

Journal of Mining & Environment, Vol.7, No.2, 2016, 185-193. DOI: 10.22044/jme.2016.515

Semi-quantitative environmental impact assessment and sustainability level determination of coal mining using a mathematical model

M. Ataei^{1*}, E. Tajvidi Asr¹, R. Khalokakaie¹, K. Ghanbari¹ and M.R. Tavakoli Mohammadi²

1. School of Mining, Petroleum & Geophysics Engineering, Shahrood University of Technology, Shahrood, Iran

2. Beneficiation and Hydrometallurgy Research Group, Mineral processing Research Center, Academic Center for Education, Culture and Research (ACCER) on TMU, Tehran, Iran

> Received 28 January 2015; received in revised form 8 October 2015; accepted 18 October 2015 *Corresponding author: ataei@shahroodut.ac.ir (M. Ataei).

Abstract

Environmental impact assessment (EIA) has led to the dominance of planners on the natural environment of the regions, providing the possibility of continuously monitoring and controlling the status quo by management staff. In this regard, a new semi-quantitative model is presented for the EIA of the Eastern Alborz Coal Mining complex using the matrix method, and determining the corresponding impacting factors and environmental components. For this purpose, the expert opinions are used to gather the preliminary data and score the parameters involved. The effect of each impacting factor involved on each environmental component is determined by quantifying the qualitative comments. According to the results obtained, the components air quality, human health and safety, and ecology and soil of the area undergo the most environmental damages from the mining activities. Then the EIA results obtained are used to assess the sustainability of the complex using the Phillips mathematical model. The results obtained indicate that the sustainability of this complex is weak, and, therefore, the preventive environmental measures with a preference must be recommended to reduce the environmental damages to its components.

Keywords: Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), Sustainable Development, Phillips Mathematical Model, Eastern Alborz Coal Mines.

1. Introduction

Sustainable development in the mining industry was considered by the researchers in the early 1990s after the communities such as the Rio Conference in 1992 [1]. Von Below [2] stated that the continuous exploration of minerals, technological innovations, and environmental remediation were in line with the sustainable development of mining. Allan [3] also had a similar opinion, stating that mining was sustainable when the rates of use of minerals did not exceed in comparison to the total discovered new resources and recoverable materials. Using a case study of high-life mines, Learmont [4] indicated that mining could be considered as a sustainable activity.

Another major issue is related to the sustainable development, exploitation and utilization of nonrenewable resources. Crowson [5] claimed that if a mine is considered from an aspect of resource exhaustion only, then no operation is sustainable. Worrall et al. [6] also believed that all of the mineral resources were limited and non-renewable over time. Therefore, mining operations are inherently unsustainable due to the limited resources of the earth. According to Richards [7], no single mine can last forever. It is a fact that the mineral developments would end someday, and would make the integration of this the sustainability considerations into the mining process highly appropriate. However, mining is sustainable when it is conducted in a manner that balances the economic, environmental, and social considerations.

According to the "Mining, minerals and sustainable development" (MMSD) project undertaken in 2002, the goal of sustainable developments in mining maximizes the welfare of the present generation such that the benefits and costs are fairly distributed without compromising the ability of the future generations to meet their own needs [8]. Basu and Kumar [9] stated that achieving sustainable developments in mining required good governance, as the foundation of sustainable development and interaction with project stakeholders. According to Eggert [10], the environmental protection, social justice, and economic growth are crucial to achieve a sustainable development of mining. Villas Boas et al. [11] believed that sustainability was not the same concept as the sustainable development, although these terms are used interchangeably. The former is a characteristic of healthy social and environmental systems, and refers to their ability to withstand externally imposed shocks, and return to normal functioning. Sustainability, defined in this way, is not a characteristic of minerals, which are non-renewable. To the contrary, sustainable development necessitates the integration of environmental policies and development strategies so as to meet the current and future human needs, improve the quality of life, and protect the environment. By this definition, minerals are clearly a part of developments. According sustainable to McCullough and Lund [12], the mining industry has worked towards reducing its operational risks and maintaining its social license to extract the resources through a variety of strategies. These are focused around the concept of sustainable developments by the creation of sustainable livelihoods (employment, community development, and infrastructure). resource optimization, and minimization of environmental and social impacts after mine closures.

Α maior weakness associated with the sustainability interpretation is that this term is often used interchangeable with 'environmental management' and 'environmental protection'. Therefore, many assessments have focused on environmental protection with a little mention of socio-economic the issues. However. environmental impact assessment (EIA) is a tool that can be used to assess all issues related to sustainable developments.

The underground projects are like the EIA ones, and several studies have been conducted in this regard so far [13-16]. Among the underground projects, environmental impacts of coal mining are more than those of the other mines, either in the mining problems or the consequences of mining. Environmental pollution in coal mining including the surface and underground water contamination, change in soil properties, change in plant and animal ecology, air pollution, damages arising from processing and coal washing facilities, noise, waste, and drainage acid has caused coal mining to be considered as a serious threat to the environment. Thus all the desired or adverse socio-economic effects and environmental impacts should be identified and considered in coal mining. Assessment of these effects can be very effective in preventing the destruction of nature, and also at reducing the adverse environmental effects [17].

