0 & a \notin \uparrow x \& b \notin \uparrow x \end{cases}$$

Then the following assertions hold:

- (1) $g \in Fsub(N)$ and $g = \langle a^r \rangle \vee \langle b^t \rangle$.
- (2) $\langle a^r \rangle \vee \langle b^t \rangle \leq \langle (a \vee b)^{r \vee s} \rangle$.
- (3) $\langle (a \wedge b)^t \rangle \vee \langle a^t \rangle = \langle a^t \rangle$.
- (4) $\langle (a \vee b)^t \rangle \vee \langle a^t \rangle = \langle (a \vee b)^t \rangle$.
- (5) $\langle a^r \rangle \vee \langle a^t \rangle = \langle a^{r \wedge t} \rangle$.

Proof. Evident. □

Proposition 3.17. *Let N be a nexus over γ , $a, b \in N$, and $r, t \in (0, 1]$. The following assertions hold:*

- (1) $a \leq b$, if and only if $\langle a^t \rangle \leq \langle b^t \rangle$.
- (2) $r \leq t$, if and only if $\langle a^r \rangle \leq \langle a^t \rangle$.
- (3) $\langle a^r \rangle \wedge \langle a^t \rangle = \langle a^{r \wedge t} \rangle$.

Proof. (1) Let $a \leq b$. Since $a \in \uparrow x$, implies that $b \in \uparrow x$, we can conclude that $\langle a^t \rangle(x) = t$ implies that $\langle b^t \rangle(x) = t$. Hence, $\langle a^t \rangle \leq \langle b^t \rangle$.

Conversely, let $\langle a^t \rangle \leq \langle b^t \rangle$. Hence, $t = \langle a^t \rangle(a) \leq \langle b^t \rangle(a) \leq t$, i.e. $\langle b^t \rangle(a) = t$. Therefore, $b \in \uparrow a$.

The rest is similar. □

Example 3.18. Let $\gamma = 3$, $N = \{(), (1), (2)\}$, and $h, f, g : N \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be functions such that

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} () & (1) & (2) \\ 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.125 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} () & (1) & (2) \\ 0.4 & 0.35 & 0.1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} () & (1) & (2) \\ 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is clear that $h \in Fsub(N)$ is prime, and $f, g \in Fsub(N)$. Also, $f \wedge g \subseteq h$ but $f \not\subseteq h$ and $g \not\subseteq h$.

Proposition 3.19. *Let N be a nexus, and $f \in Fsub(N)$.*

- (1) *If $|Imf| \leq 2$ and $\emptyset \neq f_* \in Psub(N)$, then $g \wedge h \subseteq f$ implies that $g \subseteq f$ or $h \subseteq f$, for every $g, h \in Fsub(N)$.*
- (2) *If $g \wedge h \subseteq f$ implies that $g \subseteq f$ or $h \subseteq f$, for every $g, h \in Fsub(N)$, then $|Imf| \leq 2$.*
- (3) *If $|Imf| = 2$ and for every $g, h \in Fsub(N)$, $g \wedge h \subseteq f$ implies that $g \subseteq f$ or $h \subseteq f$, then $\emptyset \neq f_* \in Psub(N)$.*

Proof. (1) If $|Imf| = 1$, then $Imf = \{1\}$, which finishes the proof.

Now, we assume that $|Imf| = 2$, then $Imf = \{t, 1\}$ with $t < 1$. Suppose that there exist two fuzzy subnexuses h and g over N , such that $g \wedge h \subseteq f$ but $g \not\subseteq f$ and $h \not\subseteq f$. Hence, there exist $x, y \in N$, such that $h(x) > f(x)$ and $g(y) > f(y)$. Since f_* is a prime subnexus, and $x, y \notin f_*$, we can conclude that $x \wedge y \notin f_*$, which follows that

$$(h \wedge g)(x \wedge y) = h(x \wedge y) \wedge g(x \wedge y) \geq h(x) \wedge g(y) > t = f(x \wedge y).$$

Thus $h \wedge g \not\subseteq f$, which is a contradiction. Thus $g \subseteq f$ or $h \subseteq f$.