The Eastern Alborz Coal Mines are located in the Alborz mountain range, and mining operations are ongoing under the Eastern Alborz Coal Company in the three regions of Tazareh, Takht, and Razi. The aim of this work was to assess the sustainability of this complex through the environmental impact assessment of coal mining. For this purpose, first, the important impacting factors and environmental components were determined, and then the environmental impacts were evaluated quantitatively using the matrix method. Afterward, the level and nature of the sustainability of this complex were studied using quantitative results obtained the for the mathematical model of sustainability. This model can define what sustainability is, the parameters and constraints of the key components, and the which under conditions sustainability or unsustainability can occur. It was developed to define the principles of a sustainable development and the possibility of its application in quantitative EIAs to determine the level and nature of sustainable development of projects [18, 19].

2. Algorithm of EIA

In this study, an EIA was conducted through the following steps:

- Determining the impacting factors and environmental components. The definitions and magnitudes of sixteen overall factors introduced as "Impacting factors" are listed in Table 1. Then the issues on which influence of a mining activity is probable are defined as "Environmental components" (Table 2). In this assessment method, it is necessary to introduce destructively and usefully the effective parameters at first. For the severely destructive parameters, the factor score is between 0 and 10; zero means it is ineffective, and 10 shows the most critical condition. Some factors like the economic and cultural issues have a score between -10 and 10. The negative sign shows their positive effect.

- Defining the possible scenarios to cover the issues related to each impacting factor, as a guide to evaluate the magnitude of each factor (in this section, tables were not presented due to their extension, and just the final scores of the impacting factors were reported in Table 1).

- Data collection: In the present study, the environmental data was collected based on the final opinion of the committee of mining environment consisting of five experts in this field.

- Matrix formation: Environmental assessments are often performed using the matrix methods, in which one dimension of the matrix is "impacting factors" and the other one is the "environmental components", which are affected by the impacting factors. The next step in this algorithm was to designate the influence of "impacting factors" on "environmental components". The effect of each impacting factor on each environmental component was expressed by the six statements None (N), Very Low (VL), Low (L), Medium (M), High (H), and Very High (VH), and as numerical values of 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, respectively. As a result, a table was assembled, showing the effect level of each factor on the components (Table 3).

- Normalization: To obtain the normalized vector, the column vector elements were added together, and then each one of the elements was divided by the whole. Due to the considered range for the levels of impacting factors, the obtained values were multiplied by 10. The results obtained are presented in Table 3.

- EIA: Matrix C was obtained by multiplying matrix F (the impacting factor values) by matrix M (the weighted value of each impacting factor on each environmental component). The total numbers in each column of matrix C represent the amount of effect on each environmental component. The results obtained are shown in Table 4. The components of matrix C are depicted in a column graph (Figure 1), which describes the percentage environmental damage for each environmental component separately.

According to the results shown in Figure 1, the percentage environmental damage for the components air quality, human health and safety, ecology, and soil with scores of 49.05, 33.64. 31.26, and 24.08, respectively, were more significant. Therefore. the preventive environmental measures with a preference must be recommended to reduce the environmental damage of these components. In contrast, the social issues and surface constructions are the least important components in EIA of this complex. The results obtained for this research work were evaluated by five safety and environmental engineers of Eastern Alborz Coal Mines. They confirmed this assessment method for a suitable prediction of the consequences of the environmental components.

| Impacting factors | Definition | Magnitude | Score |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-------|
| 1. Changing the usage of the area | The usage of the lands before mining activities | 0 - 10 | 2 |
| 2. Exposition of the mining area | The view and visibility of the mining area. | 0 - 10 | 0 |
| 3. Interference with surface water | The relationship between mining activities and surface water. | 0 – 10 | 4 |
| 4. Interference with underground water | The relationship between mining activities and underground water table. | 0 – 10 | 3 |
| 5. Increase in the traffic of the area | Influence of mining on the traffic situation of the area | 0 – 10 | 10 |
| | Dust emission in each part of mine. | | |
| | - Drilling machines. | | |
| | - Explosion. | | |
| 6. Dust emission | - Loading. | 0 - 10 | 5.8 |
| | - Movement of the tracks on the road (from mine to dump or mill). | | |
| | - The dust produced by wind from the ore and waste dumps. | | |

Table 1. Extent of each impacting factor value.

| substance emissions to air Concentration of pollutants in mine air (ppm) 0 - 10 10 8. Noise pollution - The noise level at the work environment caused by devices and machines in qualitative and quantitative form. - Noise level caused by firing. 0 - 10 5.5 9. Land vibration Intensity of underground vibration in the main underground facilities installations, refershing place of the workers or the cross point of the shafts with the mine level (mm/s). The intensity of vibration on the surface with regard to the distance to the surface facilities. 0 - 10 1 10. Domestic employment Domestic employment rate in mining unit -10 to 10 -10 10 11. Population control Population of the of inling on the population of the area -10 to 10 -10 to 10 2 12. Social and cultural development Condition of the social and cultural institutes before and after the mining operation, in the fields below: Educational, health and help, cultural, and artistic institutes. Sport institutes. -10 to 10 -4.66 13. Instability of the established spaces Stability condition of the surface of R&D in the mining unit. 0 - 10 3 14. Subsidence The subsidence condition in the mining area 0 - 10 3 15. Environmental arrangements Green space construction, existence of R&D in the mining unit, taking the Environmental Environmental arrangements -10 to 10 </th <th>7. Toxic pollutants and</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> | 7. Toxic pollutants and | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-------|
| 8. Noise pollution - The noise level at the work environment caused by devices and machines in qualitative and quantitative form. 0 - 10 5.5 9. Noise level caused by firing. - Noise level caused by firing. 0 - 10 5.5 9. Land vibration The noise level caused by firing. 0 - 10 1 9. Land vibration The intensity of vibration on the surface with regard to the distance to the surface facilities. 0 - 10 1 10. Domestic Domestic employment rate in mining unit -10 to 10 -10 10 11. Population control Population of the mining unit before and after the mining operation -10 to 10 2 12. Social and cultural development Condition of the social and cultural institutes before and after the mining operation in the fields below: Educational, health and help, cultural, and artistic institutes. -10 to 10 -4.66 12. Social and cultural development Condition of the surface facilities, availability of the electricity, access roads, receiving the TV channels, and phone connections. -10 to 10 2 13. Instability of the established spaces Stability condition of the surface of R&D in the mining unit, taking the Environmental arrangements -10 to 10 3 14. Subsidence The subsidence condition in the mining area 0 - 10 3 | substance emissions to air | Concentration of pollutants in mine air (ppm) | 0 – 10 | 10 |
| - Noise level caused by firing.9. Land vibrationIntensity of underground vibration in the main underground facilities installations, refreshing place of the workers or the cross point of the shafts with the mine level (num/s).0 - 1019. Land vibrationThe intensity of vibration on the surface with regard to the distance to the surface facilities.0 - 10110. Domestic employmentDomestic employment rate in mining unit-10 to 10-10-1011. Population controlPopulation of the mining unit before and after the mining operation The type of influence of mining activities on the change in population-10 to 10212. Social and cultural developmentCondition of the social and cultural institutes before and after the mining operation, in the fields below: Educational, health and help, cultural, and artistic institutes. Sport institutes10 to 10-4.6613. Instability of the established spacesStability condition of the surface and underground excavation in the mining operation in the fields below: Water facilities, heating facilities, availability of the electricity, access roads, receiving the TV channels, and phone connections.0 - 10214. Subsidence arrangementsThe subsidence condition in the mining unit, taking the Environmental ISO, assembling the health, security, and environmental manuals. Dust controling unit, lessening the noise level. Recycling the gangue dam water, refining the industrial and sanitary waste water of the mining unit10 to 10-4.61 | 8. Noise pollution | - The noise level at the work environment caused by devices and machines in qualitative and quantitative form. | 0 - 10 | 5.5 |
| 9. Land vibration Intensity of underground vibration in the main underground facilities installations, refreshing place of the workers or the cross point of the shafts with the mine level (mm/s). 0 - 10 1 9. Land vibration The intensity of vibration on the surface with regard to the distance to the surface facilities. 0 - 10 1 10. Domestic employment Domestic employment rate in mining unit -10 to 10 -10 1 11. Population control Population of the mining on the population of the area -10 to 10 2 10 to 10 2 12. Social and cultural development Condition of the social and cultural institutes before and after the mining operation in the fields below: Educational, health and help, cultural, and artistic institutes. Sport institutes. -10 to 10 -4.66 13. Instability of the established spaces Stability condition of the surface and after the start of the mining operation in the fields below: Water facilities, heating facilities, availability of the electricity, access roads, receiving the TV channels, and phone connections. 0 - 10 2 14. Subsidence The subsidence condition in the mining area 0 - 10 3 15. Environmental arrangements Green space construction, existence of R&D in the mining unit, taking the Environmental ISO, assembling the health, security, and environmental manuals. -10 to 10 -4 | | - Noise level caused by firing. | | |
| Image: Instability of the subsidence of which surface with regard to the distance to the surface facilities.Image: Image: Im | 9. Land vibration | Intensity of underground vibration in the main underground facilities installations, refreshing place of the workers or the cross point of the shafts with the mine level (mm/s). | 0 - 10 | 1 |
| 10. Domestic employmentDomestic employment rate in mining unit-10 to 10-1011. Population controlInfluence of mining on the population of the area-10 to 10211. Population controlPopulation of the mining unit before and after the mining operation The type of influence of mining activities on the change in population-10 to 10212. Social and cultural developmentCondition of the social and cultural institutes before and after the mining operation, in the fields below: Educational, health and help, cultural, and artistic institutes. Sport institutes. | | The intensity of vibration on the surface with regard to the distance to the surface facilities. | | |
| Influence of mining on the population of the area11. Population controlPopulation of the mining unit before and after the mining operation-10 to 102The type of influence of mining activities on the change in populationCondition of the social and cultural institutes before and after the mining operation, in the fields below: Educational, health and help, cultural, and artistic institutes. Sport institutes10 to 10-4.6612. Social and cultural developmentConditions of urban facilities before and after the start of the mining operation in the fields below: Water facilities, heating facilities, availability of the electricity, access roads, receiving the TV channels, and phone connections10 to 10-4.6613. Instability of the established spacesStability condition of the surface and underground excavation in the mine the subsidence condition in the mining area0 - 10214. SubsidenceThe subsidence condition in the mining area0 - 10315. Environmental arrangementsGreen space construction, existence of R&D in the mining unit, taking the Environmental ISO, assembling the health, security, and environmental manuals. Dust controlling unit, lessening the noise level. Recycling the gangue dam water, refining the industrial and sanitary waste water of the mining unit10 to 10-416. LightIllumination (Lux) in the work area.0 - 102.5 | 10. Domestic employment | Domestic employment rate in mining unit | -10 to 10 | -10 |
| 11. Population controlPopulation of the mining unit before and after the mining operation-10 to 102The type of influence of mining activities on the change in populationCondition of the social and cultural institutes before and after the mining operation, in the fields below: Educational, health and help, cultural, and artistic institutes. Sport institutes. Amusement and economic institutes. | | Influence of mining on the population of the area | | |