(2) Let $|Imf| \geq 3$. Then there exists $a, b, c \in N$, such that $f(a) < f(b) < f(c)$. Now, we assume that $r, s \in (0, 1)$, such that $f(a) < r < f(b) < s < f(c)$. If $a \wedge b \in \uparrow x$, then, by Proposition 3.15,

$$(\langle a^r \rangle \wedge \langle b^s \rangle)(x) = \langle (a \wedge b)^{r \wedge s} \rangle(x) = r < f(b) \leq f(a \wedge b) \leq f(x).$$

Therefore, $\langle a^r \rangle \wedge \langle b^s \rangle \subseteq f$, which follows that $\langle a^r \rangle \subseteq f$ or $\langle b^s \rangle \subseteq f$. If $\langle a^r \rangle \subseteq f$, then $\langle a^r \rangle(a) = r \leq f(a)$, which is a contradiction. Also, if $\langle b^s \rangle \subseteq f$, then $\langle b^s \rangle(b) = s \leq f(b)$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $|Imf| \leq 2$.

(3) Suppose that $f_* = \emptyset$. Then there exists $a, b \in N$, such that $f(a) = r < f(b) = s < 1$ and $Imf = \{r, s\}$. Now, we assume that $t, k \in (0, 1)$, such that $r < t < s < k < 1$. If $a \wedge b \in \uparrow x$, then, by Proposition 3.15,

$$(\langle a^t \rangle \wedge \langle b^k \rangle)(x) = \langle (a \wedge b)^{t \wedge k} \rangle(x) = t < f(b) \leq f(a \wedge b) \leq f(x).$$

Therefore, $\langle a^t \rangle \wedge \langle b^k \rangle \subseteq f$, which follows that $\langle a^t \rangle \subseteq f$ or $\langle b^k \rangle \subseteq f$. Hence, $\langle a^t \rangle(a) = t \leq f(a)$ or $\langle b^k \rangle(b) = k \leq f(b)$, which is a contradiction. Thus $f_* \neq \emptyset$ and $f_* \neq N$. Let $a, b \in N$ such that $a \wedge b \in f_*$, $a \notin f_*$ and $b \notin f_*$. Then there exists $r \in (0, 1)$ such that $f(a) = f(b) < r < 1 = f(a \wedge b)$. If $a \wedge b \in \uparrow x$, then, by Proposition 3.15,

$$(\langle a^r \rangle \wedge \langle b^r \rangle)(x) = \langle (a \wedge b)^r \rangle(x) = r < 1 = f(x).$$

Therefore, $\langle a^r \rangle \wedge \langle b^r \rangle \subseteq f$, which follows that $\langle a^r \rangle \subseteq f$ or $\langle b^r \rangle \subseteq f$. Hence, $\langle a^r \rangle(a) = r \leq f(a)$ or $\langle b^r \rangle(b) = r \leq f(b)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $f_* \in Psub(N)$. \square

4. FRACTION INDUCED BY NEXUS AND FUZZY SUBNEXUS

In this section, the fractions of a nexus N over an ordinal is defined, and denoted by $S^{-1}N$, where S is a meet closed subset of $Fsub(N)$. It is shown that this structure is a meet-semilattice and isomorphic with $\{h\}^{-1}N$, where $h = \bigwedge S$. Also we show that every ideal of $S^{-1}N$ is of the form of $S^{-1}I$, where I is a subnexus of N .

Definition 4.1. A *meet closed subset* of $Fsub(N)$ is a non-empty subset S of $Fsub(N)$, such that $f \wedge g \in S$, for every $f, g \in S$.

Let S be a meet closed subset of $Fsub(N)$. Define the relation \sim_S on $N \times S$ as follows:

$$(a, f) \sim_S (b, g) \Leftrightarrow \exists h \in S \forall t \in (0, 1] (\langle a^t \rangle \wedge g \wedge h = \langle b^t \rangle \wedge f \wedge h).$$

We will prove that \sim_S is an equivalence relation. Let $a, b, c \in N$, $f, g, h \in S$, $(a, f) \sim_S (b, g)$, and $(b, g) \sim_S (c, h)$. Then there exists $h_1, h_2 \in S$ such that

$$\langle a^t \rangle \wedge g \wedge h_1 = \langle b^t \rangle \wedge f \wedge h_1$$

and

$$\langle b^t \rangle \wedge h \wedge h_2 = \langle c^t \rangle \wedge g \wedge h_2,$$

for every $t \in (0, 1]$. If $k = h_1 \wedge h_2 \wedge g$, then $k \in S$, and for every $t \in (0, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a^t \rangle \wedge h \wedge k &= \langle a^t \rangle \wedge h \wedge h_1 \wedge h_2 \wedge g \\ &= \langle a^t \rangle \wedge g \wedge h_1 \wedge h_2 \wedge h \\ &= \langle b^t \rangle \wedge f \wedge h_1 \wedge h_2 \wedge h \\ &= \langle b^t \rangle \wedge h \wedge h_2 \wedge f \wedge h_1 \\ &= \langle c^t \rangle \wedge g \wedge h_2 \wedge f \wedge h_1 \\ &= \langle c^t \rangle \wedge f \wedge h_1 \wedge h_2 \wedge g \\ &= \langle c^t \rangle \wedge f \wedge k \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, \sim_S on $N \times S$ is transitive. It is clear that \sim_S on $N \times S$ is reflexive and symmetric. Hence, the relation \sim_S on $N \times S$ is an equivalence relation. Write $\frac{a}{f}$ for the class of (a, f) . The set of all equivalence classes of \sim_S on $N \times S$ is denoted by $S^{-1}N$, and it is called the fraction of N with respect to S .

Definition 4.2. Let S be a meet closed subset of $Fsub(N)$, and $\frac{a}{f}, \frac{b}{g} \in S^{-1}N$. Then we say $\frac{a}{f} \leq \frac{b}{g}$, if there exists $h \in S$ such that

$$\langle a^t \rangle \wedge \langle b^t \rangle \wedge f \wedge h = f \wedge g \wedge \langle a^t \rangle \wedge h,$$

for every $t \in (0, 1]$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let S be a meet closed subset of $Fsub(N)$. Then $(S^{-1}N, \leq)$ is a meet-semilattice.*

Proof. It is clear that \leq on $S^{-1}N$ is reflexive. Now, let $\frac{a}{f} \leq \frac{b}{g}$, $\frac{b}{g} \leq \frac{a}{f}$. Thus there exists $h_1, h_2 \in S$, such that:

$$\langle a^t \rangle \wedge \langle b^t \rangle \wedge f \wedge h_1 = f \wedge g \wedge \langle a^t \rangle \wedge h_1$$

and

$$\langle b^t \rangle \wedge \langle a^t \rangle \wedge g \wedge h_2 = g \wedge f \wedge \langle b^t \rangle \wedge h_2.$$

By the commutativity of \wedge , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\langle a^t \rangle \wedge g) \wedge (f \wedge g \wedge h_1 \wedge h_2) &= \langle a^t \rangle \wedge \langle b^t \rangle \wedge f \wedge h_1 \wedge g \wedge h_2 \\ &= g \wedge f \wedge \langle b^t \rangle \wedge h_2 \wedge f \wedge h_1 \\ &= (\langle b^t \rangle \wedge f) \wedge (f \wedge g \wedge h_1 \wedge h_2). \end{aligned}$$

Since S is a meet closed subset of N , we can conclude that $f \wedge g \wedge h_1 \wedge h_2 \in S$, which follows that $(a, f) \sim_S (b, g)$, and $\frac{a}{f} = \frac{b}{g}$. Thus \leq on $S^{-1}N$ is antisymmetric.

Let $\frac{a}{f} \leq \frac{b}{g}$ and $\frac{b}{g} \leq \frac{c}{h}$, for some $\frac{a}{f}, \frac{b}{g}, \frac{c}{h} \in S^{-1}N$. Then there exists $h_1, h_2 \in S$, such that

$$\langle a^t \rangle \wedge \langle b^t \rangle \wedge f \wedge h_1 = f \wedge g \wedge \langle a^t \rangle \wedge h_1$$