| Image: The type of influence of mining activities on the change in populationCondition of the social and cultural institutes before and after the mining operation, in the fields below: Educational, health and help, cultural, and artistic institutes. Sport institutes. Amusement and economic institutes. Conditions of urban facilities before and after the mining operation in the fields below: Water facilities, heating facilities, availability of the electricity, access roads, receiving the TV channels, and phone connections10 to 10-4.6613. Instability of the established spacesStability condition of the surface and underground excavation in the mine Green space construction, existence of R&D in the mining unit, taking the Environmental ISO, assembling the health, security, and environmental manuals. Dust controlling unit, lessening the noise level. Recycling the gangue dam water, refining the industrial and sanitary waste water of the mining unit10 to 10-416. LightIllumination (Lux) in the work area.0 - 102.5 | 11. Population control | Population of the mining unit before and after the mining operation | -10 to 10 | 2 |
| Condition of the social and cultural institutes before and after the mining operation, in the fields below: Educational, health and help, cultural, and artistic institutes. Sport institutes10 to 10-4.6612. Social and cultural developmentAmusement and economic institutes. Conditions of urban facilities before and after the start of the mining operation in the fields below: Water facilities, heating facilities, availability of the electricity, access roads, receiving the TV channels, and phone connections10 to 10-4.6613. Instability of the established spacesStability condition of the surface and underground excavation in the mine Green space construction, existence of R&D in the mining unit, taking the Environmental arrangements0 - 10214. LightIllumination (Lux) in the work area10 to 10-4 | | The type of influence of mining activities on the change in population | | |
| Educational, health and help, cultural, and artistic institutes10 to 10-4.66Sport institutes. Amusement and economic institutes. Conditions of urban facilities before and after the start of the mining operation in the fields below: Water facilities, heating facilities, availability of the electricity, access roads, receiving the TV channels, and phone connections10 to 10-4.6613. Instability of the established spacesStability condition of the surface and underground excavation in the mine arrangements0 - 10214. SubsidenceThe subsidence condition in the mining area Dust controlling unit, lessening the noise level. Recycling the gangue dam water, refining the industrial and sanitary waste water of the mining unit10 to 10-416. LightIllumination (Lux) in the work area.0 - 102.5 | | Condition of the social and cultural institutes before and after the mining operation, in the fields below: | | |
| 12. Social and cultural developmentSport institutes. Amusement and economic institutes. Conditions of urban facilities before and after the start of the mining operation in the fields below: Water facilities, heating facilities, availability of the electricity, access roads, receiving the TV channels, and phone connections10 to 10-4.6613. Instability of the established spacesStability condition of the surface and underground excavation in the mine0 - 10214. SubsidenceThe subsidence condition in the mining area0 - 10315. Environmental arrangementsEnvironmental ISO, assembling the health, security, and environmental manuals. Dust controlling unit, lessening the noise level. Recycling the gangue dam water, refining the industrial and sanitary waste water of the mining unit10 to 10-416. LightIllumination (Lux) in the work area.0 - 102.5 | | Educational, health and help, cultural, and artistic institutes. | | |
| 12. Social and cultural developmentAmusement and economic institutes. Conditions of urban facilities before and after the start of the mining operation in the fields below: Water facilities, heating facilities, availability of the electricity, access roads, receiving the TV channels, and phone connections10 to 10-4.6613. Instability of the established spacesStability condition of the surface and underground excavation in the mine0 - 10214. SubsidenceThe subsidence condition in the mining area0 - 10315. Environmental arrangementsGreen space construction, existence of R&D in the mining unit, taking the Environmental ISO, assembling the health, security, and environmental manuals. Dust controlling unit, lessening the noise level. Recycling the gangue dam water, refining the industrial and sanitary waste water of the mining unit10 to 10-416. LightIllumination (Lux) in the work area.0 - 102.5 | | Sport institutes. | | |
| Conditions of urban facilities before and after the start of the mining operation in the fields below: Water facilities, heating facilities, availability of the electricity, access roads, receiving the TV channels, and phone connections.Water facilities, heating facilities, availability of the electricity, access roads, receiving the TV channels, and phone connections.0 - 10213. Instability of the established spacesStability condition of the surface and underground excavation in the mine0 - 10214. SubsidenceThe subsidence condition in the mining area0 - 103Green space construction, existence of R&D in the mining unit, taking the Environmental ISO, assembling the health, security, and environmental manuals. Dust controlling unit, lessening the noise level. Recycling the gangue dam water, refining the industrial and sanitary waste water of the mining unit10 to 10-416. LightIllumination (Lux) in the work area.0 - 102.5 | development | Amusement and economic institutes. | -10 to 10 | -4.66 |
| Water facilities, heating facilities, availability of the electricity, access roads, receiving the TV channels, and phone connections.13. Instability of the established spacesStability condition of the surface and underground excavation in the mine0 - 10214. SubsidenceThe subsidence condition in the mining area0 - 10315. Environmental arrangementsGreen space construction, existence of R&D in the mining unit, taking the Environmental ISO, assembling the health, security, and environmental manuals. Dust controlling unit, lessening the noise level. Recycling the gangue dam water, refining the industrial and sanitary waste water of the mining unit10 to 10-416. LightIllumination (Lux) in the work area.0 - 102.5 | | Conditions of urban facilities before and after the start of the mining operation in the fields below: | | |
| 13. Instability of the established spacesStability condition of the surface and underground excavation in the mine0 - 10214. SubsidenceThe subsidence condition in the mining area0 - 10314. SubsidenceGreen space construction, existence of R&D in the mining unit, taking the Environmental ISO, assembling the health, security, and environmental manuals. Dust controlling unit, lessening the noise level. Recycling the gangue dam water, refining the industrial and sanitary waste water of the mining unit10 to 10-416. LightIllumination (Lux) in the work area.0 - 102.5 | | Water facilities, heating facilities, availability of the electricity, access roads, receiving the TV channels, and phone connections. | | |
| 14. SubsidenceThe subsidence condition in the mining area0 - 10314. SubsidenceGreen space condition in the mining area0 - 10315. Environmental arrangementsEnvironmental ISO, assembling the health, security, and environmental manuals. Dust controlling unit, lessening the noise level. Recycling the gangue dam water, refining the industrial and sanitary waste water of the mining unit10 to 10-416. LightIllumination (Lux) in the work area.0 - 102.5 | 13. Instability of the established spaces | Stability condition of the surface and underground excavation in the mine | 0 - 10 | 2 |
| Is. Environmental arrangementsGreen space construction, existence of R&D in the mining unit, taking the Environmental ISO, assembling the health, security, and environmental manuals. Dust controlling unit, lessening the noise level. Recycling the gangue dam water, refining the industrial and sanitary waste water of the mining unit10 to 10-416. LightIllumination (Lux) in the work area.0 - 102.5 | 14. Subsidence | The subsidence condition in the mining area | 0 - 10 | 3 |
| 15. Environmental arrangementsEnvironmental ISO, assembling the health, security, and environmental manuals. Dust controlling unit, lessening the noise level. Recycling the gangue dam water, refining the industrial and sanitary waste water of the mining unit10 to 10-416. LightIllumination (Lux) in the work area.0 - 102.5 | | Green space construction, existence of R&D in the mining unit, taking the | | |
| 13. Environmental arrangements Dust controlling unit, lessening the noise level. -10 to 10 -4 Recycling the gangue dam water, refining the industrial and sanitary waste water of the mining unit. -10 to 10 -4 16. Light Illumination (Lux) in the work area. 0 - 10 2.5 | 15 Environmental | Environmental ISO, assembling the health, security, and environmental manuals. | | |
| Recycling the gangue dam water, refining the industrial and sanitary waste water of the mining unit. 0 - 10 2.5 | arrangements | Dust controlling unit, lessening the noise level. | -10 to 10 | -4 |
| 16. LightIllumination (Lux) in the work area.0 - 102.5 | | Recycling the gangue dam water, refining the industrial and sanitary waste water of the mining unit. | | |
| | 16. Light | Illumination (Lux) in the work area. | 0 - 10 | 2.5 |