and

$$\langle b^t \rangle \wedge \langle c^t \rangle \wedge g \wedge h_2 = g \wedge h \wedge \langle b^t \rangle \wedge h_2.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
(f \wedge h \wedge \langle a^t \rangle) \wedge (g \wedge h_1 \wedge h_2) &= (f \wedge g \wedge \langle a^t \rangle \wedge h_1) \wedge \\
&\quad (h \wedge h_2 \wedge g) \\
&= (f \wedge \langle a^t \rangle \wedge \langle b^t \rangle \wedge h_1) \wedge \\
&\quad (h \wedge h_2 \wedge g) \\
&= (g \wedge h \wedge \langle b^t \rangle \wedge h_2) \wedge \\
&\quad (\langle a^t \rangle \wedge f \wedge h_1) \\
&= (g \wedge \langle c^t \rangle \wedge \langle b^t \rangle \wedge h_2) \wedge \\
&\quad (\langle a^t \rangle \wedge f \wedge h_1) \\
&= (f \wedge \langle b^t \rangle \wedge \langle a^t \rangle \wedge h_1) \wedge \\
&\quad (g \wedge \langle c^t \rangle \wedge h_2) \\
&= (f \wedge g \wedge \langle a^t \rangle \wedge h_1) \wedge \\
&\quad (g \wedge \langle c^t \rangle \wedge h_2) \\
&= (f \wedge \langle c^t \rangle \wedge \langle a^t \rangle) \wedge \\
&\quad (g \wedge h_1 \wedge h_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Since S is a meet closed subset of $Fsub(N)$, we can conclude that $g \wedge h_1 \wedge h_2 \in S$, which follows that $\frac{a}{f} \leq \frac{c}{h}$. Thus \leq on $S^{-1}N$ is transitive, and $(S^{-1}N, \leq)$ is a partially ordered set.

Let $\frac{a}{f}, \frac{b}{g} \in S^{-1}N$. Since for every $t \in [0, 1]$, by Lemma 3.15,

$$(f \wedge g) \wedge \langle a^t \rangle \wedge \langle (a \wedge b)^t \rangle = (f \wedge g) \wedge f \wedge \langle (a \wedge b)^t \rangle$$

and

$$(f \wedge g) \wedge \langle b^t \rangle \wedge \langle (a \wedge b)^t \rangle = (f \wedge g) \wedge g \wedge \langle (a \wedge b)^t \rangle,$$

we can conclude that $\frac{a \wedge b}{f \wedge g} \leq \frac{a}{f}$ and $\frac{a \wedge b}{f \wedge g} \leq \frac{b}{g}$. Now, let $\frac{c}{h} \in S^{-1}N$, such that $\frac{c}{h} \leq \frac{a}{f}$ and $\frac{c}{h} \leq \frac{b}{g}$. Then there exists $v, w \in S$, such that

$$h \wedge \langle a^t \rangle \wedge \langle c^t \rangle \wedge v = h \wedge f \wedge \langle c^t \rangle \wedge v,$$

and

$$h \wedge \langle b^t \rangle \wedge \langle c^t \rangle \wedge w = h \wedge g \wedge \langle c^t \rangle \wedge w.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
(h \wedge f \wedge g \wedge \langle c^t \rangle) \wedge (v \wedge w) &= (h \wedge f \wedge \langle c^t \rangle \wedge v) \wedge \\
&\quad (h \wedge g \wedge \langle c^t \rangle \wedge w) \\
&= (h \wedge \langle a^t \rangle \wedge \langle c^t \rangle \wedge v) \wedge \\
&\quad (h \wedge \langle b^t \rangle \wedge \langle c^t \rangle \wedge w) \\
&= (h \wedge \langle a^t \rangle \wedge \langle b^t \rangle \wedge \langle c^t \rangle) \wedge \\
&\quad (v \wedge w) \\
&= (h \wedge \langle (a \wedge b)^t \rangle \wedge \langle c^t \rangle) \wedge \\
&\quad (v \wedge w).
\end{aligned}$$

Since S is a meet closed subset of N , we can conclude that $v \wedge w \in S$, which follows that $\frac{c}{h} \leq \frac{a \wedge b}{f \wedge g}$. Therefore, $\frac{a}{f} \wedge \frac{b}{g} = \frac{a \wedge b}{f \wedge g}$. \square

Proposition 4.4. *Let S be a meet closed subset of $Fsub(N)$. For every $a \in N$ and $f, g \in S$, $\frac{a}{f} = \frac{a}{g}$ in $S^{-1}N$.*

Proof. Since $(\langle a^t \rangle \wedge g) \wedge (f \wedge a) = (\langle a^t \rangle \wedge f) \wedge (g \wedge a)$, and $f \wedge a \in S$, we have $(a, f) \sim_S (a, g)$, and $\frac{a}{f} = \frac{a}{g}$ in $S^{-1}N$. \square

Proposition 4.5. *Let N be a nexus over γ , and let S be a meet closed subset of $Fsub(N)$.*

- (1) *Every ideal of $S^{-1}N$ is of the form of $S^{-1}I$, where I is a subnexus of N .*
- (2) *If K is a finite ideal of $S^{-1}N$, and $h = \bigwedge S \in S$, then there exists a cyclic subnexus I of N such that $K = S^{-1}I$.*
- (3) *If M is a prime ideal of $S^{-1}N$, then there exists $I \in Psub(N)$ such that $M = S^{-1}I$.*
- (4) *If M is a maximal ideal of $S^{-1}N$, then there exists $I \in Sub(N)$ such that $M = S^{-1}I$, and I is a maximal subnexus of N .*

Proof. (1) Let K be an ideal of $S^{-1}N$, and

$$I = \{a \in N \mid \frac{a}{f} \in K \text{ for some } f \in S\}.$$

Suppose that $a, b \in N$, $b \in I$, and $a \leq b$. Then there exists $f \in S$, such that $\frac{b}{f} \in K$. By Proposition 3.17, $\langle a^t \rangle \leq \langle b^t \rangle$ for every $t \in (0, 1]$. Then

$$\langle a^t \rangle \wedge \langle b^t \rangle \wedge f = \langle a^t \rangle \wedge f$$

for every $t \in (0, 1]$. Hence, $\frac{a}{f} \leq \frac{b}{f} \in K$. Since K is an ideal of $S^{-1}N$, we can conclude that $\frac{a}{f} \in K$, which follows that $a \in I$. Now, by Proposition 2.3, I is a subnexus of N , and it is clear that $K = S^{-1}I$.

(2) Let K be a finite ideal of $S^{-1}N$. It is well known that every finite directed subset of $S^{-1}N$ has the largest element. Since K is

a directed lower set, we can conclude that there exists $\frac{a}{f} \in K$, such that $K = \downarrow \frac{a}{f}$. We put $I = \downarrow a$, and we claim that $K = S^{-1}I$. Let $\frac{b}{g} \in K$. Then there exists $k \in S$, such that, for every $t \in (0, 1]$, $g \wedge \langle a^t \rangle \wedge \langle b^t \rangle \wedge k = g \wedge f \wedge \langle b^t \rangle \wedge k$, which follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\langle (a \wedge b)^t \rangle \wedge g) \wedge (g \wedge f \wedge k) &= (\langle a^t \rangle \wedge \langle b^t \rangle \wedge g) \wedge \\ &\quad (g \wedge f \wedge k) \\ &= (\langle b^t \rangle \wedge f) \wedge (g \wedge f \wedge k), \end{aligned}$$

for every $t \in (0, 1]$. Therefore, $\frac{b}{g} = \frac{a \wedge b}{f} \in S^{-1}I$. Now, let $b \in I$ and $g \in S$. Then, by Proposition 3.17,

$$\begin{aligned} g \wedge \langle a^t \rangle \wedge \langle b^t \rangle \wedge h &= \langle b^t \rangle \wedge h \\ &= g \wedge f \wedge \langle b^t \rangle \wedge h, \end{aligned}$$

for every $t \in (0, 1]$. Hence, $\frac{b}{g} \leq \frac{a}{f} \in K$. Since K is an ideal of $S^{-1}N$, we can conclude that $\frac{b}{g} \in K$. The proof is now complete.

(3) Let $I = \{a \in N \mid \frac{a}{f} \in M \text{ for some } f \in S\}$. Then, by statement (1), $M = S^{-1}I$. Let $a, b \in N$, such that $a \wedge b \in I$. Then $\frac{a \wedge b}{f} \in S^{-1}I$ for some $f \in S$. Since $\frac{a \wedge b}{f} = \frac{a}{f} \wedge \frac{b}{f}$ and $S^{-1}I$ is a prime ideal, we can conclude that $\frac{a}{f} \in S^{-1}I$ or $\frac{b}{f} \in S^{-1}I$. Hence, $a \in I$ or $b \in I$, i.e. $I \in Psub(N)$.