Table 1. Continued.

Table 2. Considered environmental components for suggested algorithm.

| 1 | Human health and immunity |
|----|---------------------------|
| 2 | Social issues |
| 3 | Surface water |
| 4 | Underground water |
| 5 | Air quality |
| 6 | Area usage |
| 7 | Ecology |
| 8 | Surface constructions |
| 9 | Underground constructions |
| 10 | Area landscape |
| 11 | Quietness |
| 12 | Economic issues |
| 13 | Soil of the area |

| | | | | | | Environ | nental con | mponents | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|-------------|------------|------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------|
| Impacting factors | Human health and immunity | Social issues | Surface water | Underground water | Air quality | Area usage | Ecology | Surface constructions | Underground constructions | Area landscape | Quietness | Economic issues | Soil of the area |
| Changing the | М | L | М | М | L | Н | М | VL | VL | Н | Н | Н | Н |
| usage of the area | 0.60 | 0.61 | 1.30 | 1.25 | 0.95 | 1.11 | 0.88 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 1.29 | 1.25 | 0.75 | 1.67 |
| Exposition of the | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | М | Ν | Ν | Ν | Н | Н | Ν | Ν |
| mining area | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.83 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.29 | 1.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Interference with | М | Ν | Н | Н | Ν | М | Н | VL | Ν | Н | Ν | VL | Н |
| surface water | 0.60 | 0.00 | 1.74 | 1.67 | 0.00 | 0.83 | 1.18 | 0.56 | 0.00 | 1.29 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 1.67 |
| Interference with | М | Ν | Н | Н | Ν | VL | Н | Ν | Μ | Ν | Ν | VL | М |
| water | 0.60 | 0.00 | 1.74 | 1.67 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 1.18 | 0.00 | 1.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 1.25 |
| Increase in the | Н | Н | Ν | Ν | Н | VL | М | Ν | Ν | М | Н | М | L |
| traffic of the area | 0.80 | 1.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.90 | 0.28 | 0.88 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.97 | 1.25 | 0.57 | 0.83 |
| Dust omission | VH | L | L | Ν | VH | М | L | Ν | Ν | L | Ν | Н | VL |
| Dust emission | 1.00 | 0.61 | 0.87 | 0.00 | 2.38 | 0.83 | 0.59 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.75 | 0.42 |
| Toxic pollutants and | VH | Ν | Ν | VL | VH | L | Н | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | VH | Ν |
| substances emission to air | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.42 | 2.38 | 0.56 | 1.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.94 | 0.00 |
| Noise pollution | Н | М | Ν | Ν | Ν | L | L | Ν | Ν | Ν | VH | L | Ν |
| Noise pollution | 0.80 | 0.91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.56 | 0.59 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.56 | 0.38 | 0.00 |
| L and without on | VH | L | Ν | Ν | Ν | L | М | Н | VH | Ν | L | L | Ν |
| Land vibration | 1.00 | 0.61 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.56 | 0.88 | 2.22 | 2.78 | 0.00 | 0.63 | 0.38 | 0.00 |
| Domestic | Ν | Н | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | Н | Ν |
| employment | 0.00 | 1.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.75 | 0.00 |
| Population | L | VH | Ν | Ν | Ν | М | Ν | L | Ν | L | Н | VH | Ν |
| control | 0.40 | 1.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.83 | 0.00 | 1.11 | 0.00 | 0.65 | 1.25 | 0.94 | 0.00 |
| Social and | L | VH | Ν | Ν | Ν | Н | L | М | Ν | Н | Н | Н | Ν |
| development | 0.40 | 1.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.11 | 0.59 | 1.67 | 0.00 | 1.29 | 1.25 | 0.75 | 0.00 |
| Instability of the established | Н | Ν | L | М | Ν | Ν | Ν | L | М | Ν | Ν | VH | М |
| spaces | 0.80 | 0.00 | 0.87 | 1.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.11 | 1.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.94 | 1.25 |
| Subsidence | Ν | Μ | Μ | Н | Ν | Н | L | VH | Н | М | Ν | VH | М |
| | 0.00 | 0.91 | 1.30 | 1.67 | 0.00 | 1.11 | 0.59 | 2.78 | 2.22 | 0.97 | 0.00 | 0.94 | 1.25 |
| Environmental | VH | М | VH | VH | VH | Н | VH | Ν | Ν | VH | VH | VH | Н |
| arrangements | 1.00 | 0.91 | 2.17 | 2.08 | 2.38 | 1.11 | 1.47 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.61 | 1.56 | 0.94 | 1.67 |
| Light | VH | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | L | Ν | Ν | М | N |
| Light | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.57 | 0.00 |
| Total | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 |

Table 3. Weighted values of effect of each impacting factor on each designed environmental component for coal mining.

| | | | | | E | nvironme | ental com | ponents | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|-------------|------------|-----------|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------|
| Impacting factors | Human health and immunity | Social issues | Surface water | Underground water | Air quality | Area usage | Ecology | Surface constructions | Underground constructions | Area landscape | Quietness | Economic issues | Soil of the area |
| Changing the usage of the area | 1.20 | 1.21 | 2.61 | 2.50 | 1.90 | 2.22 | 1.76 | 1.11 | 1.11 | 2.58 | 2.50 | 1.51 | 3.33 |
| Exposition of the mining area | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Interference with surface water | 2.40 | 0.00 | 6.96 | 6.67 | 0.00 | 3.33 | 4.71 | 2.22 | 0.00 | 5.16 | 0.00 | 0.75 | 6.67 |
| Interference with underground water | 1.80 | 0.00 | 5.22 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 0.83 | 3.53 | 0.00 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.57 | 3.75 |
| Increase in the traffic of the area | 8.00 | 12.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 19.05 | 2.78 | 8.82 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.68 | 12.50 | 5.66 | 8.33 |
| Dust emission | 5.80 | 3.52 | 5.04 | 0.00 | 13.81 | 4.83 | 3.41 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.74 | 0.00 | 4.38 | 2.42 |
| Toxic pollutants and substances emission to air | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.17 | 23.81 | 5.56 | 11.76 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.43 | 0.00 |
| Noise pollution | 4.40 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.06 | 3.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.59 | 2.08 | 0.00 |
| Land vibration | 1.00 | 0.61 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.56 | 0.88 | 2.22 | 2.78 | 0.00 | 0.63 | 0.38 | 0.00 |
| Domestic employment | 0.00 | -12.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -7.55 | 0.00 |
| Population control | 0.80 | 3.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.67 | 0.00 | 2.22 | 0.00 | 1.29 | 2.50 | 1.89 | 0.00 |
| Social and cultural development | -1.86 | -7.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -5.18 | -2.74 | -7.77 | 0.00 | -6.01 | -5.83 | -3.52 | 0.00 |
| Instability of the established spaces | 1.60 | 0.00 | 1.74 | 2.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.22 | 3.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.89 | 2.50 |
| Subsidence | 0.00 | 2.73 | 3.91 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 3.33 | 1.76 | 8.33 | 6.67 | 2.90 | 0.00 | 2.83 | 3.75 |
| Environmental arrangements | -4.00 | -3.64 | -8.70 | -8.33 | -9.52 | -4.44 | -5.88 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -6.45 | -6.25 | -3.77 | -6.67 |
| Light | 2.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.78 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.42 | 0.00 |
| Total | 33.64 | 5.39 | 16.78 | 17.50 | 49.05 | 18.54 | 31.26 | 10.57 | 21.67 | 12.89 | 14.64 | 17.94 | 24.08 |

Table 4. Final scoring for each environmental component in Eastern Alborz coal mines.



Figure 1. Designed graph for coal mining assessment on the basis of percentage of environmental components according to impacting factors.

3. Mathematical Model of Sustainability

As mentioned earlier, the mathematical model of sustainability for definition of the sustainability, parameters and, constraints of the key components, and the conditions under which sustainability or unsustainability can occur have been developed by Phillips [18, 19]. The utilization steps in this model are as follow: 1) Perform EIA

In this study, the results indicated in the final row of Table 4 (Figure 1) form the basis for the application of the model in the calculation of an indicated level and the nature of sustainable development for the operation of coal mining. 2) Application of model by stating all the project options and determining the environmental and human components

- Determine all project options for evaluation, e.g. project over time (before-during-after) or project alternatives in design or operation phase

- Determine the environmental components (E) to be used in the calculations.

All the studied environmental components along with the corresponding symbols are shown in Table 5.

- Determine the human components (H_{NI}) to be used in the calculations. Table 6 shows the environmental components and relevant symbols.