(4) Let $I = \{a \in N \mid \frac{a}{f} \in M \text{ for some } f \in S\}$. Then, by statement (1), $M = S^{-1}I$. Suppose I is not a maximal subnexus of N . Then there exist a subnexus J between I and N . Put $M_1 = S^{-1}J$. Then M_1 is an ideal of $S^{-1}N$, and $S^{-1}I \subset S^{-1}J$, which is contradiction. \square

Lemma 4.6. *Let S be a meet closed subset of $Fsub(N)$, and $h = \bigwedge S$. For every $a, b \in N$ and $f, g \in S$*

- (1) *If $(a, h) \sim_S (b, h)$, then $(a, h) \sim_{\{h\}} (b, h)$.*
- (2) *If $h \in S$ and $(a, h) \sim_{\{h\}} (b, h)$, then $(a, h) \sim_S (b, h)$.*
- (3) *If $\frac{a}{f} \leq \frac{b}{g}$ in $S^{-1}N$, then $\frac{a}{h} \leq \frac{b}{h}$ in $\{h\}^{-1}N$.*

Proof. (1) We first suppose that $(a, h) \sim_S (b, h)$. Then there exists $v \in S$ such that

$$\langle a^t \rangle \wedge h = \langle a^t \rangle \wedge h \wedge v = \langle b^t \rangle \wedge h \wedge v = \langle b^t \rangle \wedge h.$$

It follows that $(a, h) \sim_{\{h\}} (b, h)$.

(2) By hypothesis, $\langle a^t \rangle \wedge h = \langle b^t \rangle \wedge h$. Since $h \in S$, we can conclude that $(a, h) \sim_S (b, h)$.

(3) Since $\frac{a}{f} \leq \frac{b}{g}$ in $S^{-1}N$, we can conclude that there exists $v \in S$, such that

$$\langle a^t \rangle \wedge \langle b^t \rangle \wedge f \wedge v = f \wedge g \wedge \langle a^t \rangle \wedge v.$$

It is clear that $f \wedge v \wedge h = h = f \wedge g \wedge v \wedge h$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} h \wedge \langle a^t \rangle \wedge \langle b^t \rangle &= \langle a^t \rangle \wedge \langle b^t \rangle \wedge f \wedge v \wedge h \\ &= f \wedge g \wedge \langle a^t \rangle \wedge h \wedge v \\ &= h \wedge \langle a^t \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $\frac{a}{h} \leq \frac{b}{h}$ in $\{h\}^{-1}N$. \square

Proposition 4.7. *Let S be a meet closed subset of $Fsub(N)$, and $h = \bigwedge S$. We define $\varphi : S^{-1}N \longrightarrow \{h\}^{-1}N$ with $\varphi(\frac{a}{f}) = \frac{a}{h}$. Then we have the following conclusions:*

- (1) φ is an onto meet-semilattice homomorphism.
- (2) If $h \in S$, then φ is one to one. In particular, this shows if $h \in S$, then $S^{-1}N \cong \{h\}^{-1}N$ as meet-semilattices.

Proof. (1) By Lemma 4.6, φ is well defined, and it also preserves the order. Let $\frac{a}{f}, \frac{b}{g} \in S^{-1}N$. Then, by the proof of Proposition 4.3,

$$\varphi\left(\frac{a}{f} \wedge \frac{b}{g}\right) = \varphi\left(\frac{a \wedge b}{f \wedge g}\right) = \frac{a \wedge b}{h} = \frac{a}{h} \wedge \frac{b}{h} = \varphi\left(\frac{a}{f}\right) \wedge \varphi\left(\frac{b}{g}\right).$$

Therefore, φ is an onto meet-semilattice homomorphism.