- Determine maximum scores of environmental and human components.

In this method, the maximum score is 100 per environmental and human components. The respective total scores for the components E and H_{NI} are presented in Table 7.

| Table 5. Environmental of | components. |
|---------------------------|-------------|
|---------------------------|-------------|

| Air quality | A_1 |
|---------------------------|-------|
| Quietness | A_2 |
| Ecology | B_1 |
| Surface water | H_1 |
| Underground water | H_2 |
| Area usage | L_1 |
| Surface constructions | L_2 |
| Underground constructions | L_3 |
| Area landscape | L_4 |
| Soil of the area | L_5 |
| | |

Table 6. Human components.

| Human health and immunity | H _{NI1} |
|---------------------------|------------------|
| Social issues | H _{NI2} |
| Economic issues | H _{NI3} |
| | |

Table 7. Maximum Scores of E and H_{NI} components.

| E and H _{NI} Components | Value |
|----------------------------------|-------|
| A max | 200 |
| B _{max} | 100 |
| H _{max} | 200 |
| L max | 500 |
| E _{max} | 1000 |
| H _{NI max} | 300 |
| | |

3) Calculate E for the project options.

$$E = \frac{\left[(\sum A_{max} - \sum A) + (\sum B_{max} - \sum B) + (\sum H_{max} - \sum H) + (\sum L_{max} - \sum L) \right]}{(\sum A_{max} + \sum B_{max} + \sum H_{max} + \sum L_{max})}$$

$$E = \frac{\left[(\sum A_{max} - (A_1 + A_2)) + (\sum B_{ax} - B_1) + (\sum H_{max} - (H_1 + H_2)) + (\sum L_{max} - (L_1 + L_2 + L_3 + L_4 + L_5)) \right]}{(\sum A_{max} + \sum B_{max} + \sum H_{max} + \sum L_{max})}$$
(1)

 $E = \frac{\left[\left(200 - (49.05 + 14.64)\right) + (100 - 31.26) + \left(200 - (16.78 + 17.50)\right) + \left(500 - (18.54 + 10.57 + 21.67 + 12.89 + 24.08)\right)\right]}{200 + 100 + 200 + 500}$

E = 0.78302

4) Calculate HNI for the project options.

$$H_{NI} = \frac{[(H_{NI1} + H_{NI2}) + (H_{NI3max} - H_{NI3})]}{\sum H_{NImax}}$$
$$H_{NI} = \frac{(33.64 + 5.39) + (100 - 17.94)}{300}$$
$$H_{NI} = 0.40363$$

5) Determine whether the project option is sustainable.

- State values obtained for E and H_{NI} .

- If the value obtained for E is greater than that for H_{NI} , then it is sustainable:

 $E > H_{NI} \leftrightarrow S > 0$

- And if the value obtained for E is less than/equal to that for H_{NI} , then it is unsustainable: E $\leq H_{NI} \leftrightarrow S \leq 0$

As the calculated value for E is greater than that for H_{NI} , the project being evaluated is sustainable. $E > H_{NI} \leftrightarrow S > 0$

6) If sustainable, calculate the S value for the project option, and determine the level and nature of sustainability using the ranges defined in Table 8.

 $S = E - H_{NI}$

| Fable 8 | 3. S | value | and | level | ranges. |
|----------------|------|-------|-----|-------|---------|
|----------------|------|-------|-----|-------|---------|

| Range | Sustainability |
|---------------|----------------|
| 0.751 - 1.000 | Very Strong |
| 0.501 - 0.750 | Strong |
| 0.251 - 0.500 | Weak |
| 0.001 - 0.250 | Very Weak |

According to the results obtained in the previous stages, the sustainability was calculated as follows:

 $S = E - H_{NI} = 0.38$

By comparison of the calculated value for S and the specified levels in Table 8, the sustainability of the Eastern Alborz Coal Mines is in the weak class of sustainability.

4. Conclusions

Coal mines of the Eastern Alborz Company have significant environmental and human impacts on the area. In this work, a new model was presented for the quantitative environmental impact assessment (EIA) of mining activities using the matrix method. The results obtained for this study can help prioritizing the environmental measures to reduce the negative effects of coal mining. The importance of prioritizing is the time and financial constraints in the simultaneous response and reaction to all the environmental damages.

According to the results obtained, the percentage environmental damage to the components air quality, human health and safety, ecology, and soil of the area are more significant, and so it is recommended that these components are placed in priority in order to allocate the resources and preventive measures. Finally, the results of the environmental assessment were studied to evaluate the sustainability of the project using the Phillips mathematical model. Phillips has indicated the potential value of the model application to an EIA in determining and questions resolving of sustainability or unsustainability. The approach described by him could offer further potential for the evaluation of a project before, during, and after construction, and provide S values to indicate the level and nature of the project over its life cycle. The results of this study indicated the weak sustainability of the Eastern Alborz Coal Mines.

References

[1]. National Academy of Sciences. (1996). Mineral Resources and Sustainability, Challenges for Earth Scientists, Commission on Geosciences, Environment and Resources, National Academy Press, Washington.

[2]. Von Below, M.A. (1993). Sustainable Mining Development Hampered by Low Mineral Prices, Resources Policy, Butterworths. 177-183.

[3]. Allan, R. (1995). Sustainable mining in the future, Journal of Geochemical Exploration, Elsevier. 52: 1-4.

[4]. Learmont, D. (1997). Mining must show that it is sustainable, Mining Engineering. 49 (1): 1-12.

[5]. Crowson, P., 1998. Mining and sustainable: measurement and indicators, Raw Materials Report. 13(1): 27-33.

[6]. Worrall, R., Neil, D., Brereton, D. and Mulligan, D. (2009). Towards a sustainability criteria and indicators framework for legacy mine land, J. Cleaner Prod. 17: 1426-1434.

[7]. Richards, J. (2010). Mining, society and a sustainable world, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.

[8]. IIED and WBCSD. (2002). Breaking New Ground: Mining, Minerals and Sustainable Development, Final Report on the Mining, Minerals and Sustainable Development Project (MMSD). Publ by Earthscan for the International Inst for Environment and Development (IIED) and World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD), London.

[9]. Basu, A. and Kumar, U. (2004). Innovation and Technology Driven Sustainability Performance Management Framework (ITSPM) for the Mining and Minerals Sector, International Journal of Surface Mining, Reclamation and Environment. 18 (2): 135-149.

[10]. Eggert, R. (2006). Mining, sustainability and sustainable development. In: Maxwell, Australian Mineral Economics.

[11]. Villas Boas, R.C., Shields, D., Solar, S., Anciaux, P. and Onal, G. (2005). A Review on Indicators of Sustainability for the Minerals Extraction Industries, Rio de Janeiro, Brasil.

[12]. McCullough, C.D. and Lund, M.A. (2006). Opportunities for sustainable mining pit lakes in Australia, Mine Water Environ. 25: 220-226. [13]. Samini Namin, F., Ghafari, H. and Dianati, A. (2014). New model for environmental impact assessment of tunneling projects, Journal of Environmental Protection. 5: 530-550.

[14]. Ghaedrahmati, R. and Doulati Ardejani, F. (2012). Environmental impact assessment of coal washing plant (Alborz-Sharghi-Iran). Journal of Mining and Environment. 3 (2): 69-77.

[15]. Mirmohammadi, M., Gholamnejad, J., Fattahpour, V., Seyedsadri, P. and Ghorbani, Y. (2007). Designing of an Environmental Assessment Algorithm for Underground Mining Projects. Journal of Environmental Management, International Scientific Conference, SGEM.

[16]. Mirmohammadi, M., Gholamnejad, J., Fattahpour, V., Seyedsadri, P. and Ghorbani, Y. (2008). Designing of an Environmental Assessment Algorithm for Surface Mining Projects. Journal of Environmental Management. 90: 2422-2435.

[17]. Bian, Z., Inyang, H., Daniel, J., Otto, F. and Struthers, S. (2010). Environmental issues from coal mining and their solution, Mining Science and Technology. 20 (2): 215-223.

[18]. Phillips, J. (2012). The level and nature of sustainability for clusters of abandoned limestone quarries in the southern PalestinianWest Bank, Israel, Appl. Geogr. 32: 376-392.

[19]. Phillips, J. (2012). Using a mathematical model to assess the sustainability of proposed bauxite mining in Andhra Pradesh, India from a quantitative-based environmental impact assessment, Environ. Earth Sci. 67: 1587-1603.

ارزیابی نیمه کمّی آثار زیستمحیطی و تعیین سطح پایداری معدنکاری زغالسنگ

با بهکارگیری مدل ریاضی

محمد عطائی'، المیرا تجویدی عصر'*، رضا خالو کاکائی'، کرامت قنبری' و محمدرضا توکلی محمدی ً

۱- دانشکده مهندسی معدن، نفت و ژئوفیزیک، دانشگاه صنعتی شاهرود، ایران

۲- گروه پژوهشی کانهآرایی و هیدرو متالورژی، پژوهشکده فرآوری مواد معدنی، جهاد دانشگاهی واحد تربیت مدرس، تهران، ایران

ارسال ۲۰۱۵/۱/۲۸، پذیرش ۲۰۱۵/۱۰/۱۸

* نویسنده مسئول مکاتبات: ataei@shahroodut.ac.ir

چکیدہ:

ارزیابی آثار زیست محیطی (EIA) موجب اشراف برنامه ریزان بر اوضاع محیطزیست طبیعی منطقه شده و امکان پایش و کنترل مداوم وضع موجود را توسط عوامل مدیریتی فراهم می کند. در این راستا، مدل نیمه کمّی جدیدی برای ارزیابی اثرات زیست محیطی معادن زغال سنگ البرز شرقی با استفاده از روش ماتریس و از طریق تعیین فاکتورهای مؤثر و مؤلفه های زیست محیطی ارائه شده است. بدین منظور، از نظرات کارشناسی افراد خبره برای جمع آوری داده های اولیه و -امتیازدهی هر یک از پارامترها استفاده شده و با کمّی سازی نظرات کیفی، میزان تأثیر هر یک از پارامترها و تأثیر کلی روی هر مؤلفه زیست محیطی تعیین شده است. طبق نتایج به دست آمده، مؤلفه های کیفیت هوا، سلامتی و ایمنی انسان، اکولوژی و خاک منطقه، متحمل بیشترین درصد آسیب زیست محیطی از فعالیت -های معدنکاری شده اند. در ادامه، نتایج ارزیابی آثار زیست محیطی برای ارزیابی پایداری این مجموعه با مدل ریاضی فیلیپس استفاده شده است. خروجی مدل بیانگر ضعیف بودن سطح پایداری این مجموعه است و می بایست انجام فعالیت های پیشگیرانه زیست محیطی با اولویت برای مؤلفه های آست.

كلمات كليدى: ارزيابي آثار زيستمحيطي، توسعه پايدار، مدل رياضي فيليپس، معادن زغالسنگ البرز شرقي.