- (2) Let $\frac{a}{f}, \frac{b}{g} \in S^{-1}N$, and $\varphi(\frac{a}{f}) = \varphi(\frac{b}{g})$. Then $\frac{a}{h} = \frac{b}{h}$ and

$$\langle a^t \rangle \wedge h \wedge g = \langle a^t \rangle \wedge h = \langle b^t \rangle \wedge h = \langle b^t \rangle \wedge f \wedge h,$$

for every $t \in (0, 1]$. Since $h \in S$, we can conclude that $\frac{a}{f} = \frac{b}{g}$, which follows that φ is one to one. \square

Proposition 4.8. *Let N be a nexus over γ , and let S be a meet closed subset of $Fsub(N)$. If $h = \bigwedge S$, then $\{h\}^{-1}N \cong \widehat{\downarrow h}$ as meet-semilattices, where $\widehat{\downarrow h} = \{h \wedge \langle a^1 \rangle; a \in N\}$.*

Proof. We define $\varphi : \{h\}^{-1}N \longrightarrow \widehat{\downarrow h}$ with $\varphi(\frac{a}{h}) = \langle a^1 \rangle \wedge h$. For every $a, b \in N$,

$$\frac{a}{h} = \frac{b}{h} \Rightarrow \langle a^1 \rangle \wedge h = \langle b^1 \rangle \wedge h \Rightarrow \varphi\left(\frac{a}{h}\right) = \varphi\left(\frac{b}{h}\right).$$

Hence, φ is well defined. It is clear that φ is onto. Now, let $\frac{a}{h} \neq \frac{b}{h}$. We show that $\varphi(\frac{a}{h}) \neq \varphi(\frac{b}{h})$. Since $\frac{a}{h} \neq \frac{b}{h}$, there exists $t \in (0, 1]$, such that $\langle a^t \rangle \wedge h \neq \langle b^t \rangle \wedge h$. If $t = 1$, then $\varphi(\frac{a}{h}) \neq \varphi(\frac{b}{h})$. Let $t < 1$ and $\langle a^1 \rangle \wedge h = \langle b^1 \rangle \wedge h$. For every $x \in N$,

- (1) If $a, b \in \uparrow x$, then $\langle a^t \rangle(x) = t = \langle b^t \rangle(x)$, which follows that $(\langle a^t \rangle \wedge h)(x) = t \wedge h(x) = (\langle b^t \rangle \wedge h)(x)$.
- (2) If $a, b \notin \uparrow x$, then $\langle a^t \rangle(x) = 0 = \langle b^t \rangle(x)$, which follows that $(\langle a^t \rangle \wedge h)(x) = 0 = (\langle b^t \rangle \wedge h)(x)$.
- (3) If $a \in \uparrow x$ and $b \notin \uparrow x$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
h(x) &= 1 \wedge h(x) \\
&= (\langle a^1 \rangle \wedge h)(x) \\
&= (\langle b^1 \rangle \wedge h)(x) \\
&= 0 \wedge h(x) \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which follows that $(\langle a^t \rangle \wedge h)(x) = 0 = (\langle b^t \rangle \wedge h)(x)$.

- (4) Similarly, if $a \notin \uparrow x$ and $b \in \uparrow x$, then

$$(\langle a^t \rangle \wedge h)(x) = 0 = (\langle b^t \rangle \wedge h)(x)$$

Therefore, $\langle a^t \rangle \wedge h = \langle b^t \rangle \wedge h$, which is a contradiction. Then $\langle a^1 \rangle \wedge h \neq \langle b^1 \rangle \wedge h$. Hence φ is one to one. Let $\frac{a}{h}, \frac{b}{h} \in \{h\}^{-1}N$. Then, by Proposition 3.15 and the proof of Proposition 4.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi\left(\frac{a}{h} \wedge \frac{b}{h}\right) &= \varphi\left(\frac{a \wedge b}{h}\right) \\
&= \langle (a \wedge b)^1 \rangle \wedge h \\
&= (\langle a^1 \rangle \wedge h) \wedge (\langle b^1 \rangle \wedge h) \\
&= \varphi\left(\frac{a}{h}\right) \wedge \varphi\left(\frac{b}{h}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, φ is a meet-semilattice isomorphism. \square

Corollary 4.9. *Let N be a nexus over γ , and let S_1, S_2 be meet closed subsets of $Fsub(N)$. If $\bigwedge S_1 = \bigwedge S_2 \in S_1 \cap S_2$, then $S_1^{-1}N \cong S_2^{-1}N$ as meet-semilattices.*

Proof. By Propositions 4.7 and 4.8, it is clear. \square

Proposition 4.10. *Let N be a nexus over γ , and $\{()\} \neq X \subseteq N \setminus \{()\}$ be closed under finite meet. Then for every $t \in (0, 1]$, $S_t = \{\langle a^t \rangle \mid a \in X\}$ is closed under finite meet, and there exists $b \in X$, such that $\langle b^t \rangle = \bigwedge S_t$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.15, S_t is closed under finite meet. Since $X \subseteq N$, and X is closed under finite meet, we can conclude from Corollary 2.8 that there exists $b \in X$, such that $b = \bigwedge X$. By Proposition 3.17, $\langle b^t \rangle = \bigwedge_{a \in X} \langle a^t \rangle$. \square

Acknowledgment

We are indebted to the referees for helpful comments that have helped improve the paper.

REFERENCES

1. D. Afkhami, N. Ahmadkhah and A. Hasankhani, A fraction of nexuses, *Int. J. Algebra* **5** (18) (2011), 883-896.
2. D. Afkhami Taba, A. Hasankhani and M. Bolurian, Soft nexuses, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications* **64** (2012), 1812-1821.
3. J.C. Bezdek, Fuzzy partitions and relations and axiomatic basis for clustering, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **1** (1978), 111-127.
4. B. Davvaz, Roughness based on fuzzy ideals, *Information Sciences* **176** (2006), 2417-2437.
5. K. Devlin, *The Joy of Sets: Fundamentals of Contemporary Set*, Springer-Verlag New York Berlin Heidelberg London Paris (1993).
6. E. Eslami and John N. Mordeson, Completions and fuzzy power series subrings, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **82** (1996), 97-102.
7. A.A. Estaji S. Khodaii and S. Bahrani, On rough set and fuzzy sublattice, *Information Sciences* **181** (2011), 3981-3994.
8. A.A. Estaji and H. Zeinaliyan, Fuzzy primary and fuzzy P-primary subacts, *World Applied Sciences Journal* **16**(11) (2012), 1571-1577.
9. A.A. Estaji and A.As. Estaji, Nexus over an ordinal, *Journal of Uncertain Systems* **9**(3) (2015), 183-197. .
10. D. Klaua, Über einen Ansatz zur mehrwertigen Mengenlehre, *Monatsb. Deutsch. Akad. Wiss. Berlin* **7** (1965), 859-876.
11. G. Gierz, K. H. Hofmann, K. Keimel, J. D. Lawson, M. Mislove and D. S. Scott, *Continuous Lattices and Domains*, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
12. H. Hadji-Abadi and M.M. Zahedi, Some results on fuzzy prime spectrum of a ring, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **77** (1996), 235-240.
13. A. Saeidi Rashkolia, A. Hasankhani, Fuzzey Subnexuses, *Italian Journal of pure and applied mathematics* **28** (2011), 229-242.
14. V.N. Salii, Binary L-relations, *Izv. Vysh. Uchebn. Zaved., Matematika* **44**(1) (1965), 133-145.
15. L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, *Information and Control* **8**(3) (1965), 338-353.

A. A. Estaji

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Hakim Sabzevari University, Sabzevar, Iran.

Email: aaestaji@hsu.ac.ir

T. Haghdadi

Faculty of Basic Sciences, Birjand University of technology Birjand, Iran.

Email: t.haghdady@gmail.com

J. Farokhi

Faculty of Basic Sciences, Birjand University of technology Birjand, Iran.

Email: javadfarrokhi90@gmail.com

FUZZY NEXUS OVER AN ORDINAL

A.A. ESTAJI, T. HAGHDADI AND J. FAROKHI

پیوند فازی روی یک عدد ترتیبی

علی اکبر استاجی، تکتتم حقدادی و جواد فرخی
 دانشگاه حکیم سبزواری، دانشگاه صنعتی بیرجند

ما در این مقاله زیر پیوندهای فازی از یک پیوند N را تعریف می‌کنیم. هم چنین به مطالعه زیرپیوندهای فازی اول و زیرپیوندهای خارج قسمتی می‌پردازیم. در نهایت نشان می‌دهیم که اگر S یک زیر مجموعه بسته مقطعی از زیرپیوندهای فازی N باشد و $h = \bigwedge S \in S$ ، آن گاه $S^{-1}N$ و $\{h\}^{-1}N$ به عنوان نیم مشبکه های مقطعی یکرخت خواهند بود.

کلمات کلیدی: پیوند، عدد ترتیبی، زیرپیوندهای فازی اول و پیوند خارج قسمتی.